Study on Shearwalls With and Without Openings

Harikapriya N*, Rambabu. CH

Department of Civil Engineering, D.M.S.S.V.H College of Engineering, Machilipatnam, Andhra Pradesh, India

ABSTRACT

Finite Element Modeling now a day is an essential approach in analysis and simulating civil engineering problem numerically. In this thesis Parametric study on shearwalls to apply the finite element modeling in analyzing and exploring the behavior of shear wall with & without opening under seismic load actions and wind load actions. This study is carried out on G+20 story frame-shear wall buildings, using linear elastic analysis with the help of finite element software, ETABS under earthquake loads in equivalent static analysis. The results reveal that stiffness as well as seismic responses of structures is affected by the size of the openings as well as their locations in shear wall. It is also explored that top lateral drift of the system can also be reduced thickening the element in the model around the opening of shear wall
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I. INTRODUCTION

The achievement of structural system for tall buildings is not an easy task. Where, as building height increases the importance of lateral loads action rises in an accelerating rate. There are two types of lateral loads, wind and seismic loads. Wind load presents the most critical lateral loading for modern tall buildings, which have lightweight skeletons that cause uncomfortable horizontal movements for occupants. Also, wind is not constant either with height or with time and is not uniform over the sides of a building. So, windy weather creates a variety of problems in tall buildings,. Where, excessive vibration due to this load is a major obstacle in design and construction of a modern tall building. it should be limited to prevent both structural and nonstructural damage.

The case-study is a regular-shaped un-symmetrical plan with dimensions 50x19 m. In structural modeling building arranged in 8 bays in X direction and 3bays in Y direction as shown in Fig. 1. The storey height is assumed to be 3 m. The analysis used is a three-dimensional analysis of detailed finite element models. The columns and beams were represented by frame type element, while shear walls and core components were represented byshell-type element.

Building analyzed is a 21 storey(20 storey + Ground story ), 64.50 meters tall concrete tower located in India with a gross area of 950. Unique features of the slender concrete tower presented parametric study on with and without opening shearwall for seismic design and wind design. Typically, a 64.5 meters tall concrete building in seismic zone 4 would have a lateral system that combines shear walls and moment frames.

II. METHODS AND MATERIAL

A. Shear Walls

Reinforced concrete framed buildings are adequate for resisting both the vertical and the horizontal loads acting on them. However, when the buildings are tall, say, more than twelve storey’s or so, beam and column sizes work out large and reinforcement at the beam-column junctions works out quite heavy.

So that, there is a lot of congestion at these joints and it is difficult to place and vibrate concrete at these places, which fact, does not contribute to the safety of buildings. These practical difficulties call for introduction of shear walls in tall buildings.
Shear walls in plan, may be deep straight walls or angular, u-shaped or box-shaped in plan, around stairs or lifts or toilets, where there will be no architectural difficulty in extending them through the height of the building, care shall be taken to have symmetrical configuration of walls in plan so that torsional effect in plan could be avoided.

Further, shear walls should get enough vertical load from floors, for which reason, nearby columns should be omitted and load taken to the shear walls by means of long span beams if required.

B. Data to be Used
shear walls
Without opening:
- W300 mm thick at the 11th story and above,
- W 400mm thick at the 10th story and below,
With opening: 5%, 10%, 15%, 20%
- W300 mm thick at the 11th story and above,
- W 400mm thick at the 10th story and below
Columns: C 700x700 mm of M40 grade concrete at 11th Story and above,
- C 900x900 mm of M40 grade concrete at 10th Story and below
Beams: B400x700 mm of M40 grade concrete at 11th Story and above
- B450x800 mm of M40 grade concrete at 10th Story and below
Slab: S 200 mm of M40 grade concrete for all story
- S150 mm of M30 grade concrete on roof
Staircase: S150 mm of M30 grade concrete for all story
Wall: Brickwall 230 mm upto 20th story
- Brickwall 115mm thick at roof

Figure 1: Plan

Figure 2: Three-Dimensional View of Considered Building

Figure 3: Plans for Location of Shear Walls In The Building
C. Description

1) Structure : Unsymmetrical Irregular building.
2) Plan dimensions : 50mx19m.
3) Column size : 900mmx900mm (plinth to 10th floor).
   Column size : 700mmx700mm (11th floor to Roof)
4) Beam size : 450mmx800mm(Gl to 10th floor)
   : 400mmx700mm (11th to Roof)
5) Slab thickness : 200mm.
6) Shearwalls : W300 mm thick at the 11th story and above,
   : W 400mm thick at the 10th story and below
7) Brick walls : W230 mm upto 20th story
   : W115mm thick at roof
8) Typical floor Height : 3m

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Modes Shapes For With & Without Shear Walls
**Figure 6**: Mode 1 period in seconds for with & without opening of shear wall

**Figure 7**: 6\textsuperscript{th} Mode Shape for with & Without Opening of Shearwall of Period in Sec
B. Displacement graph for different size openings
Figure 9: Storey drift graph for different size openings:

Comparison of Hand Calculation Values Of Base Shear With Etabs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Load</th>
<th>Manually</th>
<th>Etabs</th>
<th>Variation In Percentage %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EQx</td>
<td>18187.40</td>
<td>17531.33</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EQy</td>
<td>11244.35</td>
<td>10812.52</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wx</td>
<td>6127.5</td>
<td>5732.28</td>
<td>6.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wy</td>
<td>2328.45</td>
<td>2181.41</td>
<td>6.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
IV. CONCLUSIONS

1. From the study of parametric study on shear walls without & with 5%, 10%, 15% and 20%, Openings it is observed that the Displacements are increasing with the increase in the percentage of opening.
2. From the study of parametric study on shear walls without & with 5%, 10%, 15% and 20%, Openings it is observed that the Drift are increasing with the increase in the percentage of opening.
3. it is observed that the stiffness of the shear wall are decreasing with the increase in the percentage of opening.
4. it is observed that the torsion are negligible due to the location of ‘L’ shape shearwalls at the corners.
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