

# Soil Suitability Evaluation for Maize Crop Production in Terengganu Region of Malaysia

Adzemi Mat Arshad\*<sup>1</sup>, M. I. Usman<sup>1,3</sup>, Yahaya Haruna Rawayau<sup>1,4</sup>, T. L. Dalorima<sup>2,3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Soil Science Laboratory, School of Science and Food Technology, Universiti Malaysia Terengganu
 <sup>2</sup> Department of Agricultural Technology, University Sultan Zanal Abidin
 <sup>3</sup>Department of Agric Engineering and Agric Tech. Ramat polytechnic Maiduguri, Borno State
 <sup>4</sup>Agric. Education Department, Federal College of Education Katsina

# ABSTRACT

BRIS soils are regarded as marginal soils because the soils are too sandy (82-99 %), weakly structured, soil nutrient deficiency, having low water holding and retention capacity, limited ability to support plant growth and having a relatively high soil temperature. The research sites consist of three selected locations in the east coast area of Terengganu, Malaysia and lies at the elevations in a range between 0-5m above sea level. This study, land evaluation (FAO, 1976) was conducted using secondary data previously published by Roslan et al (2010). Almost all profiles shows no clear differentiation of horizons and are dominated by sand fractions (95-98 %), silt and clay contends are below 4%. According to soil taxonomy, Baging series is classified as sandy, siliceous, isohyperthermic, typic, quartzipsamments, RhuTapai is named as sandy, siliceous, isohyperthermic, arenic, alorthods. The results of land suitability evaluation using land characteristics for maize with actual class suitability of Baging (N1;w,f) suitable for growth and Rhu Tapai(S3;f), Rudua (S3;w,f) and Jambu (S3;f) marginally suitable class. When the potential classes of these soil improved with soil ameliorants, the following potential classes were achieved; Baging (S3;f), indicates that this soil (Rhu Tapai: S3;f) cannot be easily improve due to the fertility and soil physical characteristic surrounding it as well as Rudua (S3;f) and Jambu is (S3;f). **Keywords :** BRIS Soil, Soil Suitability, Suitability Of Maize Crop, Spodic Zone.

## I. INTRODUCTION

The benefit of input in all ramifications is to enhance a specific product (soil) with a great preference of outcome which will be of important requisites in the development of agricultural product. The suitability evaluation of soil is usually determined by the robust success of produce cultivated in the study area. Undoubtedly, the assessment has often been used in reference to the term evaluation and attributes to the summery of a particular situation, thereby contributing to adequate experimental or analytical information about the soil. However, the basis criterion for a particular crop suitability classification is mainly aimed on the soil physical properties. Thus, in view of many constraints that are very common in the field of soil science, the study has continued to emulate the basis of soil science experts in the system, in order to produce a comprehensive soil classification.

Traditionally, a beach ridge soil is any coastal deposit of debris, sands and gravels, which are mostly possessed by the waning phases of strong wind with eustatic sea level that has rendered a significant change. This can be interpreted through the studies of some major work on BRIS soil. However, the holocene impact on the relative sea level, thus transitional development of the study area of Merang coastal plain to the fluctuation that gives a great and fast rise in the sea level. This can be evident with Pons et. al., (1982) who explained that within 1000 years after the last glacier, the sea level has risen from 3-5m above the present. The soil of sandy beach ridges interspersed with swales (BRIS) are common soil usually found in the coastal areas, in the east coast of Peninsular Malaysia. With the soil comprising of about 82 to 99% of sandy properties which is normally dominated by very fine soil, as they are found between ridges with the depression area usually inundated in water in most cases. And the topsoil is commonly dominated by coarse sand fraction.

