International Journal of Scientific Research in Science, Engineering and Technology

Print ISSN - 2395-1990
Online ISSN : 2394-4099

OPEN ACCESS

Available Online at :www.ijrset.com
doi : https://doi.org/10.32628/IJSRSET251248

Singularities and Metric Structures in Sub-Riemannian Geometries with Applications to Control Theory

Dr Manju Bala

Associate Professor, Department of Mathematics, S V College Aligarh, Uttar Pradesh, India

ARTICLEINFO

Article History:

Accepted : 19 May 2025
Published: 26 May 2025

Publication Issue :
Volume 12, Issue 3
May-June-2025

Page Number :
359-363

ABSTRACT

Sub-Riemannian geometry extends classical Riemannian frameworks by defining metrics only on constrained directions within manifolds, naturally modeling systems with nonholonomic constraints. This paper investigates the nature and impact of singularities—points where the geometric structure or metric degenerates—on the local and global properties of sub-Riemannian manifolds. We analyze metric behavior near singularities through nilpotent approximations and study their influence on geodesic existence, uniqueness, and stability, with particular emphasis on abnormal geodesics. Further, we explore the crucial role these singularities play in optimal control problems for constrained dynamical systems, illustrating how they affect controllability, accessibility, and trajectory synthesis. Through canonical examples like the Heisenberg group and Martinet distribution, this work highlights the intricate interplay between geometry and control, laying groundwork for future advances in both theoretical understanding and practical applications.

Keywords: Sub-Riemannian geometry, singularities, abnormal geodesics, nilpotent approximation, metric structures, nonholonomic control systems, optimal control, geodesic stability, Lie bracket generating distributions, control theory applications

INTRODUCTION

Sub-Riemannian geometry generalizes classical Riemannian geometry by relaxing the requirement that a metric be defined on the entire tangent bundle of a manifold. Instead, the metric is defined only on a subbundle, known as the **horizontal distribution**, which encodes the directions in which motion or flow is permitted. This framework naturally arises in many fields, including robotics (nonholonomic systems such as wheeled vehicles), mechanical systems with constraints, and mathematical control theory.

The fundamental challenge and richness of sub-Riemannian geometry lie in its **singularities**—points where the distribution or its Lie algebraic properties degenerate, or where the metric exhibits non-smooth behavior. Unlike Riemannian manifolds, geodesics and distance functions can behave in surprisingly complex ways near these singular points. For instance, geodesics may not be unique, and some extremal curves, called **abnormal geodesics**, may not be minimizers in the classical sense but still appear as candidates for optimal trajectories in control problems.

This paper explores the detailed structure of singularities in sub-Riemannian geometry, the metric properties they induce, and their implications for control theory. We analyze how singularities affect the existence, uniqueness, and stability of geodesics and examine the impact on controllability and optimal control synthesis in systems constrained by nonholonomic dynamics.

Our approach leverages tools from geometric analysis, Lie algebra theory, and optimal control, synthesizing these perspectives to provide a comprehensive view of the subtleties introduced by singularities in sub-Riemannian spaces.

PRELIMINARIES

2.1 Sub-Riemannian Manifolds: Definitions and Examples

Definition 2.1 (Sub-Riemannian Manifold): A sub-Riemannian manifold is a triple (M, Δ, g) where

- M is a connected smooth manifold of dimension n.
- $\Delta \subset TM$ is a smooth distribution of constant rank $k < n$, i.e., for each $p \in M$, $\Delta_p \subseteq T_pM$ is a k-dimensional subspace varying smoothly with p.
- g is a smoothly varying inner product on Δ.

The distribution Δ represents the allowed directions of motion. The metric g allows the definition of lengths and angles only on vectors tangent to Δ.

Example 2.1 (Heisenberg Group): The simplest and most studied example is the Heisenberg group $\mathbb{H}^1$, a 3-dimensional manifold $\mathbb{R}^3$ with coordinates (x, y, z) and distribution

$$\Delta = \text{span}\left\{X_1 = \partial_x - \frac{y}{2}\partial_z, \quad X_2 = \partial_y + \frac{x}{2}\partial_z\right\},$$

equipped with the standard inner product making X_1, X_2 orthonormal.

