

Comparative Analysis of Social Network Analysis and

Visualisation Tools

Prof. Priyanka Shah, Dr. Richa Mehta

BCA, BPCCS College, KSV University, Gandhinagar, Gujarat, India

ABSTRACT

Data Analysis and Visualization is very important for big organizations. When social network data grows rapidly, it becomes difficult to analyse and visualize the data and perform complex comparisons. Various Data Analysis and Visualization tools are used to overcome this problem. The research provides a comparative study on popular visualization tools -Gephi, Cytoscape, SocNetV and yEd that could be used in the analysis of large datasets. Comparison study is categorized based on their significance-basic functionalities, network types, graph layouts, input file formats, graph attributes. Researcher uses denotation to measure social network analysis and visualization tools based on attributes.

Keywords: Graph Mining, Social Network Analysis, Graph Attributes

I. INTRODUCTION

Graphs become progressively essential in modeling complicated structures, such as images, biological networks, circuits, social networks, the Web, and XML documents. Social Network Analysis (SNA) studies relations between individual actors including the analysis of social structures, social position, role analysis, and many others. These relationship between nodes are presented as a network. [1]

There are various Social network analysis (SNA) software are available that includes two packages based on graphical user interfaces (GUIs) or packages made for programming / scripting. [2] GUI packages are generally easier to learn while programming tools are powerful and extensible. GUI packages have been well documented. Programming tools have been also well documented and used to analyze network.[3]

The features of SNA software are the calculation of network standards and statistics (eg, Density, Diameter, Betweenness, Centrality, Clustering, etc.), creating adjacency matrix, list edges, or edges, visualization and more.[4] Social Network Analysis Tools may include discovering the structure of social

network, finding different attributes of the network, visualizing whole social network and finding communities.[5]

II. METHODS AND MATERIAL

Social network analysis and visualisation tools are used to analyse and visualise all nodes and edges from various social networks.

Researcher has selected four analysis tools for comparison- Gephi, Cytoscape, SocNetV and yEd. All these four software are freely available and can handle large size of graph. These tools are deeply studied in term of language implementations, features (access to plug-ins and library functions , calculating statistical measures like centrality, betweenness, the degree of nodes, the leading index, density, diameter and more), platform, input and output formats, volume of received data, download (free or not), open sources.

Gephi: It is basically used for visualize and explore all kinds of graph. It can run on Windows, MAC OS X and Linux or any operating system which support java 1,6. User interacts with the representation, manipulate the structures, shapes and colors to reveal hidden patterns. The goal is to help data analysts to make hypothesis, intuitively discover patterns, isolate structure singularities or faults during data sourcing. It is a complementary FOSS to traditional statistics, as visual thinking with interactive interfaces is now recognized to facilitate reasoning. [6] SocNetV: Social Network Visualizer (SocNetV) is a cross-platform, user-friendly free software application for social network analysis and visualization. Social networks are displayed and analyzed as mathematical graphs, where vertices depict actors/agents and edges represent their relations. The application enables you to import social network field data, edit actors and links through point-and-click, analyse their social and graph properties, produce reports for these properties and embed visualization layouts to the network. [7]

Cytoscape : Cytoscape is an open source software platform which is used for visualizing complex networks and integrating with any type of data. Cytoscape was originally designed for biological research, now it is a general platform for complex network analysis and visualization. Cytoscape core distribution provides a basic set of features for data integration, analysis, and visualization. [8] yEd is a powerful desktop application that can be used to generate high quality of network very quickly and effectively. It provides large set of layout options through which we can visualize network in different ways. [9]

The research work concentrates on proposing a methodology for all standard attributes of Graph Mining that can be considered to derive accurate and precise outcomes of Social Network Analysis.

The researcher divides comparative study into five stages:.

Stage 1: Comparison based on Basic functionalitiesStage 2:Comparison based on Network TypesStage 3: Comparison based Core Graph AttributesStage 4: Comparison based Graph Layouts

Dataset for Social Network Analysis and Visualisation

Tools:

The dataset used for the implementation is airlines. gml that was converted into airlines.graphml a common file format that

can be used in Gehhi, SocNetV, Cytoscape, and yEd file. The graphical data has 235 nodes and 2594 edges.

Stage 1: Comparison based on core functionalities

Mostly all Social Network Analysis and visualization tools are freely available. All tools can run on Windows, Mac OS X, and Linux Operating Systems. Stage 1 shows the comparison based on core functionalities like nature, platform, supported no of edges, supported no of nodes, licence and language that are shown in table 3.1.

