© 2018 IJSRSET | Volume 4 | Issue 1 | Print ISSN: 2395-1990 | Online ISSN : 2394-4099
Themed Section : Engineering and Technology

# General Common Fixed Point Theorems for Weakly Mappings

**Dr. M. RamanaReddy**
Associate Professor, Mathematics, Sreenidhi Institute of Science and technology, Hyderabad, Telangana, India

**ABSTRACT**

In this article we proved a generalized common fixed point theorem involving occasionally weakly compatible maps
**Keywords :** Weakly compatible, point of coincidence, complete metric space

## I. INTRODUCTION

A number of these results dealt with fixed points for more than one map. In some cases commutatively between the maps was required in order to obtain a common fixed point. First of all Jungck [5] introdicued the notion of commutative mapping which is also known as commuting mapping and fixed common fixed point result for two different self mappings. Sessa [6] coined the term weakly commuting. Jungck [5] generalized the notion of weak commutativity by introducing the concept of compatible maps and then weakly compatible maps [7]. There are examples that show that each of these generalizations of commutativity is a proper extension of the previous definition. Also, during this time a number of researchers established fixed point theorems for pair of maps. Banach [1] and Kannan [2] for contraction type mapping was introduced by Chatterjee [3] which gives a new direction to the study of the fixed point theory.

The aim of this article is to obtain some fixed point theorem involving occasionally weakly compatible maps in the setting of symmetric space satisfying a rational contractive condition. Our results complement, extend and unify several well known comparable results.

## II. Preliminaries

**Definition 2.1** Let S and T are self maps of a metric space X. If $w = Sx = Tx$ for some $x \in X$, then x is called a coincidence point of S and T, and w is called a point of coincidence of S and T.

**Definition 2.2** Let S and T are self maps of a metric space X, then S and T are said to be weakly compatible if

$\lim_{n\to\infty} d(STx_n, TSx_n) = 0$

whenever $\{x_n\}$ is sequence in X such that

$\lim_{n\to\infty} Sx_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} Tx_n = x$

for some $x \in X$.

**Definition 2.3** Let S and T are self maps of a metric space X, then S and T are said to be weakly compatible if they commute at their coincidence points; i.e. if $Tx = Sx$ for some $x \in X$ then $TSx = STx$.

**Definition 2.4** Let $\Phi$ be the set of real functions

$\phi(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4, t_5): [0,\infty)^5 \to [0,\infty)$

satisfying the following conditions:

**i.** $\phi$ is non increasing in variables $t_4$ and $t_5$.

**ii.** There is an $h_1 > 0$ *and* $h_2 > 0$ such that $h = h_1 h_2 < 1$ and if $u \geq 0$ and $v \geq 0$ satisfying

**a.** $u \leq \phi(v, v, u, u+v, 0)$ or $u \leq \phi(v, u, v, u+v, 0)$

Then we have $u \leq h_1 v$.

And if $u \geq 0, v \geq 0$ satisfy

**b.** $u \leq \phi(v, v, u, 0, u+v)$ or $u \leq \phi(v, u, v, 0, u+v)$

Then we have $u \leq h_2 v$.

If $u \geq 0$ is such that

$$u \leq \phi(u, 0, 0, u, u) \text{ or } u \leq \phi(0, u, 0, 0, u) \text{ or } u \leq \phi(0, 0, u, u, 0)$$

Then $u = 0$.

## III. Main Result

**Theorem 3.1**: Let $A, B, S, T$ be continuous self mappings defined on the complete metric space X into itself satisfies the following conditions:

(i) $A(X) \subseteq T(X)$, $B(X) \subseteq S(X)$

(ii) if one of $A(X)$, $B(X)$, $S(X)$, $T(X)$ is complete subspace of X.

(iii) The pair $\{A, S\}$ and $\{B, T\}$ are weakly compatible.

(iv) $d(Ax, By) \leq$

$$\alpha \max \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \frac{(d(Ax,Sx))^2 + (d(By,Ty))^2}{d(Ax,Sx)+d(By,Ty)}, \\ \frac{(d(Ax,Ty))^2 + (d(By,Sx))^2}{d(Ax,Ty)+d(By,Sx)}, \\ \frac{(d(Ax,Sx))^2 + (d(Ax,Ty))^2}{d(Ax,Sx)+d(Ax,Ty)}, \\ \frac{(d(By,Sx))^2 + (d(By,Ty))^2}{d(By,Sx)+d(By,Ty)} \end{array} \right\}$$

For all $x, y \in X$, $(x \neq y)$ and for non negative $\alpha \in [0,1)$. Then $A, B, S, T$ have unique common fixed point in X.

**Proof:** For any arbitrary $x_0$ in X we define the sequence $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ in X such that

$Ax_{2n} = Tx_{2n+1} = y_{2n}$ and $Bx_{2n+1} = Sx_{2n+2} = y_{2n+1}$

for all n= 0, 1, 2, …..

