

Print ISSN - 2395-1990 Online ISSN : 2394-4099



Available Online at : www.ijsrset.com doi : https://doi.org/10.32628/IJSRSET2411585



# Orthogonal Generalized Derivation and Left (Resp. Right) Centralizers on Semiprime Ring

Hanan Khalid Mousa, Salah Mehdi Salih

Department of Mathematics, College of Education, AL-Mustansiriyah University, Baghdad, Iraq

ARTICLE INFO	ABSTRACT
	The object of this article is present the orthogonally of different two
Article History:	concepts. We proved: Assume $\dot{\mathfrak{D}}$ and $\dot{t}$ are orthog gd and l(rr)c on 2-
Accepted : 02 Sep 2024	tortion free semiprime ring $\dot{R}$ s.t. $\dot{t}$ is commutative then $\dot{d}$ and $\dot{t}$ are
Published: 25 Sep 2024	orthog where $\dot{d}$ is associated derivation of $\dot{\mathfrak{D}}$ .
Publication Issue :	Keywords: Derivation, Generalized Derivation, Left Centralizer,
Volume 11, Issue 5	Orthogonal, Semiprime Ring.
Sept-Oct-2024	
Page Number :	
108-110	

### I. INTRODUCTION

The orthogonal is one of important concept in algebra[2,3] where in this paper we study the orthogonal of left (resp. right)-centralizer and derivation on ring . we prove that

Let R be semiprime ring, d and t

are derivation and left (resp.righr)-centralizer on R respectively then d and t are orthogonal iff  $\exists$  a,b $\in$ R, b $\in$ Z(R);s.t. dt(u) = au+ ub, for all u $\in \dot{R}$ .

The following result we needed later

Lemma 1.1:[1] Assume  $\dot{R}$  with char.  $\neq$ 2, semi-prime and  $\dot{a}, \dot{b} \in \hat{\mathcal{R}}$  what follows is equivalent

$$\forall \dot{c} \in \mathcal{R} ,$$

i.  $\dot{a}\dot{c}\dot{b} = 0$ 

- ii.  $\dot{b}\dot{c}\dot{a} = 0$
- iii.  $\dot{a}\dot{c}\dot{b} + \dot{b}\dot{c}\dot{a} = 0$

If one of above conditions is satisfy then  $\dot{b} \dot{a}=0=\dot{a} \dot{b}$ .

## II. ORTHOGONAL GENERALIZED DERIVATION AND LEFT (RESP. RIGHT)-CENTRALIZER OF RING

**Definition 2.1:** Assume  $\dot{D}$  is a generalized derivation (for shout gd) on a ring  $\dot{R}$  and t be left (resp.right)-centralizer ( for shout l(rr)c)on  $\dot{R}$  then  $\dot{d}$  and  $\dot{t}$  is orthogonal (for shout orthog) iff

 $\forall \dot{a}, \dot{b} \in \hat{\mathcal{R}}, \, \dot{\mathcal{D}}(\dot{a}) \dot{\mathcal{R}} \dot{t}(\dot{b}) = 0 = \dot{t}(\dot{a}) \dot{\mathcal{R}} \dot{\mathcal{D}}(\dot{b})$ 

**Example2.2:** Assume  $\hat{\mathcal{R}}$  be a ring  $\dot{S} = \hat{\mathcal{R}} \times \hat{\mathcal{R}}$ , define derivation  $\dot{D}_1$  and l (r r)c  $\dot{t}$  on $\hat{S}$ .

