

Invariant Submanifold of $\tilde{\psi} (4K+3,1)$ Structure Manifold

Lakhan Singh¹, Shailendra Kumar Gautam²

¹Department of Mathematics, D. J. College, Baraut, Baghpat, Uttar Pradesh, India

²Eshan College of Engineering, Mathura, Uttar Pradesh, India

ABSTRACT

In this paper, we have studied various properties of a $\tilde{\psi} (4K+3,1)$ structure manifold and its invariant submanifold, where K is a positive integer greater than or equal to one. Under two different assumptions, the nature of induced structure \mathcal{W} , has also been discussed.

Keywords: Invariant submanifold, Nijenhuis tensor, projection operators and complementary distributions.

I. INTRODUCTION

Let V^m be a C^∞ m -dimensional Riemannian manifold imbedded in a C^∞ n -dimensional Riemannian manifold M^n , where $m < n$. The imbedding being denoted by

$$f : V^m \longrightarrow M^n$$

Let B be the mapping induced by f i.e. $B = df$

$$df : T(V) \longrightarrow T(M)$$

Let $T(V, M)$ be the set of all vectors tangent to the submanifold $f(V)$. It is well known that

$$B : T(V) \longrightarrow T(V, M)$$

is an isomorphism. The set of all vectors normal to $f(V)$ forms a vector bundle over $f(V)$, which we shall denote by $N(V, M)$. We call $N(V, M)$ the normal bundle of V^m . The vector bundle induced by f from $N(V, M)$ is denoted by $N(V)$. We denote by $C : N(V) \longrightarrow N(V, M)$ the natural isomorphism and by $\eta_s^r(V)$ the space of all C^∞ tensor fields of type (r, s) associated with $N(V)$. Thus $\zeta_0^0(V) = \eta_0^0(V)$ is the space of all C^∞ functions defined on V^m while an element of $\eta_0^1(V)$ is a C^∞ vector field normal to V^m and

an element of $\zeta_0^1(V)$ is a C^∞ vector field tangential to V^m .

Let \bar{X} and \bar{Y} be vector fields defined along $f(V)$ and \tilde{X}, \tilde{Y} be the local extensions of \bar{X} and \bar{Y} respectively. Then $[\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}]$ is a vector field tangential to M^n and its restriction $[\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}]/f(V)$ to $f(V)$ is determined independently of the choice of these local extension \tilde{X} and \tilde{Y} . Thus $[\bar{X}, \bar{Y}]$ is defined as

$$(1.1) \quad [\bar{X}, \bar{Y}] = [\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}]/f(V)$$

Since B is an isomorphism

$$(1.2) \quad [BX, BY] = B[X, Y] \quad \text{for all } X, Y \in \zeta_0^1(V)$$

Let \bar{G} be the Riemannian metric tensor of M^n , we define g and g^* on V^m and $N(V)$ respectively as

$$(1.3) \quad g(X_1, X_2) = \bar{G}(BX_1, BX_2) \text{ , and}$$

$$(1.4) \quad g^*(N_1, N_2) = \bar{G}(CN_1, CN_2)$$

For all $X_1, X_2 \in \zeta_0^1(V)$ and $N_1, N_2 \in \eta_0^1(V)$

It can be verified that g and g^* are the induced metrics on V^m and $N(V)$ respectively.

Let $\tilde{\nabla}$ be the Riemannian connection determined by \tilde{g} in M^n , then $\tilde{\nabla}$ induces a connection ∇ in $f(V)$ defined by

$$(1.5) \quad \nabla_{\bar{X}} \bar{Y} = \tilde{\nabla}_{\bar{X}} \tilde{Y} / f(V)$$

where \bar{X} and \bar{Y} are arbitrary C^∞ vector fields defined along $f(V)$ and tangential to $f(V)$.

Let us suppose that M^n is a $C^\infty \tilde{\psi}(4K+3,1)$ structure manifold with structure tensor $\tilde{\psi}$ of type (1,1) satisfying

$$(1.6) \quad \tilde{\psi}^{4K+3} + \tilde{\psi} = 0$$

Let \tilde{L} and \tilde{M} be the complementary distributions corresponding to the projection operators

$$(1.7) \quad \tilde{l} = -\tilde{\psi}^{4K+2}, \quad \tilde{m} = I + \tilde{\psi}^{4K+2}$$

where I denotes the identity operator.