On taking $y_{2n} \neq y_{2n+1}$

$d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}) = d(Ax_{2n}, Bx_{2n+1})$

From (iv) we have

$d(Ax_{2n}, Bx_{2n+1}) \leq$

$$\alpha \max \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \frac{(d(Ax_{2n},Sx_{2n}))^2 + (d(Bx_{2n+1},Tx_{2n+1}))^2}{d(Ax_{2n},Sx_{2n})+d(Bx_{2n+1},Tx_{2n+1})}, \\ \frac{(d(Ax_{2n},Tx_{2n+1}))^2 + (d(Bx_{2n+1},Sx_{2n}))^2}{d(Ax_{2n},Tx_{2n+1})+d(Bx_{2n+1},Sx_{2n})}, \\ \frac{(d(Ax_{2n},Sx_{2n}))^2 + (d(Ax_{2n},Tx_{2n+1}))^2}{d(Ax_{2n},Sx_{2n})+d(Ax_{2n},Tx_{2n+1})}, \\ \frac{(d(Bx_{2n+1},Sx_{2n}))^2 + (d(Bx_{2n+1},Tx_{2n+1}))^2}{d(Bx_{2n+1},Sx_{2n})+d(Bx_{2n+1},Tx_{2n+1})} \end{array} \right\}$$

$d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}) \leq$

$$\alpha \max \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \frac{(d(y_{2n},y_{2n-1}))^2 + (d(y_{2n+1},y_{2n}))^2}{d(y_{2n},y_{2n-1})+d(y_{2n+1},y_{2n})}, \\ \frac{(d(y_{2n},y_{2n}))^2 + (d(y_{2n+1},y_{2n-1}))^2}{d(y_{2n},y_{2n})+d(y_{2n+1},y_{2n-1})}, \\ \frac{(d(y_{2n},y_{2n-1}))^2 + (d(y_{2n},y_{2n}))^2}{d(y_{2n},y_{2n-1})+d(y_{2n},y_{2n})}, \\ \frac{(d(y_{2n+1},y_{2n-1}))^2 + (d(y_{2n+1},y_{2n}))^2}{d(y_{2n+1},y_{2n-1})+d(y_{2n+1},y_{2n})} \end{array} \right\}$$

$d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}) \leq$

$$\alpha \max \left\{ \begin{array}{c} (d(y_{2n}, y_{2n-1}) + d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n})), \\ (d(y_{2n}, y_{2n-1}) + d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n})), \\ d(y_{2n}, y_{2n-1}), \\ (d(y_{2n}, y_{2n-1}) + 2d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n})) \end{array} \right\}$$

$$(1-\alpha) d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}) \leq \alpha\, d(y_{2n}, y_{2n-1})$$

$$d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}) \leq \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}\, d(y_{2n}, y_{2n-1})$$

Let us denote $\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha} = k$,

$$d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}) \leq k\, d(y_{2n}, y_{2n-1})$$

Similarly we can show that

$$d(y_{2n}, y_{2n-1}) \leq k^2\, d(y_{2n-2}, y_{2n-1})$$

Processing the same way we can write,

$$d(y_{2n}, y_{2n-1}) \leq k^n\, d(y_0, y_1)$$

for any integer m we have

$$d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+m}) \leq d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}) + d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}) + \dots\dots\dots\dots\dots + d(y_{2n+m-1}, y_{2n+m})$$

$$d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+m}) \leq k^n . d(y_0, y_1) + k^{n+1} . d(y_0, y_1) + \dots\dots\dots\dots + k^{n+m} . d(y_0, y_1)$$

$$d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+m}) \leq k^n [1 + k + k^2 + \cdots \dots\dots\dots + k^m] . d(y_0, y_1)$$

$$d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+m}) \leq \frac{k^n}{1-k} . d(y_0, y_1)$$

as $n \to \infty$ gives that

$d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+m}) \to 0$

Thus $\{y_{2n}\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X. Since T(X) is complete subspace of X then the subsequence $y_{2n} = Tx_{2n+1}$ is Cauchy sequence in T(X) which converges to the some point say u in X. Let $v \in T^{-1}u$ then $Tv = u$. Since $\{y_{2n}\}$ is converges to u and hence $\{y_{2n+1}\}$ also converges to same point u .

we set $x = x_{2n}$ and $y = v$ in (iv)

$d(Ax_{2n}, Bv) \leq$

$$\alpha \max \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \frac{(d(Ax_{2n},Sx_{2n}))^2 + (d(Bv,Tv))^2}{d(Ax_{2n},Sx_{2n})+d(Bv,Tv)}, \\ \frac{(d(Ax_{2n},Tv))^2 + (d(Bv,Sx_{2n}))^2}{d(Ax_{2n},Tv)+d(Bv,Sx_{2n})}, \\ \frac{(d(Ax_{2n},Sx_{2n}))^2 + (d(Ax_{2n},Tv))^2}{d(Ax_{2n},Sx_{2n})+d(Ax_{2n},Tv)}, \\ \frac{(d(Bv,Sx_{2n}))^2 + (d(Bx_{2n+1},Tv))^2}{d(Bv,Sx_{2n})+d(Bx_{2n+1},Tv)} \end{array} \right\}$$

as $n \to \infty$

$$d(u, Bv) \leq \alpha\, d(u, Bv)$$

which contradiction

implies that $Bv = u$ also $B(X) \subset S(X)$ so $Bv = u$ implies that $u \in S(X)$ .