If  $\dot{D}$  be defined on  $\dot{S}\times\dot{S}$  by  $\dot{D}((\dot{a},\dot{b}),(\dot{a}_1,\dot{b}_1))=(\dot{D}_1(\dot{a},\dot{b}),0)$ , then  $\dot{D}$  is derivation on  $\dot{S}\times\dot{S}$  associated with derivation  $\dot{d}$  on  $\dot{S}\times\dot{S}$  defined by  $\dot{d}((\dot{a},\dot{b}),(\dot{a}_1,\dot{b}_1))=(\dot{d}_1(\dot{a},\dot{b}),0)$  s.t.  $\dot{d}_1$  is derivation on  $\dot{S}$ .

and  $\dot{T}$  is defined on  $\dot{S} \times \dot{S}$  by

T(( $\dot{a}$ ,  $\dot{b}$ ),( $\dot{u}$ ,  $\dot{v}$ ))= (0,  $\dot{t}$ ( $\dot{a}$ ,  $\dot{b}$ )), then  $\dot{T}$  is left (resp.right)-centralizer on  $\dot{S} \times \dot{S}$ . Hence  $\dot{D}$  and  $\dot{T}$  are orthog on  $\dot{S} \times \dot{S}$ .

108

**Copyright © 2024 The Author(s) :** This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

**Example2.3:** Assume  $\dot{\mathcal{R}} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 0 & a \\ 0 & o \end{bmatrix} : a \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}$  be a ring defines  $\dot{D}$ ,  $\dot{t}$  on  $\dot{\mathcal{R}}$  by  $\dot{D}\begin{bmatrix} 0 & a \\ 0 & o \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 8a \\ 0 & o \end{bmatrix}$  associated with derivation  $\dot{d}$  on *ℜ* bv  $\dot{d} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & a \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 3a^4 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  $\dot{t} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & a \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 5a^7 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}; \forall \begin{bmatrix} 0 & a \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \in \dot{\mathcal{R}}$ Then  $\hat{\mathfrak{D}}$  and  $\hat{t}$  are orthog. **Lemma 1:** Let  $\dot{\mathfrak{D}}$  and  $\dot{t}$  be gd and l(rr)c on a semiprime ring  $\dot{R}$  is orthog iff  $\hat{\mathfrak{D}}(\dot{a}) \dot{t}(\dot{b}) + \dot{t}(\dot{b}) \hat{\mathfrak{D}}(\dot{a}) = 0, \forall \dot{a}, \dot{b} \in \hat{\mathcal{R}}.$ Proof: Assume  $\hat{\mathfrak{D}}$  and  $\dot{t}$  are orthog To prove  $\hat{\mathfrak{D}}(\dot{a}) \dot{t}(\dot{b}) + \dot{t}(\dot{b}) \, \hat{\mathfrak{D}}(\dot{a})=0$ , for all $\dot{a}, \dot{b} \in \dot{R}$ . Since  $\acute{d}$  and  $\acute{t}$  are orthog  $\dot{\mathfrak{D}}(\dot{a})\dot{w}\dot{t}(\dot{b})=0=\dot{t}(\dot{b})\dot{w}\dot{\mathfrak{D}}(\dot{a})$ By Lemma 1.1 we get  $\hat{\mathfrak{D}}(\hat{a}) \hat{t}(\hat{b}) = \hat{t}(\hat{b}) \hat{\mathfrak{D}}(\hat{a})$  $\hat{\mathfrak{D}}(\hat{\mathfrak{D}})\dot{t}(\hat{b})+\dot{t}(\hat{b})\hat{\mathfrak{D}}(\hat{a})=0$ Conversely  $\hat{\mathfrak{D}}(\dot{a})\dot{t}(\dot{b}) + \dot{t}(\dot{b})\hat{\mathfrak{D}}(\dot{a}) = 0$ Replace  $\hat{b}$  by  $\hat{b}\hat{a}$  $\dot{\mathfrak{D}}(\dot{a})\dot{t}(\dot{b}\dot{a})+\dot{t}(\dot{b}\dot{a})\dot{\mathfrak{D}}(\dot{a})=0$  $\dot{\mathfrak{D}}(\dot{a})\dot{t}(\dot{b})\dot{a}+\dot{t}(\dot{b})\dot{a}\dot{\mathfrak{D}}(\dot{a})=0$  $\dot{t}(\dot{b})\dot{a}\dot{\mathfrak{D}}(\dot{a})=0$ by Lemma1.1,  $\hat{\mathfrak{D}}(\dot{a})\dot{a}\dot{t}(\dot{b}) + \dot{t}(\dot{b})\dot{a}\dot{\mathfrak{D}}(\dot{a}) = 0$ hence  $\dot{\mathfrak{D}}$  and  $\dot{t}$  are orthog