From (1.6) and (1.7), we have

$$(1.8) \quad (a) \quad \tilde{l} + \tilde{m} = I \quad (b) \quad \tilde{l}^2 = \tilde{l}$$

$$(c) \quad \tilde{m}^2 = \tilde{m}$$

$$(d) \quad \tilde{l} \tilde{m} = \tilde{m} \tilde{l} = 0$$

Let D_l and D_m be the subspaces inherited by complementary projection operators l and m respectively.

We define

$$D_l = \{X \in T_p(V) : lX = X, mX = 0\}$$

$$D_m = \{X \in T_p(V) : mX = X, lX = 0\}$$

$$\text{Thus } T_p(V) = D_l + D_m$$

$$\text{Also } \text{Ker } l = \{X : lX = 0\} = D_m$$

$$\text{Ker } m = \{X : mX = 0\} = D_l$$

at each point p of $f(V)$.

II. METHODS AND MATERIAL

A. Invariant Submanifold of $\tilde{\psi}(4K+3,1)$ Structure Manifold

We call V^m to be invariant submanifold of M^n if the tangent space $T^p(f(V))$ of $f(V)$ is invariant by the linear mapping $\tilde{\psi}$ at each point p of $f(V)$. Thus

$$(2.1) \quad \tilde{\psi}BX = B\psi X, \text{ for all } X \in \zeta_0^1(V), \text{ and } \psi \text{ being a (1,1) tensor field in } V^m.$$

Theorem (2.1): Let \tilde{N} and N be the Nijenhuis tensors determined by $\tilde{\psi}$ and ψ in M^n and V^m respectively, then

$$(2.2)$$

$$\tilde{N}(BX, BY) = BN(X, Y), \text{ for all } X, Y \in \zeta_0^1(V)$$

Proof : We have, by using (1.2) and (2.1) (2.3)

$$\tilde{N}(BX, BY) = [\tilde{\psi}BX, \tilde{\psi}BY] + \tilde{\psi}^2[BX, BY]$$

$$- \tilde{\psi}[\tilde{\psi}BX, BY] - \tilde{\psi}[BX, \tilde{\psi}BY]$$

$$= [B\psi X, B\psi Y] + \tilde{\psi}^2 B[X, Y]$$

$$- \tilde{\psi}[B\psi X, BY] - \tilde{\psi}[BX, B\psi Y]$$

$$= B[\psi X, \psi Y] + B\psi^2[X, Y] - \tilde{\psi}B[\psi X, Y]$$

$$- \tilde{\psi}B[X, \psi Y]$$

$$= B\{[\psi X, \psi Y] + \psi^2[X, Y] - \psi[\psi X, Y]$$

$$- \psi[X, \psi Y]\}$$

$$= BN(X, Y)$$

B. Distribution \tilde{M} Never being tangential to $f(V)$

Theorem (3.1) if the distribution \tilde{M} is never tangential to $f(V)$, then

$$(3.1) \quad \tilde{m}(BX) = 0 \quad \text{for all } X \in \zeta_0^1(V)$$

and the induced structure ψ on V^m satisfies

$$(3.2) \quad \psi^{4K+2} = -I$$

Proof : if possible $\tilde{m}(BX) \neq 0$. From (2.1) We get

$$(3.3) \quad \tilde{\psi}^{4K+2}BX = B\psi^{4K+2}X; \text{ from (1.7) and (3.3)}$$

$$\tilde{m}(BX) = (I + \tilde{\psi}^{4K+2})BX$$

$$= BX + B\psi^{4K+2}X$$

$$(3.4) \quad \tilde{m}(BX) = B(X + \psi^{4K+2}X)$$

This relation shows that $\tilde{m}(BX)$ is tangential to $f(V)$ which contradicts the hypothesis. Thus $\tilde{m}(BX) = 0$.

Using this result in (3.4) and remembering that B is an isomorphism, We get

(3.5) $\psi^{4K+2} = -I$, which gives that ψ^{2K+1} acts as an almost complex structure on V^m . Thus V^m is even dimensional.

Theorem (3.2) Let \tilde{M} be never tangential to $f(V)$, then

$$(3.6) \quad \tilde{N}_{\tilde{m}}(BX, BY) = 0$$

Proof : We have

$$(3.7) \quad \tilde{N}_{\tilde{m}}(BX, BY) = [\tilde{m}BX, \tilde{m}BY] + \tilde{m}^2[BX, BY]$$

$$- \tilde{m}[\tilde{m}BX, BY] - \tilde{m}[BX, \tilde{m}BY]$$

Using (1.2), (1.8) (c) and (3.1), we get (3.6).

