

Analysis of Post-Harvest Handling Techniques of Tomato in Batagarawa Local Government Area, Katsina State Nigeria

Ummulkhairy Mohammed

Department of Agricultural Technology, College of Agriculture, Hassan Usman Katsina Polytechnic, Katsina, Nigeria

ABSTRACT

This research was conducted in Batagarawa Local Government Area of Katsina State to analyse the current postharvest handling techniques of tomato. Five wards were purposively selected from, the ten wards of Batagarawa Local Government Area. The wards were Ajiwa, Bakiyawa, Batagarawa, Dabaibayawa, and Yargamji. Ten structured questionnaires were randomly administered to the tomato farmers/handlers from each of the selected ward, which gave a total of fifty tomato farmers/handlers as the sample for the study. The data generated were carefully analyzed using simple descriptive statistics (frequency and percentages). Result obtained indicated that 70% of the tomato handlers/farmers had acquired education below tertiary level. The result also showed that 74% of the tomato handlers/farmers harvested tomato when it was ripe. The packaging materials used were Geza and Raffia baskets (60 and 80% respectively). The result also showed that 46% had transportation problems which were due to poor rural roads. Seasonality was identified as the primary causative factor of tomato spoilage.

Keywords: Post-harvest, Handling, Tomato, Batagarawa, Katsina State, Nigeria

I. INTRODUCTION

Tomato (Lycopersicum esculantum) is vegetable staple fruit and it has become an important cash and industrial crop in many parts of the world (IAR & T. 1991). In Nigeria alone, a total area of one million hectares was reportedly being used for its cultivation (Anonymous, 1989, Bodunde et al. 1993). Despite the positive achievement made in increasing food production at the global level approximately half of the third world countries populations do not have access to the adequate food supplies. There are many reasons for this, one of which is food losses occurring in the post-harvest and marketing systems (FAO, 2002). Among the major factors responsible for post-harvest produce losses include poor pre-harvest measures and adoption of poor production techniques (Mujibu et al., 2007). Also, are non-application of pre-harvest recommended treatments, harvesting at improper stage, dumping produce, moisture condensation, and packaging in bulk without sorting or grading, poor storage, distance and timely distribution to markets (Mujib et al., 2007). These losses brought low return to the growers, processors, traders and the country at large may suffer regarding foreign exchange earnings (Kadir, 1992).

In 3rd world countries like Nigeria where most of the tomato and other vegetable production is done by poor farmers or small-scale farmers, storage, packaging, transportation and handling techniques are poor (Mbuk et al., 2011). Post-harvest activities were highlighted as one of the determinants of food problems in most developing countries like Nigeria (Ojo, 1991, Babalola et al., 2010).

This reason that encouraged the interest of the researcher in conducting this kind of research is identifying the problems that are likely to be encountered in tomato post-harvest handling and look for possible solutions to the associated problems.

A. Objective of the study

The aim of the study was to analysed the post-harvest handling techniques and losses of tomato in Batagarawa Local Government Area of Katsina State.

II. METHODOLOGY

An open-ended structured questionnaire was used to generate the survey data from the tomato handlers/farmers. The researcher asked several research questions. The questions were:

- a. What are the socio-economic characteristics of the tomato handler/farmers?
- b. What are the preventing post-harvest practices in the study area?
- c. What kind of packaging materials used by the farmers/handlers?
- d. What are their means of transportation for farmers/handlers of tomato?
- e. What kind of problems faced by the farmers/handlers during the post-harvest period?

A. Study Area

The study was conducted at Batagarawa Local Government Area of Katsina state. The Area is located in the North-western part of Nigeria; it has a population of 184,575 people (NPC, 2006). It lies between latitude 12° 54° N 7° 37° E with longitude 12 9° N 7.617° E. the people of the area are predominantly farmers. Crops grown mostly in the area are millet, sorghum, and cowpea. The species of animals in the area are cattle, sheep, and goats. The main annual rainfall is between 600mm-900mm per annum and an average temperature of 35° to 42°C, and it has a total land area of 433km² (weather in Africa, 2011).

