

# Hardware & Power efficient Multiplier

Geetanjali Sharma, Nitesh Dodkey

Department of Electronics and Telecommunication, Surabhi Group of Institution, Madhya Pradesh, India

## ABSTRACT

This paper presents a hardware and power efficient binary multiplier using resource reuse technique. The proposed design uses efficient design of half and full adder circuits which uses less number of logic gates. An array multiplier of  $n \times n$  needs  $n$  rows and  $n$  columns of full adder circuits to generate the product term. The proposed design requires only 3 rows of adders to generate the product term. Intermediate product terms are stored in the memory elements (flip flop). As flip flops takes less area and consume less power as compared to adder circuit (combinational circuit), this improves the hardware efficiency and power efficiency of the design. This technique is used to implement a  $8 \times 8$  multiplier and the results are compared with other  $8 \times 8$  array multipliers. Spartan 3 FPGA is used to implement the design. The design is very linear and it can be easily extended to implement large multiplier.

**Keywords:** Power Efficient, Multiplier, Switching Delay, Hardware Efficient and Resource Reuse

## I. INTRODUCTION

Multipliers play an important role in today's digital signal processing and various other applications. With advances in technology, many researchers have tried and are trying to design multipliers which offer either of the following design targets high speed, low power consumption, regularity of layout and hence less area or even combination of them in one multiplier thus making them suitable for various low power and compact VLSI implementation.

It is well known that Multipliers consume maximum power in DSP computations [1]. Hence, it is very important for modern DSP systems to design low-power multipliers to reduce the power dissipation. In low-power multiplier design, many researcher experiments & find out results on the reduction of the switching activities [2] have been published. Besides that, a simple and straightforward approach [3] for low-power multiplier is to design a low-power Full Adder to reduce the power dissipation in an array multiplier. The other designs are proposed to reduce the power dissipation in a multiplication operation by interchanging dynamic operands [4] or using partially guarded computation [5]. Furthermore, to minimize power dissipation

architectural modification can be used via row bypassing [6] or column bypassing [7] techniques. Based on the concept of theory row and column bypassing techniques for the reduction of the power dissipation, a low-power 2 - dimensional bypassing based multiplier [8] and a low-power row-and- column bypassing-based multiplier [9] are further proposed. However, the introduction of the extra bypassing circuit decreases the ability of minimize the power dissipation, and it also induces extra delay in the circuit.

In array multiplier  $n$  number of full adder layers are required, where  $n$  is the size of the architecture. In this work we have used only three layers of full adders to implement the complete design, this will reduce the area requirement and it will also reduce the power consumption of the design.

The paper is organized as follows in section II related work is given, in section III, IV and V array, column bypass and row bypass are discussed respectively. In section VI comparison of different multiplier architecture s are discussed. In section VII conclusion and in section VIII a novel multiplier is briefly explained.

## II. METHODS AND MATERIAL

### A. Related work

The multiplication of two 4 bit numbers is shown in the figure

	Y=	Y3	Y2	Y1	Y0	
	X=	X0	X0	X0	X0	
		Y3X	Y2X	Y1X	Y0X	
		0	0	0	0	
		Y3X	Y2X	Y1X	Y0X	
		1	1	1	1	
		Y3X	Y2X	Y1X	Y0X	
		2	2	2	2	
	Y3X	Y2X	Y1X	Y0X		
	3	3	3	3		

P	P6	P5	P4	P3	P2	P1	P0
7							

Figure 1: 4 X 4 Array Multiplication

	Y=	1	0	0	1	
	X=	1	1	1	0	
		0	0	0	0	
		1	0	0	1	
		1	0	0	1	
	1	0	0	1		

0	1	1	1	1	1	1	0
---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

Figure 2: 4 X 4 example of array multiplication

An example of above multiplication process is shown in figure 2:

AND & OR gates are used to generate the Partial Products,  $P_n$ . If the multiplicand is N-bits and the Multiplier is M-bits then there is  $N * M$  partial product. The way that the partial products are generated or summed up is the difference between the different architectures of various multipliers.

For CMOS circuits design, the power dissipation can be divided in two categories as static power dissipation and dynamic power dissipation. In general, static

consumption is from the leakage current and dynamic consumption is from the switching transient current. For static power dissipation, the consumption is proportional to the number of the used transistors. For dynamic power dissipation, the consumption is provided from the charging and discharging of load capacitance. The average dynamic dissipation of a CMOS gate is

$$P_{avg} = \frac{1}{2} C f V_{dd} N$$

Where C is the load capacitance, f is the clock frequency, VDD is the power supply voltage and N is the number of switching activity in a clock cycle. Hence, it is very important for modern DSP circuit application to develop low-power multipliers to minimum the power dissipation.