2.2 Admissible Curves and Sub-Riemannian Distance

A curve $\gamma: [0, T] \to M$ is **horizontal** (or admissible) if $\dot{\gamma}(t) \in \Delta_{\gamma(t)}$ for almost every t. The length of a horizontal curve is

$$L(\gamma) = \int_0^T \sqrt{g_{\gamma(t)}(\dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t))}\, dt.$$

The **sub-Riemannian distance** between two points $p, q \in M$ is defined by

$$d(p, q) = \inf\{L(\gamma): \gamma \text{ horizontal}, \gamma(0) = p, \gamma(T) = q\}.$$

By the **Chow–Rashevskii theorem**, if Δ is **bracket-generating** (meaning vector fields tangent to Δ and their iterated Lie brackets span TM), then any two points can be connected by a horizontal curve, ensuring d is finite and defines a metric topology coinciding with the manifold topology.

2.3 Control-Theoretic Formulation

Sub-Riemannian geometry is equivalent to the study of a control system

$$\dot{\gamma}(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} u_i(t) X_i(\gamma(t)),$$

where $X_1, \dots, X_k$ form an orthonormal frame of Δ, and $u_i(t) \in \mathbb{R}$ are measurable control inputs.

The control objective is often to minimize the energy

$$J(u) = \frac{1}{2}\int_0^T \sum_{i=1}^{k} u_i(t)^2 dt,$$

subject to boundary constraints. The optimal controls correspond to **geodesics** in the sub-Riemannian manifold.

2.4 Singularities and Abnormal Geodesics

Definition 2.2 (Abnormal Extremal): An extremal $\lambda(t) \in T^*M$ is abnormal if it corresponds to a solution of the Pontryagin Maximum Principle (PMP) with the Hamiltonian multiplier of the cost function equal to zero. Abnormal geodesics may not be length-minimizing but can be critical in describing the reachable set or singularities.

Singularities appear in various forms:

- Points where the distribution rank drops (rank-varying distributions).

- Points where abnormal extremals exist, and the standard regularity of the metric fails.
- Points where the metric tangent cone is non-Euclidean or non-smooth.

METRIC STRUCTURES NEAR SINGULARITIES

3.1 Nilpotent Approximation and Tangent Cones

At any point $p \in M$, the Lie algebra generated by Δ defines a filtration

$$\Delta_p^1 = \Delta_p, \quad \Delta_p^{i+1} = \Delta_p^i + [\Delta, \Delta_p^i],$$

which stabilizes to T_pM due to bracket-generating assumption.

The **nilpotent approximation** replaces the manifold locally by a Carnot group (a simply connected nilpotent Lie group with a stratified Lie algebra) that approximates the geometry at p. This approximation captures the local metric structure, especially near singular points, by "linearizing" the bracket relations.

3.2 Metric Differentiability and Volume Growth

Unlike Riemannian manifolds, the sub-Riemannian distance function may fail to be smooth at singular points, leading to metric non-differentiability phenomena. Volume growth near singularities is governed by the Hausdorff dimension, which often exceeds the topological dimension.

3.3 Stratification of Singular Sets

The singular set can often be decomposed into a union of smooth manifolds of various dimensions. Each stratum exhibits different metric and geometric properties, allowing for piecewise regular analysis.

Perfect! I'll continue with the next major sections: **Geodesic Behavior Near Singularities** and **Applications to Control Theory**, preserving rigor and clarity.

GEODESIC BEHAVIOR NEAR SINGULARITIES

Understanding geodesics is fundamental in sub-Riemannian geometry, especially near singular points where classical regularity breaks down. This section investigates the existence, uniqueness, and stability of geodesics in neighborhoods containing singularities.

4.1 Normal and Abnormal Geodesics

Geodesics in sub-Riemannian geometry arise as projections of extremal curves $\lambda(t)$ in the cotangent bundle T^*M that satisfy the **Pontryagin Maximum Principle (PMP)**.

- **Normal Geodesics:** Correspond to nonzero Lagrange multiplier for the cost in PMP, derived from the Hamiltonian

$$H(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{k} \langle \lambda, X_i \rangle^2,$$

  where $\lambda \in T^*M$.
- **Abnormal Geodesics:** Occur when the multiplier of the cost is zero. These geodesics depend only on the distribution geometry, independent of the metric g.

Remark: While normal geodesics locally minimize length, abnormal geodesics may fail to be minimizers but are critical in describing reachable sets and singularities.

4.2 Existence and Uniqueness

- **Existence:** By the Chow–Rashevskii theorem, any two points can be joined by an admissible curve; the **existence** of geodesics (minimizers) follows by standard arguments in geometric control theory.
- **Uniqueness Failure at Singularities:** Near singular points, uniqueness may fail due to branching of geodesics, bifurcations, or the coexistence of normal and abnormal geodesics connecting the same endpoints.
- **Cut Locus and Conjugate Points:** Singularities often coincide with or lie near the cut locus — the set where geodesics lose global minimality — and conjugate points where geodesics lose local optimality.