B. Sampling Techniques and Sampling Size

The purposive sampling procedure was used to select five wards from the ten wards in the area of study. The Batagarawa, wards were: Ajiwa, Bakiyawa, Dabaibayawa Yar'gamji. Ten tomatoes and handler/farmer was drawn randomly from each of the five wards selected. These gave the total of fifty tomato handlers/farmers as that provided the total sample of the study.

III. DATA ANALYSIS

The data generated from this study were summarized and analysed using simple descriptive statistic which includes only frequencies and percentages.

Results and Discussions

Table 1 revealed that 60% of the tomato handlers/farmers fall within the age range of 31-40

years, this is considered to be the active labour force (KTARDA, 1998). It also showed that 100% of the tomato handlers/farmers were males and 92% of them were married, this finding is in agreement with the results obtained by Garba et al. (2012), which states family responsibilities as the reason they engaged into farming. The result also revealed that over 60% of the tomato handlers/farmers had a family size of more than 15. On literacy level, a vast majority of the tomato handlers/farmers 70% had a level of education lower than tertiary level, while only 12% had tertiary education. This implied that majority of the tomato handlers/farmers had a lower level of education, but at least they can read and interact with customers. This agreed with the findings of Garba et al. (2012) that literacy helps farmers and handlers of food and vegetables in using modern post-harvest techniques.

52% Table showed that of the tomato handlers/farmers had up to 15 years of experience in the tomato business. This implied that the farmers level of experience showed that they were infinite in the system and so the information obtained from them was an actual manifestation of the farming system. This tallied with the findings of Olayemi et al. (2010) in their study on assessment of the post-harvest constraints faced by small-scale farm holders of tomato, bell and hot pepper in some local government areas of Kano state, Nigeria. The study revealed that the farmers level of experience shows that they are vast in the system and so the information obtained from them is mostly an accurate reflection of the farming system. The study also revealed that 27% of the tomato handlers/farmers harvest their tomato when it is ripe. Clearing is the postharvest practice employed 80% by the tomato handlers/farmers. The study also revealed that 80% of the tomato handlers/farmers use palm baskets in packaging their tomato and also 80% of the tomato handlers/farmers uses medium sized baskets.

Table 3 showed the distribution of tomato handlers/farmers based on means of transportation, transportation problems, and causes of tomato damages. The result revealed that 48% of the tomato handlers/farmers transport their tomato in cars, lorry or van. The study also showed that poor roads 46% are the major problems of transporting tomato. The study also revealed that seasonality is the primary causative factor of tomato damage.

Table 1. Biodata of the tomato handlers/farmers

Parameter	Frequency	Percentage			
Age		•			
20-30	5	10.0			
31-40	30	60.0			
41 and above	15	30.0			
Total	50	100			
Sex					
Male	50	100			
Female	0	0.00			
Total	50	100			
Marital status					
Married	46	92.0			
Single	4	8.0			
Total	50	100			
Family size	·				
1-5	6	12.0			
6-10	8	16.0			
11-15	7	14.0			
16-20	17	34.0			
21 and above	12	24.0			
Total	50	100			
Educational background					
Primary	25	50.0			
Secondary	10	20.0			
Tertiary	6	12.0			
Quranic	9	18.0			
Total	50	100			

Table 2. Experience stage of harvesting, Time of harvest, past harvest method employed and Baskets used for packaging

Parameter	Frequency	Percentage		
Years of Experience				
1-10	12	24.0		
11-15	26	52.0		
16 & above	12	24.0		
Total	50	100		
Harvesting Stage				
Ripe	36	72.0		
Unripe	14	28.0		
Total	50	100		
Time of harvest				
Anytime	8	16.0		
Morning	25	50.0		
Afternoon	7	14.0		
Evening	10	20.0		
Total	50	100		
Post-harvest practice employed				