## **III.MAIN RESULTS**

Lemma 2: Let  $\hat{\mathfrak{D}}$  and  $\dot{t}$  be gd and l(rr)c on a semiprime ring  $\dot{R}$  then  $\dot{\mathfrak{D}}$  and  $\dot{t}$  are orthog. if and only if  $\dot{\mathfrak{D}}\dot{t}=0$ . Proof: suppose that  $\dot{\mathfrak{D}}\dot{t}=0$  and  $\dot{a},\dot{b}\in\dot{R}$  $0=\hat{\mathfrak{D}}\dot{t}(\dot{a}\dot{b})$  $=\hat{\mathfrak{D}}(\dot{t}(\dot{a})\dot{b})$  $=\hat{\mathfrak{D}}(\dot{t}(\dot{a}))\dot{b} + \dot{t}(\dot{a})\dot{a}(\dot{b})$  $=\dot{t}(\dot{a})\dot{d}(\dot{b})$ Replace  $\dot{a}$  by  $\dot{a}\dot{w}$  $0=\dot{t}(\dot{a})\dot{w}\dot{d}(\dot{b})$ By lemma1.1;  $0=\dot{t}(\dot{a})\dot{w}\dot{d}(\dot{b})+\dot{d}(\dot{b})\dot{w}\dot{t}(\dot{a})$  $\Rightarrow \dot{d}$  and  $\dot{t}$  are orthog Conversely let  $\hat{\mathfrak{D}}$  and  $\dot{t}$  are orthog