Basic	Social Network Analysis FOSSs				
Features	Gephi	SocNet V	yEd	Cytoscope	
Nature	Stand	Stand	Cross	Stand alone	
	alone	alone	Platform	Software	
	Software	Software	software		
Platform	Any OS	Windows,	Window	Windows,	
	supporti	Linux,	s, Linux,	Linux, Mac	
	ng Java	Mac OS	Mac OS	OS X	
	1.6 and	Х	X,Unix		
	OpenGL				
Supported	1,00,000	More	More	More then	
no of	nodes	then 1000	than	1,00,000	
nodes		nodes	1000	nodes	
			nodes		
Licence	CDDL +	GNU	Freeware	GNU LGPL	
	GNU	General			
	GPL 3	Public			
		Licence 3			
		(GPL3)			
Language	JAVA	C++ and	JAVA	JAVA	
	1.7+	Qt			
Supported	1,00,000	10,000	10000	1,00,000	
no of	edges	edges	edges	edges	
edges					

Each tool gives different layout while loading airlines.graphml graph.



Figure 3.1 Loaded Graph in Gephi

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

International Journal of Scientific Research in Science, Engineering and Technology (ijsrset.com)



Figure 3.2 Loaded graph in SocNetV



Figure 3.3 Loaded Graph in yEd



Figure 3.4 Loaded Graph in Cytoscape

Stage 2: Comparison based on Network Types

In Social Network Analysis, there are four types of network types. For one mode or two mode network analysis there is Gephi, SocnetV and Cytoscapes. yEd can support only one mode network layouts as shown in Table 3.2.

Fable 3.2 : Compa	rison based	on Network	Types
-------------------	-------------	------------	-------

Notwork Types	Soc	Social Network Analysis FOSSs			
Network Types	Gephi	Cytoscope	SocNetV	yEd	
One Mode	YES	YES	YES	YES	
network Graph					
Two Mode	YES	YES	YES	NO	
network Graph					
Multi relational	NO	NO	NO	NO	

network Graph				
Temporarily	NO	NO	NO	NO
network Graph				

The totals count obtained in the Table.3.1 is based on the Supported Graph layouts and is obtained from study and implementation of User Interface features available in particular of Social Network Analysis Tools.

Stage 3 : Comparison based Core Graph Attributes

All Social Network Analysis and Visualisation tools supports various graph attributes represented in Table.3.3: Supported core Graph Features.







Figure 3.7 Diameter measured in SocNetV tool Diameter=4

🍕 Results Panel	1	1.1	1.2.30	1.00	×
Network Statistics of G	(undirected)				Ŧ×
Betweenness	Centrality	Closene	ess Centrality	Stress Centr	ality Distribution
Shortest Path Len	ath Distribution	Shared Neid	hbors Distribution	Neighborhood Co	onnectivity Distribution
Simple Parameters	Node Degree Dis	stribution	Avg. Clustering Coeffi	cient Distribution	Topological Coefficients
	Clustering co Connected com Network, Network Network cent Shorte Characteristic pal Avg, number of n	efficient : 0.55£ ponents : 1 dameter : 4 fr (radus : 2 alaztarior : 0.512 alaztarior : 0.512 st paths : 5499 hength : 2.312 eighbors : 11.02	3 N Networ 3 0 (100%) Nuti 88 An	iumber of nodes : 235 iumber of edges : 229 iumber of edges : 229 isolated nodes : 0 ber of self-loops : 0 ber of self-loops : 0 alysis time (sec) : 0.13	7 7 8
Save Statistics				Visualize Parameters	Close Tab

yEd tool does not provide diameter feature. The inferences drawn are denoted as YES for supported and NO for Not-Supported the particular feature.

Table.3.3: Supported Core Graph Attributes

Granh Features	Social Network Analysis FOSSs				
	Gephi	Cytoscope	SocNetV	yEd	
Centrality	YES	YES	YES	YES	
Degree centrality	YES	YES	YES	NO	
Closeness	YES	YES	YES	YES	
centrality					
Betweeness	YES	NO	YES	YES	
centrality					
Cliques	NO	NO	YES	NO	
Page rank	YES	NO	YES	NO	
Clustering	YES	YES	YES	NO	
coefficient					
Radius	YES	YES	NO	NO	
Avg. Path length	YES	YES	YES	NO	
Modularity	YES	NO	NO	NO	
Filtering	YES	NO	NO	NO	
Density	YES	YES	NO	NO	
Diameter	YES	YES	YES	NO	
Trid census	NO	NO	YES	NO	

The totals count obtained in the Table.3.3 are based on the supported core graph features.

Stage 4: Comparison based Graph Layouts

In Social Network Analysis, different graph layouts are available. Each tool can support different types of layouts. From the table yEd provides various layout of graph as shown in Table 3.4.