However, BRIS soil is a problematic soil and as such should be handle traditionally in terms of the physical capability classification, knowing very well the constraints like, limited ability to support crop growth, poorly structured, low water retention, this is as a results of excessive accumulation of sediments and sand from undulating sea during the monsoon seasons that carries along coarse sand particles. Therefore, BRIS (Beach Ridges Interspersed with Swales) soils in Peninsular Malaysia are mostly found near the coastal area in Terengganu with area of 67,582.61 ha, in Pahang around 36,017.17 ha, and in Kelantan about 17,806.20 ha (Mohd Ekhwan et al., 2009). In order to the meet the increasing demand for food crops the rural dwellers and the farming community have to work hand in hand to address the present situation, where land is a limiting factor, it is not possible to acclaim more land under cultivation as to satisfy the more growing need. Therefore, suitability is one concept of how well the quality of a land unit can best match a particular requirement for land use and application. Therefore, the aim of this study is to determine the limiting factors for crop production and the main objective of this study is to evaluate the physical properties of BRIS soil and the effort to increase of maize crop production.

### **II. METHODS AND MATERIAL**

### Description of Study Area

The research sites consist of four selected location in the East coast area of Terengganu, Malaysia and the study was conducted from March to December 2013. The study area lies at the elevations in a range between 0-5 m a.s.l (m above sea level). The slope steepness is 0-3 % with a mean value around 2%. It is located at 050 12'20 north and 1030 12'21 east, with temperature of 290c, the vegetation of the area is mostly grasses and shrubs. Some of the selected locations have soil parent materials of sand sediment by using geological maps with 1:50,000 scale. Most of soils are classified as BRIS soils (Entisol and Spodosols). Landsat images help to characterize the boundaries of three locations. The topographic characteristics included slope while the soil properties included soil texture, depth, salinity, and drainage and carbon materials. Also, soil properties such as Cation Exchange Capacity (CEC), organic matter (%OM) and pH were considered in terms of soil fertility (Sys et al., 1991). A soil profile pit was opened in each land unit, four profile pits in total, and described using soil description guideline (FAO, 1990). Soil classification was made based on FAO (1998).

## The Study Site

The study site by Roslan et al (2010) was revisited, observed and some samples were collected, the research sites consist of four randomly selected locations in the East coast area of Terengganu, Malaysia (Figure2). The first location is cultivated with paddy (Location A), the second location dominated maize (Location B) and the third location with sweet potatoes and water melon (Location C). All the sites have the same natural condition except the vegetation (Adzemiet al., 2012). All the selected locations have the same soil parent materials of sand sediment by using geological maps with 1:100,000 scale. Topography map (Figure 2) help to characterize the boundaries of four locations. The topographic characteristics included slope and elevation while soil properties included soil texture, depth, salinity, drainage and carbonate content.

During the field visit, the soil descriptions were carried out for 3 soil profiles one per selected locations. The field descriptions of pits, borings and landscapes were divided into three categories for all intensities. General Field description was carried out according to American convention standards using vegetation, land use, climate, elevation and relief form (USDA, 1998 and Blume et. al., 2011). After the conventional profile descriptions, the pits and the landscapes were photographed.Specific descriptions such as, designation of horizon depths, soil colors and mottles, depths of krokos (iron concrations), bulk density, gravel content, texture and roots were recorded.

All the individual soils tested were classified on soil descriptions corresponding to the analytical data and relief form with the help of the location map. The major horizons were identified using capital letter indicating master horizons and lower case letters qualifying as suffixes of the master horizons. A combination of capital letters was used for transitional horizons. The moist soil colors and mottles (abundant and size of mottles) were described using standard color notations (Hue, Value, Chroma) giving in the munsell soil color

charts (2009). Krokos depths were determined in the field by observations of the auguring profile. Roots amount were calculated in dm<sup>2</sup>. Gravel contents were estimated using a comparison table according to (Blume et. al., 2011).



#### Analytical Methods

Soil data collected at the revisited site of Roslan et.al (2010) was dried at 40oC in a forced drought oven for 7 days and sieve to pass a 2mm mesh. Bulk density was determined using ring samples taken at the 3 horizons identified at the depths of 7-12 cm, 40-45cm and 90-95cm with each with four replications. A fragment greater than 2mm (gravel) was separated by sieving. Bulk density was measured gravimetrically from horizon samples taken with a hand- operated coring device. Particle size distribution was determined by wet sieving for sand, silt and clay fraction using the pipette method after pretreatment with HCL and  $H_2O_2$  (Blume at. al., 2011).Using an Inductive Electromagnetic Soil Conductivity Meter1 for EC (J. D. Rhoades 1981).