4.3 Stability and Bifurcation

The stability of geodesics under perturbations is delicate near singularities:

- Small changes in initial conditions or controls can drastically alter trajectory behavior.

- **Bifurcation theory** applies to analyze how geodesics split or merge near singular points.
- This has direct implications for control robustness and planning.

APPLICATIONS TO CONTROL THEORY

Sub-Riemannian geometry provides the natural language for **nonholonomic control systems**, where system states evolve under constraints that limit the directions of feasible motion.

5.1 Nonholonomic Systems and Sub-Riemannian Structure

A **nonholonomic system** is a control system with constraints on velocities not integrable into position constraints. Examples:

- Mobile robots with wheels that cannot slip sideways.
- Aerospace vehicles constrained by momentum or fuel flow.
- Quantum systems with restricted control Hamiltonians.

These systems are modeled by vector fields X_i defining a distribution Δ. The system's evolution is

$$\dot{x} = \sum_{i=1}^{k} u_i(t) X_i(x),$$

where controls u_i satisfy energy or input constraints.

The **cost of moving** between states corresponds to sub-Riemannian length.

5.2 Role of Singularities in Control Synthesis

- **Abnormal Trajectories:** Singularities often correspond to abnormal extremals in control, which may be optimal or suboptimal trajectories. Their identification is crucial for understanding controllability limits.
- **Controllability and Accessibility:** At singular points, the rank condition may fail locally, causing loss of controllability or requiring more complex control strategies.
- **Optimal Control Challenges:** Singularities introduce difficulties in numerical algorithms for trajectory optimization, as cost functionals become non-smooth or ill-conditioned.

5.3 Practical Examples

- **Wheeled Vehicle Parking Problem:** The car's motion constraints define a rank 2 distribution in 3D configuration space with singularities at zero velocity or steering limits.
- **Quantum Control:** Singularities relate to degeneracies in control Hamiltonians, impacting reachable sets in state space.
- **Robotics and Motion Planning:** Identifying singularities aids in path planning algorithms that avoid unstable or suboptimal trajectories.

CASE STUDIES

6.1 Heisenberg Group

- The simplest nontrivial sub-Riemannian manifold.
- Singularities correspond to points where abnormal geodesics exist.
- The metric tangent cone is a Carnot group, facilitating explicit computations.
- Exhibits phenomena like non-unique geodesics connecting the same points.

6.2 Martinet Distribution

- A rank 2 distribution in a 3D manifold where the distribution rank drops along a singular surface.
- Classical example illustrating how singularities complicate geodesic structure and control accessibility.
- Explicit abnormal geodesics exist and are critical in control synthesis.

OPEN PROBLEMS AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

- **Extending nilpotent approximation techniques** to analyze more complicated singular sets.
- **Numerical methods** to handle abnormal trajectories and singularities in optimal control problems.
- **Metric measure theory** for sub-Riemannian manifolds with singularities.

- **Stochastic control** problems where noise interacts with singular geometric structures.

CONCLUSION

The interplay between singularities and metric structures in sub-Riemannian geometry profoundly impacts control theory, both theoretically and practically. Understanding singularities allows for deeper insights into geodesic behavior, controllability, and optimal trajectory synthesis in constrained systems. Future research in this rich area promises to advance mathematical theory and engineering applications alike.

REFERENCES

[1]. Agrachev, A., Barilari, D., & Boscain, U. (2020). Introduction to Riemannian and Sub-Riemannian Geometry. Cambridge University Press.

[2]. Bellaïche, A. (1996). The tangent space in sub-Riemannian geometry. In A. Bellaïche & J.-J. Risler (Eds.), Sub-Riemannian Geometry (pp. 1–78). Birkhäuser.

[3]. Gromov, M. (1996). Carnot–Carathéodory spaces seen from within. In Sub-Riemannian Geometry (pp. 79–323). Birkhäuser.

[4]. Jean, F. (2014). Control of Nonholonomic Systems: From Sub-Riemannian Geometry to Motion Planning. Springer.

[5]. Montgomery, R. (2002). A Tour of Sub-Riemannian Geometries, Their Geodesics and Applications. American Mathematical Society.

[6]. Sussmann, H. J., & Liu, W. (1991). Singularities and abnormal extremals in control theory. Control Theory and Applications