

XRD and Band Gap Study of SrDopedCuONano Particles by Sol Gel Method

Parveen Kumar¹, Ashwani Sharma², Sanjay Dahiya²

¹Assistant Professor, Physics G.C.W. Lakhanmajra, Rohtak, Haryana, India ²Department of Physics. M.D.U. Rohtak, Haryana, India

ABSTRACT

In this work Strontium doped copper oxide nanoparticles were prepared by sol gel method. Different samples were prepared by changing the concentration of Strontium . Prepared samples were studied using X ray diffraction and analysis shows that particles size increases with increase in strontium concentration from 34.7nm to 89.9nm. UV-VIS spectroscopy shows that band gap decrease with increase in strontium concentration from 5.39 eV to 5.10 eV. **Keywords :**Nanomaterials,XRD,UV-VIS,Band Gap, Doped Metal Oxide

I. INTRODUCTION

Nanomaterials are different from bulk materials because of their chemical, optical and electronic properties [1, 2]. They show extremely fascinating and useful properties, which can be exploited for a variety of structural and non-structural applications [3]. Metal oxide nanoparticles belong to a family of nanomaterials that have been manufactured on a large scale for both industrial and household applications and they hold promise for future applications [4, 5]. Copper Oxide is an extensively studied group II-VI semiconductor with optical properties that permits stable emission at room temperature having immense application in sensors, field emission and photonic devices [6]. Nanoparticles of CuOcan be used as gas sensors, optical switch, and magnetic storage media owing to its photoconductive and photochemical properties [7]. Furthermore it is a promising semiconductor for solar cell fabrication due to its suitable optical properties. CuO nanoparticles have immense medical applications [8- 10]. It possesses useful photovoltaic and photoconductive properties because CuO crystal structures have a narrow band gap [11, 12]. CuO nanoparticles have been applied in different areas, including sensors catalysis, batteries, superconductors, solar energy high temperature conversion, and fieldEmission.In the present study we have synthesized strontium doped copper oxide nanoparticles by sol-gel method by taking different concentration of strontium.

II. METHODS AND MATERIAL

All the reagents used were of analytical grade.. Strontium Nitrate

 $[Sr(NO_3)_2]$, Copper Nitrate $Cu(No_3)_2$. $3H_2O$ and Ethanol (CH₃CH₂OH) were used as raw

Materials and poly vinyl alcohol(PVA) as the solgel forming solvent. For synthesis of

 $Sr_{0.1}Cu_{0.9}O$, we take 2.1163 g of $Sr(NO_3)_2$ and 21.744 g of $Cu(No_3)_2$. $3H_2O$. We mix 50 ml of distilled water in 50 ml of ethanol and then add these two nitrates in this solution with constant stirring. Then we add 5g of PVA in this mixture. This mixture was then heated at 80°C with

magnetic stirrer. After some time of heating a gel starts to appear. The solution is heated till whole solution is converted in to gel. Then this gel is dried for 24 hrs and then crushed and calcinated at 400°C. The fine powder is taken out for characterization.(13) Similarly we prepared other samples by varying concentration of strontium nitrate and copper nitrate

2.1XRD ANALYSIS:-

The typical XRD pattern of nanoparticles of $Sr_{0.1}Cu_{0.9}O$, $Sr_{0.3}Cu_{0.7}O$, $Sr_{0.5}Cu_{0.5}O$, $Sr_{0.7}Cu_{0.3}O$

and $Sr_{0.9}Cu_{0.1}O$ annealed at 400°C is shown in Figure 1. The peak positions of the sample exhibits the monoclinic structure of CuO which was confirmed from the ICDD card No 801916. Further, no other impurity peak was observed in the XRD pattern, showing the single phase sample formation. The crystalline size was calculated using the Scherer formula,

$$D = 0.9 \lambda \beta \cos\theta -----(1)$$

where λ is the wavelength of X-ray radiation, β is the full width at half maximum (FWHM) of the peaks at the diffracting angle θ . Crystallite size calculated by the Scherer formula of each sample was shown in table.