The soil pH was determined in distilled water and an electrolyte solution of 0.01 N KCL (1:2.5 soils to solution) with a glass electrode. Total N and organic C were by the micro Kjeldahl- method and Walkley-Black (1934) procedures respectively. The Bouyoucos hydrometer method (Bouyoucos, 1962) was used for determining the soil particle size distribution. CEC was determined after leaching with 1.0 M ammonium acetate pH 7.0 and exchangeable K, Ca, Na and Mg were determined by ammonium acetate solution and measured by flame photometer for K and AAS (Atomic Absorption Spectrometer) for Ca, Na and Mg. Na is reported because very low contend in the soils and Fe was also determined. The extraction of the available P was done by the Bray 2 (acid fluoride) solution and measured by a spectrometer (Bray, et. al., 1945). Base saturation was calculated as the sum of exchangeable cations (Sparks et. al., 1996) :

Base saturation: = $(K + Mg + Ca)/(CEC) \times 100$ 

### Physical Soil Evaluation

The soil in the study area of Merang - Terengganu plains of Malaysia which is dominated by herbs and shrubs provides the soils with their debris that metamorphosed to form humus (acid humus), the soil is depleted of its physical capabilities with soil temperature ranging from 21 to 43oC, while the setback of water deficit around the months of February to June is a constrain to be considered.

The eustatic effects that creates the undulating characteristics on the coastal plain, brings about the ridges and swales, whereby, ridges brings about the elevated section of the landscape while swales dominates the depression area.

According to Roslan et al (2010), on the basic of the soil Taxonomy (Soil Survey Staff 2006), the soils in the study area are dominantly entisols and spodosols orders. entisols at the location of study are still young and they are situated by the saturated water environment. They lack the presence of diagnostic horizons within a specific depth in their profile. spodosols soil is commonly found in cool, moist, humid, or perhumid environments. They can also be found in hot humid tropical regions etc. Topsoil debris composed of scattered waste here and there, as they dissolve gradually with the help of water to form a weak organic acid. Thus, acidic soil water gets rid base ions in solution to form an acidic soil. The breaks down materials are then leached from topsoil layers leaving behind the most though material like quartz forming an ashy-grey, near-the topsoil layer. Strata at depth are infected with iron and aluminum oxides.

### **III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The soil in the study area of Merang - Terengganu plains of Malaysia which is dominated by herbs and shrubs provides the soils with their debris that metamorphosed to form humus (acid humus), the soil is depleted of it physical capabilities with soil temperature ranging from 21 to 430 C, while the setback of water deficit around the months of February to June is a constrain to considered. The Eustatic effects that creates the undulating characteristics on the coastal plain, brings about the ridges and swales, whereby, ridges brings about the elevated section of the landscape while swales dominates the depression area.

Base on the Soil Taxonomy, the soil in the study area dominantly Entisols and Spodosols orders. Entisols at the location of study are still young and they are situated by the saturated water environment. They lack the presence of diagnostic horizons within a specific depth in their profile. Spodosols soil is commonly found in cool, moist, humid, or perhumid environments. They can also be found in hot humid tropical regions etc. Surface litter composed of debris, breaks down in the presence of water to form a weak organic acid. Acidic soil water removes base ions in solution to create an acidic soil. Easily dissolved materials are leached from surface layers leaving behind the most resistant material like quartz creating an ashy-grey, near-surface layer. Layers at depth are stained with iron and aluminum oxides.

As the work progresses in the study area, the land uses in the locations of alluvial deposit and that of the marine were bushes, shrubs, tree crops, upland crop, plantations of tree crops like oil palm, etc. also in some areas are settlements, home garden. While the peat bog area were mainly dominated with features like oil palm plantation, tree crops, smallholder crop farming, settlements, swampy peat forest, swampy bushes and shrubs, some swampy area are covered with forest, shrubs and bushes as well as rice farm.