Table 3.4: Comparison based Graph Layouts

Graph	Social Network Analysis FOSSs					
Layouts	Gephi	Cytoscope	SocNetV	yEd		
Circular	YES	YES	YES	YES		
Layout						
Spectral	NO	NO	NO	NO		
Layout						
Random	NO	YES	NO	YES		
Layout						
Force Atlas	YES	YES	YES	NO		
Layout						
Organic	NO	YES	NO	YES		
Layout						
Orthogonal	NO	YES	NO	YES		
Layout						
Tree Layout	NO	YES	NO	YES		
Radial	NO	NO	NO	YES		
Layout						
Flowchart	NO	NO	NO	YES		
Layout						
Kamanda	NO	NO	YES	NO		
kawai Layout						
Spring	NO	YES	YES	NO		
Layout						
Fruchterman	YES	NO	YES	NO		
reingold						
Layout						
Graphviz	NO	NO	NO	NO		
Layout						
OpenOrd	YES	NO	NO	NO		
Layout						
Yifanhu	YES	NO	NO	NO		
Layout						
Hierarchical	NO	YES	NO	YES		
Layout						

International Journal of Scientific Research in Science, Engineering and Technology (ijsrset.com)

Figure 3.8 Circular layout in Gephi tool



Figure 3.9 Circular layout in SovNetV tool



Figure 3.10 Circular layout in Cytoscape tool



Figure 3.11 Circular layout in yEd tool

The totals count obtained in the Table.3.4 is based on the Supported Graph layouts and is obtained from study and implementation of User Interface features available in particular tool.

For the result analysis, Researcher has used measuring denotation to derive the result outcomes for Comparative Analysis. The depth Analysis of Social Network Analysis and Visualization tools is based on a count measuring scale as shown in Table 3.5. The "B" denotation indicates that Social Nework Analysis tools provide Weak result whereas, "B+" denotation indicates that Social Network Analysis tools provide Average result. The third "A" denotation indicates that Social Network Analysis tools provide Good result while the fourth "A+" denotation indicates that the Social Network Analysis tools provide Strong result.

 Table 3.5: Measuring Denotation

Measuring Scale	Meaning
В	Weak
B +	Average
Α	Good
A +	Strong

Table 3.0 Comparative Analysis	Table 3.	6:	Comparative	Analysis
---------------------------------------	----------	----	-------------	----------

Social Network Analysis Tools	Gephi	SocNetV	Cytoscape	yEd
Stage 1:Comparison based on Core Functionalities	A+	A	А	A
Stage 2:Comparison based on Network Types	B+	B+	B+	В
Stage 3:Comparison based on Core Graph Attributes	A+	А	A+	В
Stage 4:Comparison based on Graph Layouts	A	A+	A	A+

Above Table 3.6 displays Stage wise comparative analysis of different social network analysis and visualization tools. Gephi is in the Strong category to provide core functionalities because it can run on any Operating System and supports maximum number of nodes compare to other tools. Gephi and SocnetV provide maximum number of graph attributes. Gephi, SocNetV and Cytoscape provide Average denotation to support network types because they can support only one and two mode Network Types. SocNetV and yEd are Strong to support graph layouts. It supports maximum no of graph layouts.

IV. CONCLUSION

It is very clear that all social network analysis and visualization tools are differing from each other in multiple aspects. All these tools give quantitative and qualitative analysis of social networks. These tools give interesting results for calculating network matrices and statistics. All these tools are stand alone software that are very useful for graph visualization and data format conversion. All these tools can run on Windows, Mac OS X and Linux operating system. Gephi and SocNetV tools can handle most of all input file formats. Gephi tool provides maximum features of graph that are centrality, degree centrality, closeness centrality, betweeness centrality, pagerank, density, and diameter. It is not possible to calculate cliques and triad census in Gephi tool. SocNetV tool gives excellent to calculate graph features. This tool analysis each and every vertex very deeply and display outcome in summarized manner. Cytoscape tool provides less graph features compare to Gephi and SocNetV tools. Average execution time of Cytoscape tool is faster than others. The Graph Mining Analyst Professional can avail the optimized Graph Mining Attributes based Inference for Social Network Analysis and Visualization for the accurate and precise outcomes of Graph Mining Knowledge Discovery and to resolve any specific Graph Mining and Visualization research work.

V. REFERENCES

- [1]. Lei Tang and Huan Liu, "GRAPH MINING APPLICATIONS TO SOCIAL NETWORK ANALYSIS",Computer Science & Engineering Arizona State University
- [2]. C. L. E. E.-Z. a. M. G. David Combe, "A comparative study of social network analysis and tools," International Workshop on Web Intelligence and Visual Enterprises 2, pp. 1-12, 2010.
- [3]. Nadeem Akhtar, "Social Network Analysis Tools", Department of Computer Engineering, Zakir Husain College of Engineering & Technology Aligarh Muslim University Aligarh, India, DOI: 10.1109/CSNT.2014.83, April 2014
- [4]. "Basics of Graph," [Online]. Available: https://www.khanacademy.org/computing/comput er-science/algorithms/graphrepresentation/a/describing-graphs.
- [5]. Ioana-Alexandra , "An overview of Software Applications for Social Network Analysis ", Volume 3, Issue 3, October 2013, 71-77
- [6]. https://gephi.org
- [7]. http://socnetv.sourceforge.net
- [8]. http://www.cytoscape.org
- [9]. http://yed.yworks.com/support/manual/snap_lines .html