 $\hat{D}(\ddot{a})\dot{\omega}\dot{t}(\dot{b})=0$  $\hat{\mathfrak{D}}(\dot{\mathfrak{D}}(\dot{a})\dot{w}\dot{t}(\dot{b}))=0$  $\hat{\mathfrak{D}}(\dot{\mathfrak{D}}(\dot{a})) \dot{d}(\dot{w}) \dot{d}(\dot{t}(\dot{b})) = 0$ Replace  $\hat{\mathfrak{D}}(\hat{\mathfrak{D}}(\dot{a}))$  by  $\hat{\mathfrak{D}}(\dot{t}(\dot{\mathfrak{b}}))$  and  $\dot{t}(\dot{t}(\dot{\mathfrak{b}}))$  by  $\hat{\mathfrak{D}}(\dot{t}(\dot{\mathfrak{b}}))$  $\dot{\mathfrak{D}}(\dot{t}(\dot{b}))\dot{R}\dot{\mathfrak{D}}(\dot{t}(\dot{b})) = 0$ By semiprimeness,  $\hat{\mathfrak{D}}(\dot{t}(\dot{\mathbf{b}}))=0$ ; for all  $\dot{\mathbf{b}}\in\dot{R}$ ,  $\dot{d}\dot{t}=0$ . **Lemma 3:** Let  $\dot{\mathfrak{D}}$  and  $\dot{t}$  be gd and l(rr)c on a semiprime ring  $\dot{R}$ , of char $\neq$ 2, then  $\dot{\mathfrak{D}}$  and  $\dot{t}$  are orthog iff  $\dot{\mathfrak{D}}\dot{t} + \dot{t}\dot{\mathfrak{D}} = 0$ . Proof: assume that  $\dot{\mathfrak{D}}\dot{t} + \dot{t}\dot{\mathfrak{D}} = 0$ ;  $\forall \dot{a}, \dot{b} \in \dot{R}$  $0 = (\dot{\mathfrak{D}}\dot{t} + \dot{t}\dot{\mathfrak{D}})(\dot{a}\dot{b})$  $= \hat{\mathfrak{D}}(\hat{t}(\hat{a}\hat{b})) + \hat{t}(\hat{\mathfrak{D}}(\hat{a}\hat{b}))$  $= \hat{\mathfrak{D}}(\acute{t}(\dot{a})\dot{b}) + \acute{t}(\hat{\mathfrak{D}}(\dot{a})\dot{b} + \dot{a}\hat{\mathfrak{D}}(\dot{b}))$  $= \hat{\mathfrak{D}}(\dot{t}(\dot{a}))\dot{\mathfrak{b}} + \dot{t}(\dot{a})\dot{\mathfrak{D}}(\dot{\mathfrak{b}}) + \dot{t}(\hat{\mathfrak{D}}(\dot{a}))\dot{\mathfrak{b}} + \dot{t}(\dot{a})\dot{\mathfrak{D}}(\dot{\mathfrak{b}})$  $=2\dot{t}(\dot{a})\,\hat{\mathfrak{D}}(\dot{b})$ By 2-torsion free,  $\dot{t}(\dot{a})\dot{\mathfrak{D}}(\dot{b})=0$ by result1.1;  $\dot{\mathfrak{D}}$  and  $\dot{t}$  are orthog. Conversely; let  $\dot{\mathfrak{D}}$  and  $\dot{t}$  are orthog, by Lemma 2 we get  $\dot{\mathfrak{D}}\dot{t} + \dot{t}\dot{\mathfrak{D}} = 0$ . **Lemma 4:** Assume  $\dot{R}$  is semiprime of char. $\neq 2$  if  $\dot{\mathfrak{D}}^2 = \dot{t}^2$ , *then*  $\dot{\mathfrak{D}}$ - $\dot{t}$  and  $\dot{\mathfrak{D}}$ + $\dot{t}$  are orthog. Proof:  $((\dot{\mathfrak{D}} - \acute{t})(\acute{\mathfrak{D}} + \acute{t}) + (\dot{\mathfrak{D}} + \acute{t})(\acute{\mathfrak{D}} - \acute{t}))(\acute{z})$  $= (\hat{\mathfrak{D}} - \dot{t})(\dot{z})(\dot{\mathfrak{D}} + \dot{t})(\dot{z}) + (\dot{\mathfrak{D}} + \dot{t})(\dot{z})(\dot{\mathfrak{D}} - \dot{t})(\dot{z})$  $= (\tilde{\mathfrak{D}}(\dot{z}) - \acute{t}(\dot{z}))(\acute{\mathfrak{D}}(\dot{z}) + \acute{t}(\dot{z})) + (\acute{\mathfrak{D}}(\dot{z}) + \acute{t}(\dot{z}))(\acute{\mathfrak{D}}(\dot{z})$  $-\acute{t}(\dot{z}))$  $= \dot{\mathfrak{D}}^{2}(\dot{z}) + \dot{\mathfrak{D}}(\dot{z}) \dot{t}(\dot{z}) - \dot{t}(\dot{z}) \dot{\mathfrak{D}}(\dot{z}) - \dot{\mathfrak{D}}^{2}(\dot{z}) + \dot{\mathfrak{D}}^{2}(\ddot{z}) \hat{\mathfrak{D}}(\dot{z})\dot{t}(\dot{z})$  + $\dot{t}(\dot{z})$   $\hat{\mathfrak{D}}(\dot{z})$ -  $\dot{t}^2(\dot{z})$ =0 By Lemma1;  $\hat{\mathfrak{D}}$ + $\hat{t}$  and  $\hat{\mathfrak{D}}$ - $\hat{t}$  are orthog. **Lemma 5:** Assume  $\dot{R}$  be semi-prime ring;  $\dot{\mathfrak{D}}$ ,  $\dot{t}$  are gd and l(rr)c on  $\dot{R}$  s.t.  $\dot{d}^2 = \dot{t}^2$ , then  $\dot{d} - \dot{t}$  and  $\dot{d} + \dot{t}$  are orthog. Where  $\dot{d}$  is associated derivation of  $\dot{D}$ . Proof:  $((\dot{d}-\dot{t})(\dot{d}+\dot{t})+(\dot{d}+\dot{t})(\dot{d}-\dot{t}))(\dot{a})$  $(\dot{d}, \dot{t})(\dot{d})(\dot{d}, \dot{t})(\dot{d}) + (\dot{d}, \dot{t})(\dot{d})(\dot{d}, \dot{t})\dot{d}$ 