In this paper we present a novel technique of multiplication that will serve our two important needs i.e. low power consumption and low area to make our design greener and compact.

### B. Array multiplication

In array multiplier, each partial product is generated by taking into account the multiplicand and one bit of multiplier each time. The Impending addition is carried out by high-speed carry-save algorithm and the final product is obtained by employing fast adder – the number of partial products depends upon the number of multiplier bits. A 4x4 array multiplier is shown in Fig. 3. The structure of the full adder can be realized on FPGA. Each products can be generated in parallel with the AND gates. Each partial product can be added with the sum of partial product which has previously produced by using the row of adders. The carry out will be shifted one bit to the left and then it will be added to the sum which is generated by the first adder and the newly generated partial product. The shifting would carry out with the help of Carry Save Adder (CSA) and the Ripple carry adder or any fast adder can be used for the final stage. [10].




Figure 3: Array Multiplier

### C. Hardware Efficient Multiplier

In section III we have discussed simple array multiplier, we need to cut down the area requirement of the multiplier, there are many possibilities one of them is using low power adder. In this design we have reduced the number the layers in array multiplication method by reusing the middle layer again and again. Also we have used low power adders in these layers to further reduce the power consumption.

First we will discuss the different adders used in our design. In total 6 different adders are used to implement the design.

a) *Simple full adder:* The first adder we are using is the full adder. The logic diagram is shown in figure.




Figure 4: Simple Full Adder

b) *Half Adder:* The second adder is the simple half adder with two inputs and two outputs.




Figure 5: Half Adder

c) *Row Column Adder Type – 1:* This is the third adder of this design. This is the custom adder with four inputs and two outputs. The row column type one adder uses bypassing technique to reduce the dynamic power consumption. Whenever input r or input s becomes zero the control input of the tri-state buffer “BUFGCE” becomes zero and it tri-states its output which tri-states channel 1 of both the multiplexer and hence reduce dynamic power consumption. The output of multiplexer 1 mux is equal to the AND of p and q input, and the output of the second multiplexer cout becomes 0. Figure 6 depicts the row column adder type1.






Figure 6: Row Column Adder Type – 1

d) *Row Column adder type – 2:* This is the fourth adder we have used in our design; this is again a custom adder for this design only. The adder has four inputs and two outputs. This is also a low power adder which tri-states the XNOR gate and OR and channel 1 of both the multiplexer the CE input of the tri-state buffer “BUFGCE” becomes 0. Figure 7 depicts the row column adder type 2.



**Figure 7:** Row Column Adder Type – 2

e) *Row Column Adder Type – 3:* This is again a custom adder we have used in this design. The adder has five inputs and three outputs in it. Multiplexers are employed to implement this adder. Again bypassing technique is used to implement the design and to reduce dynamic power consumption.



**Figure 8:** Row Column Adder Type – 3

In simple array multiplier as the number of bits for multiplication increases the resource requirement also increases. Half adder and full adder are the basic units for multiplier circuit and as the size of the multiplier increases the number of half adders and full adders also increases. So a large number of adders are required.


In array multiplication technique, n rows of adder circuit are required for n x n multiplication. In this design first we have reduced the number of layers in array multiplier to only three. To implement this we have reused the middle layer of adders as shown in figure 9. The partial products are generated and stored in flip flops and then stored results are used again for next level of partial

products. The process is repeated till the end of multiplication. Since the number of adders in the design is reduced by a large amount the area requirement also reduces. The number of storage elements basically flip flops increases, but these elements are available in abundance in any FPGA and require less area. So the area requirement reduces.

The second level of optimization is the use of custom adders in the design. These adders type 1 through type 3 are special adders with less power consumption.

So our proposed design reduces the FPGA resource usage and power consumption of the design.

Figure 9 shows the different conventions used to represent different adders used in hardware and power efficient multiplier. And figure 10 depicts the hardware and power efficient multiplier with only three rows of full adders.



**Figure 9:** Representation of different adders




Figure 10: 8 x 8 Multiplier using hardware reuse method

### III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In order to evaluate the performance of low power parallel multiplier, we implement all these designs on Spartan FPGA.