Cleaning	40	80.0
Grading	15	30
Sorting	2	
Total		

Table 3. Means of Transportation, Transportation problems and Causes of damage

Parameter	Frequency	Percentage		
Means of transportation				
Animal cart	8	16.0		
Bicycle	3	6.0		
Motorcycle	15	30.0		
Car, lorry, van	24	48.0		
Total	50	100		
Transportation problems				
Mechanical breakdown	14	28.0		
Poor roads	23	46.0		
Delay in getting vehicle	6	12.0		
Use of passengers vehicle	7	14.0		
Total	50	100		
Damage causes				
Distance	10	20.0		
Seasonality	33	66.0		
Low demand	7	14.0		
Total	50	100		

IV. CONCLUSION

The study showed that post-harvest handling techniques mainly employed by the farmers/handlers were clearing. Seasonality was also found to be the significant causes of tomato damage.

V. RECOMMENDATIONS

- To harvest at the unripe stage so that by the time consumers will use the tomato will be at complete stage of ripeness which will reduce the post-harvest loss
- The harvesting should be done in the morning so that it can be purchased and consume before the crop damage.
- The method of packaging the tomatoes should be improved from the traditional Raffia Geza to the other techniques that will prevent the bruises and cut-off the tomato surfaces.
- The government can assist in reducing the postharvest losses by constructing good roads for easy transportation of the farm product.

VI. REFERENCES

- [1]. Anonymous, 1989. "Fertilizer Use and Management Practices for Crops in Nigeria". Series Resources and Rural Development Lagos State, Nigeria 163p
- [2]. Babalola D.A Makinde Y.O. and Omonona, B.T. 2010. "Determinant of Postharvest Losses in Tomato Production in Ogun State". Department of Agric and Industrial Technology, Babcock University Ilishan Remo Ogun State, Nigeria.
- [3]. Bodunde, J.G., Erinle, I.D., Eruotor, P.G. and Amans, E.B. 1993. "Recommendation for the Release of Four Heat Tolerant Tomato Varieties": Paper approved by the professional and academic board IFAR, ABU Zaria, Kaduna State, Nigeria 1165p
- [4]. Food and Agricultural Organization (FAO) 2002. www.fao.org retrieved on 14th September, 2012.
- [5]. Garba, N., Abubakar, B.Z., Garba, M.G., Yeldu, J.S. 2012. "Adoption of Improved Millet Varieties in Argungu Local Government Area of Kebbi State". Scientific Journal of Agriculture 1(4), 74-79.

- [6]. Institute of Agricultural Research and Training (IAR & T) 1991. "Package of Recommendations for Food Crops and Livestock Production in South-Western Nigeria"
- [7]. Kadir, A.A. 1992. "Postharvest Technology of Horticultural Crops" 2nd edition University of California, Department of Agriculture and Natural Resources. Public 3311.
- [8]. Katsina State Agricultural and Rural Development Agency (KTARDA) 1998. News Bulletin.
- [9]. Mbuk, E.M., Baseey, N.E.S., and Udoh, E.J. 2011. "Factors Influencing Postharvest Losses of Tomatoes in urban Market in Uyo, Akwa Ibom State, Nigeria". Department of Agricultural economics and Extension University of Uyo, Nigeria.
- [10]. Mujibu, R., Naushad, K. and Inayatullah, J. 2007. "Postharvest Losses of Tomato in Peshawar Valley, India".
- [11]. Ojo, M.C. 1991. "Food Policy and Economic Development in Nigeria for Central Bank of Nigeria", Page Publishers Service Limited P.1.
- [12]. Olayemi, F.F., Adegbola, J.A., Bamishaiye, E.I. and Daura, A.M. 2010. "Assessment of Post-Harvest Challenges of Small Scale Farm Holders of Tomatoes, Bell and Hot Pepper in Some Local Government Areas of Kano State, Nigeria" Bayero Journal of Pure and Applied Sciences, 3(2): 39-42.
- [13]. National Population Commission (NPC) 2006. "Provisional Census Figure, Abuja Nigeria".
- [14]. Weather in Africa, Nigeria, Katsina State 2011. www.sunmap.eu.net (2011). Retrieved 2011-09-11