$$= (d-t)(a)(d+t)(a) + (a+t)(a)(d-t)a)$$

$$= (\dot{d}(\dot{a}) - \dot{t}(\dot{a}))(\dot{d}(\dot{a}) + \dot{t}(\dot{a})) + (\dot{d}(\dot{a}) + \dot{t}(\dot{a}))(\dot{d}(\dot{a}) - \dot{t}(\dot{a}))$$

$$= \dot{d}^{2}(\dot{a}) + \dot{d}(\dot{a})\dot{t}(\dot{a}) - \dot{t}(\dot{a})\dot{d}(\dot{a}) - \dot{d}^{2}(\dot{a}) + \dot{d}^{2}(\dot{a}) - \dot{d}(\dot{a})$$

$$\dot{t}(\dot{a}) + \dot{t}(\dot{a})\dot{d}(\dot{a}) - \dot{t}^{2}(\dot{a})$$

$$= 0$$

By Lemma1;  $\dot{d}$ + $\dot{t}$  and  $\dot{d}$ - $\dot{t}$  are orthog.

**Lemma 6:** Assume  $\dot{R}$  be semiprime ring then  $\dot{d}$  and  $\dot{t}$ are orthog iff  $\exists c, e \in \hat{\mathcal{R}}$ ,  $\dot{e} \in \mathbb{Z}(\dot{\mathcal{R}})$ ; s. t.  $\dot{\mathfrak{D}} \dot{t}(\dot{a}) = c \dot{a} + \dot{a} \dot{e}, \forall \dot{a} \in \dot{\mathcal{R}}.$ Proof : Let  $\dot{\mathfrak{D}}\dot{t}(\dot{a}) = c\dot{a} + \dot{a}\dot{e}$ Replace à by àb  $\dot{\mathfrak{D}}\dot{t}(\dot{a}\dot{b}) = c\dot{a}\dot{b} + \dot{a}\dot{b}\dot{e}$  $\dot{\mathfrak{D}}(\dot{t}(\dot{a})\dot{b}) = c\dot{a}\dot{b} + \dot{a}\dot{b}\dot{e}$  $\dot{\mathfrak{D}}\dot{t}(\dot{a})\dot{b}+\dot{t}(\dot{a})\dot{d}(\dot{b})=\dot{c}\dot{a}\dot{b}+\dot{a}\dot{b}\dot{e}$  $\dot{c}\dot{a}\dot{b}+\dot{a}\dot{e}\dot{b}+\dot{t}(\dot{a})\dot{d}(\dot{b})=c\dot{a}\dot{b}+\dot{a}\dot{b}\dot{e}$  $\dot{a}\dot{e}\dot{b}$ + $\dot{t}(\dot{a})\dot{d}(\dot{b})$ = $\dot{a}\dot{b}\dot{e}$ replace b by ba  $\dot{a}\dot{e}\dot{b}\dot{a} + \dot{t}(\dot{a})\dot{d}(\dot{b}\dot{a}) = \dot{a}\dot{b}\dot{a}\dot{e}$  $\dot{a}\dot{e}\dot{b}\dot{a}+\dot{t}(\dot{a})\,\dot{d}(\dot{b})\dot{a}+\dot{t}(\dot{a})\dot{b}d(\dot{a})=\dot{a}\dot{b}\dot{a}\dot{e}$  $\dot{a}\dot{b}\dot{e}\dot{a}+\dot{t}(\dot{a})\dot{b}\dot{d}(\dot{a})=\dot{a}\dot{b}\dot{e}\dot{a}$  $\dot{t}(\dot{a})\dot{b}\dot{d}(\dot{a})=0$ by lemma 1.1 ;  $\hat{\mathfrak{D}}$  and  $\dot{\mathfrak{t}}$  are orthog. Conversely, since  $\hat{\mathfrak{D}}$  and  $\dot{t}$  are orthogo,  $\dot{\mathfrak{D}}\dot{t}$  =0, so we can choose  $c = \dot{e} = 0$  so that  $\dot{\mathfrak{D}}\dot{t}(\dot{x})=c\dot{x}+\dot{x}\acute{e}.$ **Lemma 7:** Assume  $\dot{\mathfrak{D}}$  and  $\dot{t}$  are orthog gd and l(rr)c on 2-tortion free semiprime ring  $\dot{R}$  s.t.  $\dot{t}$  is commutative then  $\dot{d}$  and  $\dot{t}$  are orthog where  $\dot{d}$  is associated derivation of  $\hat{\mathfrak{D}}$ . Proof: Assume  $\hat{\mathfrak{D}}$  and  $\dot{t}$  are orthogonal  $\Rightarrow \hat{\mathfrak{D}}(\dot{a})\dot{R}\dot{t}(\dot{b}) = (0)$ By lemma  $1.1 \Rightarrow \hat{\mathfrak{D}}(\dot{a}) \dot{t}(\dot{b}) = 0$ *t* ( *b* ) Since t is commutative  $\hat{\mathbb{D}}(\hat{a})=0.$ ...(1) Replace wa by a  $\Rightarrow \dot{t}(\dot{b}) \hat{\mathfrak{D}}(\dot{w}\dot{a}) = 0$  $\Rightarrow \dot{t}(\dot{b}) \,\dot{\mathfrak{D}}(\dot{w}) \dot{a} + \dot{t}(\dot{b}) \dot{w} \dot{d}(\dot{a}) = 0$  $\Rightarrow \dot{t}(\dot{b})\dot{w}\dot{d}(\dot{a}) = 0$  by (1)  $\Rightarrow \dot{d}(\dot{a})\dot{w}\dot{t}(\dot{b}) = 0$ . By commutative of  $\dot{t}$  $\Rightarrow \dot{d}$  and  $\dot{t}$  are orthog.

### **IV.CONCLUSION**

The concept of orthogonal is the important topic in algebra. The concept of orthogonality between two different types of mappings is to generalize the definitions of orthognol of same typs of mappings on ring and to Specify the study between two concepts: Orthogonal generalized derivation and left (resp. right) centralizer as well as orthogonal between derivation and left (resp. right) centralizer.

## Acknowledgement:

I would like to sincerely appreciate the Mathematics Department at Mustansiriyah University's Collage of Education for their support in this study.

## V. REFERENCES

- [1]. S.M. Salih , E.M. Khazal, 2018. " Orthogonal Generalized Higher Reveres Derivations on Semi prime Rings " International Journal of Applied Science and Technology, Vol. 8, No. 1,pp.24-36. http://www.rspublication.com/ijst/index.html.
- [2]. M.Brešar and Vukman,1989. "Orthogonal Derivations and on Extension of a Theorem of Posner",RadoviMathematick, 5,pp. 237-246.
- [3]. B. Zalar, 1991. "On Centralizers of Semiprime Ring", Comment. Math. University. Carol., 32(4), 609-614.
- [4]. H. M. Alnoghashi, F.A. Alqarni and N.Ur Rehman,2023. "Results on Generalized Derivation in Prime Rings", Algebraic Structures and Their Applications, Vol. 10, No. 2, pp 87-98.
- [5]. M. A. Raza, A. Khan and H. Alhazmi "A Characterization of b–Generalized Derivations on Prime Rings with Involution", AIMS Mathematics, 7(2): pp:2413–2426, 2021.