Table of comparison of various XRD parameters

Name of	20	D(nm)	FWH	Relative	Particle
sample			М	intensity	size(nm
)
Sr _{0.1} Cu _{0.9}	35.540	2.5239	0.251	100.00%	34.7
	38.715	2.3239	0.256	94.72	34.3
Sr _{0.3} Cu _{0.7} O	38.712	0.23241	0.254	100%	34.5
	35.884	0.25208	0.250	75.88%	34.9
Sr _{0.5} Cu _{0.5} O	25.159	0.35367	0.139	100%	61
	25.783	0.34525	0.130	54.27%	65.4
Sr _{0.7} Cu _{0.3} O	25.228	0.35272	0.116	100%	73
	25.863	0.34420	0.108	49.10%	78.6
Sr _{0.9} Cu _{0.1} O	25.177	0.35343	0.095	100%	89.9
	25.818	0.34480	0.095	47.79%	89.9

2.2 UV -VIS Analysis:-

The optical absorption spectrum was used to study the optical properties of the Synthesized nanoparticles.From this study we can determine the band gap and the type of electronic transitions. When a semiconductor absorbs photons of energy larger than the gap of the Semiconductor, an electron is transferred from the valence band to the conduction band and there occurs an abrupt increase in the absorbency of the material to the wavelength corresponding to the band gap energy. The relation of the absorption coefficient (A) to the incidental photon energy depends on the type of electronic transitions When in this transition, the electron momentum is conserved, the transition is direct, but in an indirect transition if the momentum does not conserve .Band gap studies of these materials have been reported using absorption spectra. The fig.(2)

depicts the optical absorption spectrum of of $Sr_{0.1}Cu_{0.9}O$, $Sr_{0.3}Cu_{0.7}O$, $Sr_{0.5}Cu_{0.5}O$, $Sr_{0.7}Cu_{0.3}O$ and $Sr_{0.9}Cu_{0.1}O$.



Figure 1. Comparative Analysis of XRD of all Five Samples



Figure 2

2.3Calculation of Eg and particle size:-

The optical band gaps could be roughly estimated by empirical formula

$$(\alpha h\nu)^2 = \mathbf{A}(h\nu - \mathbf{E}g)$$
-----(1)

Where A is a constant that depends on the transition probability, Eg is the optical band gap energy, h is the Plank constant and v is the frequency. The Eg was calculated by extrapolating a straight line to the $(\alpha hv)^2$ =0 axis in the plots of the $(\alpha hv)^2$ versus optical band gap energy. In this way, the optical band gaps of samples were determined. Assuming that the transition probability was 1, the equation could be simplified as listed below.

$$(\alpha h\nu)^2 = h\nu - Eg -----(2)$$
$$h\nu = hC/\lambda = 1240/\lambda -----(3)$$

Using equation(3) the equation (2)could be translated into the equation (4) listed below.

$$(\alpha 1240/)^2 = 1240/\lambda - Eg$$
-----(4)

In the equation (3), α is the absorption value in the UV-VIS spectrum that could be detected by UV-VIS spectrophotometer, while λ is the detection wavelength. For example, figure (2)shows the UV-vis spectrum of all samples . According to the equation(3), figure(3) was achieved by treating $(\alpha hv)^2$ as Y axis and hv as X axis. The Eg(eV) could be estimated by extrapolating a straight line to the $(\alpha hv)^2 = 0$ axis in the plots of the $(\alpha hv)^2$ versus optical band gap energy.



Figure 3.

And the fig(4) shows the comparative value of band gap energy of all samples.



Figure 4.