Table 1 shows the Cell Usage summary. And table 2 shows the device utilization summary. The 8 x 8 multiplier shown in figure 10 is regular in shape so, we have designed a 8 x 8 multiplier using same technique and here we have presented synthesis results.

Table 1: Cell Usage Summary (8 X 8 Multiplier)

Parameters (Cell Usage)	Usage
<b>BELS</b>	85
<b>LUT2</b>	11
<b>LUT2_D</b>	1
<b>LUT3</b>	13

<b>LUT4</b>	55
<b>MUXF5</b>	3
<b>Flip Flops / Latches</b>	57
<b>FDCE</b>	7
<b>FDPE</b>	1
<b>LD</b>	49
<b>Clock Buffers</b>	3
<b>BUFG</b>	2
<b>BUFGP</b>	1
<b>IO Buffers</b>	34
<b>IBUF</b>	18
<b>OBUF</b>	16

**Table 2: Device utilization Summary (8X 8 Multiplier)**

<i>Design</i>	<i>Number of LUT's</i>
<i>Conventional Wallace multiplier</i>	<i>165</i>
<i>Confined Wallace multiplier</i>	<i>157</i>
<i>Hardware &amp; Power efficient Multiplier</i>	<i>81</i>

Table 2 depicts that the hardware requirement of this multiplier is reduced to half compared to the other multiplier available in literature.

Table 3 shows the power consumption of our design and we have also compared it with available multipliers in literature. All the multipliers mentioned in table 3 are 16 x 16 multiplier, so we have implemented 16 x 16 hardware and power efficient multiplier and compared it with different array multipliers available in literature.

**Table 3: Power Consumption report**

<i>Design</i>	<i>Power Consumption</i>
<i>Without Bypassing (16 x 16 ) [11]</i>	<i>44mW</i>
<i>Row Bypassed(16 x 16 ) [11]</i>	<i>39mW</i>
<i>Proposed Design(16 x 16 ) [11]</i>	<i>35mW</i>
<i>Our Design(16 x 16)</i>	<i>30mW</i>
<i>Our Design(8 x 8)</i>	<i>28 mW</i>

#### IV. CONCLUSION

We have implemented a hardware efficient multiplier on Spartan FPGA using only three layers of adders and few storage elements. We succeeded in curtailing the area requirement and the power consumption of the multiplier compared to previously available designs as seen from table 2 and table 3 respectively.

As we are using many storage elements (flip flops), clock gating can be used in future to further reduce the power consumption of the design.

#### V. REFERENCES

- [1] T. Nishitani, "Micro-programmable DSP chip," 14<sup>th</sup> Workshop on Circuits and Systems, pp.279-280, 2001.
- [2] V. G. Moshnyaga and K. Tamaru, "A comparative study of switching activity reduction techniques for design of low power multipliers," IEEE International Symposium on Circuits and Systems, pp.1560-1563, 1995.
- [3] Wu, "High performance adder cell for low power pipelined multiplier," IEEE International Symposium on Circuits and Systems, pp.57-60, 1996.
- [4] T. Ahn and K. Choi, "dynamic operand interchange for low power," Electronics Letters, Vol. 33, no. 25, pp.2118- 2120,1997.
- [5] J. Choi, J. Jeon and K. Choi, "Power minimization of functional units by partially guarded computation," International Symposium on Low-power Electronics and Design, pp.131-136, 2000.
- [6] J. Ohban, V. G. Moshnyaga, and K. Inoue, "Multiplier energy reduction through bypassing of partial products," IEEE Asia-Pacific Conference on Circuits and Systems, pp.13-17, 2002.
- [7] M. C. Wen, S. J. Wang and Y. M. Lin, "Low power parallel multiplier with column bypassing," IEEE International Symposium on Circuits and Systems, pp.1638- 1641, 2005.
- [8] G. N. Sung, Y. J. Ciou and C. C. Wang, "A power-aware 2- dimensional bypassing multiplier using cell-based design flow," IEEE International Symposium on Circuits and Systems, pp.3338-3341, 2008.
- [9] J. T. Yan and Z. W. Chen, "Low-power multiplier design with row and column bypassing," IEEE International SOC Conference, pp.227-230, 2009.
- [10] Jin-Tai Yan and Zhi-Wei Chen, "low-power multiplier design with row and column bypassing", department of computer science and information engineering, chung-hua University.
- [11] Tushar V. More and Dr. R.V. Ksirsagar "Design of low power column bypass multiplier using FPGA" 2011 IEEE.