Theorem (3.3) Let \tilde{M} be never tangential to $f(V)$, then

$$(3.8) \quad \tilde{N}_i(BX, BY) = 0$$

Proof : We have

$$(3.9) \quad \tilde{N}_i(BX, BY) = [\tilde{l}BX, \tilde{l}BY] + \tilde{l}^2[BX, BY] - \tilde{l}[\tilde{l}BX, BY] - \tilde{l}[BX, \tilde{l}BY]$$

Using (1.2), (1.8) (a), (b) and (3.1) in (3.9); we get (3.8)

Theorem (3.4) Let \tilde{M} be never tangential to $f(V)$.

Define

$$(3.10)$$

$$\tilde{H}(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}) = \tilde{N}(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}) - \tilde{N}(\tilde{m}\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}) - \tilde{N}(\tilde{X}, \tilde{m}\tilde{Y}) + \tilde{N}(\tilde{m}\tilde{X}, \tilde{m}\tilde{Y})$$

For all $\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y} \in \zeta_0^1(M)$, then

$$(3.11) \quad \tilde{H}(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}) = BN(X, Y)$$

Proof : Using $\tilde{X} = BX$, $\tilde{Y} = BY$ and (2.2), (3.1) in (3.10) We get (3.11).

C. Distribution \tilde{M} Always Being Tangential To $f(V)$

Theorem (4.1) Let \tilde{M} be always tangential to $f(V)$, then

$$(4.1) \quad (a) \tilde{m}(BX) = BmX \quad (b)$$

$$\tilde{l}(BX) = BlX$$

Proof : from (3.4), We get (4.1) (a). Also

$$(4.2) \quad l = -\psi^{4K+2}$$

$$lX = -\psi^{4K+2}X$$

$$(4.3) \quad BlX = -B\psi^{4K+2}X \quad \text{Using (2.1) in}$$

$$(4.3)$$

$$(4.4) \quad BlX = -\tilde{\psi}^{4K+2}BX = \tilde{l}(BX),$$

which is (4.1) (b).

Theorem (4.2) Let \tilde{M} be always tangential to $f(V)$, then l and m satisfy

$$(4.5) \quad (a) l + m = I \quad (b) lm = ml = 0 \quad (c) l^2 = l \quad (d) m^2 = m.$$

Proof : Using (1.8) and (4.1) We get the results.

Theorem (4.3) If \tilde{M} is always tangential to $f(V)$, then

$$(4.6) \quad \psi^{4K+3} + \psi = 0$$

Proof : From (2.1)

$$(4.7) \quad \tilde{\psi}^{4K+3}BX = B\psi^{4K+3}X \quad \text{Using (1.6) in}$$

$$(4.7)$$

$$-\tilde{\psi}BX = B\psi^{4K+3}X$$

$$-B\psi X = B\psi^{4K+3}X \quad \text{Or}$$

$$\psi^{4K+3} + \psi = 0, \text{ which is (4.6)}$$

Theorem (4.4) : If \tilde{M} Is always tangential to $f(V)$

then as in (3.10)

$$(4.8) \quad \tilde{H}(BX, BY) = BH(X, Y)$$

Proof: from (3.10) we get

$$(4.9)$$

$$\tilde{H}(BX, BY) = \tilde{N}(BX, BY) - \tilde{N}(\tilde{m}BX, BY)$$

$$- \tilde{N}(BX, \tilde{m}BY) + \tilde{N}(\tilde{m}BX, \tilde{m}BY)$$

Using (4.1) (a) and (2.2) in (4.9) we get (4.8).

III. REFERENCES

- [1] A Bejancu: On semi-invariant submanifolds of an almost contact metric manifold. An Stiint Univ., "A.I.I. Cuza" Lasi Sec. Ia Mat. (Supplement) 1981, 17-21.
- [2] B. Prasad: Semi-invariant submanifolds of a Lorentzian Para-sasakian manifold, Bull Malaysian Math. Soc. (Second Series) 21 (1988), 21-26.
- [3] F. Careres: Linear invairant of Riemannian product manifold, Math Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc. 91 (1982), 99-106.
- [4] Endo Hiroshi: On invariant submanifolds of connect metric manifolds, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math 22 (6) (June-1991), 449-453.
- [5] H.B. Pandey & A. Kumar: Anti-invariant submanifold of almost para contact manifold. Prog. of Maths Volume 21(1): 1987.
- [6] K. Yano: On a structure defined by a tensor field f of the type $(1,1)$ satisfying $f^3+f=0$. Tensor N.S., 14 (1963), 99-109.
- [7] R. Nivas & S. Yadav: On CR-structures and -HSU - structure satisfying , Acta Ciencia Indica, Vol. XXXVII M, No. 4, 645 (2012).