Table for band gap energy of all samples

S.N.	NAME OF SAMPLE	BAND GAP
		ENERGY (eV)
1	Sr _{0.1} Cu _{0.9} O	5.39
2	Sr _{0.3} Cu _{0.7} O	5.36
3	Sr _{0.5} Cu _{0.5} O	5.33
4	Sr _{0.7} Cu _{0.3} O	5.24
5	Sr _{0.9} Cu _{0.1} O	5.10

So from UV-VIS analysis we see that as we increase the concentration of strontium ,band gap decreases.Fig (5) depicts it in graphical way



Figure 5.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

1. With increasing the doping concentration of Sr we see that relative intensity of copper peakwhich lies

around 35 degree decreases while that of Sr peak which lies around 25 degree increases

- 2. FWHM also goes on decreasing with increasing concentration of Sr.
- 3. Particle size goes on increasing with increasing concentration of Sr.
- 4. Band gap decreases with increase in Sr concentration.

IV. CONCLUSION

We see that as we increase the concentration of strontium, the size of nanoparticles increase which is obvious as radius of strontium atom are bigger then copper atom .we conclude band gap energy decreases with increase in strontium concentration. We also conclude that sol gel method is a very nice and simple method to prepare these nanoparticles which are all crystalline in nature as obvious from xrd samples.

V. REFERENCES

- [1]. Yan L., Zheng Y.B, Zhao F. Li S., Gao X., Xu B. Weiss P.S, Zhao Y, in: Chemistry and Physics of a single atomic layer in Strategies and challenges for functionalization of graphene and graphene-based materials, Chem.Soc.Rev. 41 (2012), 97–114.
- [2]. Amelia, M.,Lincheneau C.,Silvi S., Credi., in: A. Electrochemical Properties of CdSe and CdTe quantum dots. Chem.Soc. Rev. 41 (2012), 5728-5743.
- [3]. Zheng X.G., Xu C.N., Tomokiyo Y., Tanaka E., Yamada H. and Soejima Y., in: Observation of Charge Stripes in Cupric Oxide, Phys. Rev. Lett. 85 (2000), 5170.
- [4]. Chang M.H., Liu H.-S., Tai C.Y., in: Preparation of Copper Oxide nanoparticles and its application in nanofluid, Powder P.V.D.S. Technol. 207 (2011), 378.
- [5]. Udani P.P.C., Gunawardana Lee H.C., Kim D.H, in: Steam reforming and oxidative steam reforming of methanol over CuO–CeO2 catalysts, Int. J. Hydrogen Energy 34(2009), 7648.
- [6]. Cao J.L., Shao G.S., Wang Y., Liu Y., Yuan Z.Y.,in: CuO catalysts supported on attapulgite clay for lowtemperature CO oxidation, Catal. Commun. 9 (2008), 2555.
- [7]. Chiang C.Y., Aroh K., Franson N., Satsangi V.R., Dass S., Ehrman S.,in: Copper oxide nanoparticle made by flame spray pyrolysis for photo electrochemical water splitting Part II. Photo electrochemical study, Int. J. Hydrogen Energy 36 (2011), 15519.
- [8]. Langford J.I. Louer D., High-resolution powder diffraction studies of copper (II) oxide, J. Appl. Crystallogr. 24(1991)149.

- [9]. Abaker M., Umar, A. Baskoutas S., Kim S.H., Hwang S.W., in: Structural and optical properties of CuO layered hexagonal discs synthesized by a lowtemperature hydro-thermal process, J.Phys. D: Appl. Phys. 44 (2011), 155
- [10]. Williamson G.K., Hall W.H, in: X-ray line broadening from filed Aluminum and wolfram, Acta Metall. 1 (1953), 22.
- [11]. Moss M.A. Burrell T.S, Ellis G.J., in: Semiconductor Opto-Electronics, Butterworth & Co. Ltd, 1973.
- [12]. Honsi H.M., Fayek S.A., El-Sayed S.M., Roushdy M., in: Optical properties and DC electrical conductivity of Ge28-xSe72Sbx thin films, Vacuum 81 (2006), 54.
- [13]. Parveen kumar ,Ashwani Sharma ,Sanjay dahiya morphology and characterization of Srx Cu1-x O [x=0.1] IJRET (2015)

International Journal of Scientific Research in Science, Engineering and Technology (ijsrset.com)