Let $w \in S^{-1}(X)$ then $w = u$ setting $x = w$ and $y = x_{2n+1}$ in (iv) we get

$d(Ax_{2n}, Bv) \leq$

$$\alpha \max \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \frac{(d(Aw,Sw))^2 + (d(Bx_{2n+1},Tx_{2n+1}))^2}{d(Aw,Sw)+d(Bx_{2n+1},Tx_{2n+1})}, \\ \frac{(d(Aw,Tx_{2n+1}))^2 + (d(Bx_{2n+1},Sw))^2}{d(Aw,Tx_{2n+1})+d(Bx_{2n+1},Sw)}, \\ \frac{(d(Aw,Sw))^2 + (d(Aw,Tx_{2n+1}))^2}{d(Aw,Sw)+d(Aw,Tx_{2n+1})}, \\ \frac{(d(Bx_{2n+1},Sw))^2 + (d(Bx_{2n+1},Tx_{2n+1}))^2}{d(Bx_{2n+1},Sw)+d(Bx_{2n+1},Tx_{2n+1})} \end{array} \right\}$$

as $n \to \infty$

$d(Aw,u) \leq \alpha\, d(Aw,u)$

which contradiction

implies that, $Aw = u$ this means $Aw = Sw = Bv = Tv = u$.

since $Bv = Tv = u$ so by weak compatibility of $(B,T)$ it follows that, $BTv = TBv$ and so we get

$$Bu = BTv = TBv = Tu .$$

Since $Aw = Sw = u$ so by weak compatibility of $(A,S)$ it follows that $SAw = ASw$ and So we get

$$Au = ASw = SAw = Su$$

Thus from (iv) we have

$$d(Aw,Bu) \leq \alpha \max \begin{Bmatrix} \frac{(d(Aw,Sw))^2 + (d(Bu,Tu))^2}{d(Aw,Sw)+d(Bu,Tu)}, \\ \frac{(d(Aw,Tu))^2 + (d(Bu,Sw))^2}{d(Aw,Tu)+d(Bu,Sw)}, \\ \frac{(d(Aw,Sw))^2 + (d(Aw,Tu))^2}{d(Aw,Sw)+d(Aw,Tu)}, \\ \frac{(d(Bu,Sw))^2 + (d(Bu,Tu))^2}{d(Bu,Sw)+d(Bu,Tu)} \end{Bmatrix}$$

$d(u,Bu) \leq \alpha d(u,Bu)$

which contradiction

implies that $Bu = u$.

Similarly we can show $Au = u$ by using (iv) . Therefore

$u = Au = Bu = Su = Tu$.

Hence the point $u$ is common fixed point of $A, B, S, T$.

If we assume that $S(X)$ is complete then the argument analogue to the previous completeness argument proves the theorem. If $A(X)$ is complete then $u \in A(X) \subset T(X)$. similarly if $B(X)$ is complete then $u \in B(X) \subset S(X)$. This complete prove of the theorem.

**Uniqueness** Let us assume that $z$ is another fixed point of $A,B,S,T$ in $X$ different from $u$. i.e. $u \neq z$ then

$$d(u,z) = d(Au,Bz) \text{ from (iv) we get}$$
$$d(u,z) \leq \alpha\, d(u,z)$$

which contradiction the hypothesis . Hence u is unique common fixed point of $A,B,S,T$ in X.

## IV. REFERENCES


[1]. Banach S.Surles operation dansles ensembles abstraites etleur application integrals. Fund. Math. 3 (1922) 133-181.

[2]. Kannan R. Some results on fixed point theorems.-I Bull. Cat. Math. Soc. , 60 (1968) 71-78.

[3]. Chatterjee S.K., Fixed point theorems, Comptes. Rend. Acad, Bulgaria Sci. 25 (1972) 727-730.

[4]. Fisher B.,Common Fixed Points and constant mappings satisfying a rational inequality. Mathematics Seminar Notes, 6 (1978) 29-35.

[5]. Jungck G. Commuting mappings and fixed points. Amer. Math. Monthly , 83 (1976) 261- 263.

[6]. SharmaS., Common fixed point theorems in fuzzy metric spaces, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 127 (2002) 345-352. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/ S0165-0114(01)00112-9.

[7]. Jungck G., Murthy P.P. and Cho Y.J., Compatible mappings of type (A) and common fixed points, Math. Japonica, 38 (1993), 381-390.