### Determination and Analyses of Limiting Factors

The secondary data obtained from Roslan et al (2010) as stated earlier were evaluated for the suitability on a selected crops namely maize. The FAO, (1976 and 1981) manual land suitability was adopted to evaluate 4 soil series namely Baging, Rhu tapai, Rudua and Jambu.This research was able to identify which area is suitable for what crops. The reference crop table for the evaluation of land suitability for maize (Table 1), is explain in tabular form respectively.

## Land suitability evaluation for maize on BRIS soil

Maize is a tropical or temperate crop as it grown under extreme divergence weather condition, thus it is a worm weather loving crop. Hence maize crop cannot succeed or withstand the frost at any stage during the growing period. Soil that is normally sandy in nature can equally do well in the production of maize, as they require deep fertile and well-drained soil which are rich in organic contend, thus maize can be grown in any kind of soil measuring from a deep heavy to light BRIS soil. However, soil should maintain the range of texture with good water holding capacity and with the pH that does not exceed the range of 7.5.

During the period of seedling stages, maize crop are highly susceptible to water logged area, as such care need to be taken into consideration in order to control water not to stagnate at the surface area of the planted crop for a longer time of 4 to 5 hours. Hence, BRIS soil with one of the threshold of water holding capacity will make an impact in the production of maize crop, as it has the quality of proper drainage essential when it comes to the successful cultivation of maize crop. Thus, it is observed from the local farmers that the most ideal soil for maize crops is silty loam or loam topsoil and also fair brown silt clay loam with a fairly sandy which has the pH of 6 to 7.5.

The previous work of (Adzemi, 2014) which stated that at the lower elevation sedimentary aggregation is mostly predominant. Thus, a recent activity in the riverine and marine alluvium occurs on coastal plains and the inland low hills. The climate is mainly of tropical ecosystem. Meanwhile, the natural vegetation is predominantly tropical rain forest. The evaluation of land (table 1) for maize cultivation with the use of land characteristics and landscape criteria as adopted from Sys et. al. (1991).

With the utilization of land evaluation in beach ridge soil classification system i.e., soil crop suitability classification of (FAO 1976, Sys C. 1985), the ability to use the available data collected during the field study and the prospect to use it in the production of maize crop is a way out and has frequently been used to evaluate the fertility status of soil in Peninsular Malaysia. However, the classification system is used mainly for the purposes of crop yield and growth which is basically on physical limitations and in some cases chemical limitation properties as well.

From the data in table 3, the most limiting factor is nutrient retention follow by soil texture and fertility; it is likely that some available management can upgrade the suitability class from actual to the potential suitability class, thus the texture is weakly structure, in such a way that the water impedes nutrients through the soil horizon. From the top soil to lower or adjacent ground level as quickly as the root can take up water and also the adverse effects of eva-potranspiration. Furthermore, this reduces the nutrients state as most of it are quickly or excessively moved laterally during the flow of water through the soil horizon as a result of the large pore spaces which shift and disperse the nutrients to the lower elevation in the substrate, through water movement.

#### Suitability

Base on the limiting factors, the following limitation could be express as the identifier of suitability class, such as nutrient retention/low fertility (nr), slope/erosion hazard (eh), oxygen availability/poor drainage (oa), rooting condition (rc), flood hazard/water inundation (fh), and peaty soil (ps).

Hence, the physical and chemical properties of BRIS soil in the study area also contribute greatly in the suitability criteria. However, the value of CEC is less than <5 cmolc kgl and the pH value around 4 to 5 shows that crops can be a threat as result of the acidity.

However, the spodic horizons of the soil contain some appreciable amounts of organic carbon with respect to the soil profile. This implies that spodic zone is more fertile in soil organic content and has the ability and potential to grow maize and other shallow rooted plants, the facts is supported in MARDI (2010). With the fine to very fine soil particles that dominate the horizon, indicating cemented horizon (hardpan). It is believe to enhance soil management practices, like land leveling, mulching and irrigation.

However, when the potential class are managed by improving on the actual class with the ameliorants, then the following potential classes will be as; Baging, marginally suitable soil (S3;f) this indicates that this class cannot be easily improve due to the economic constrain surrounding the soil, whereas the potential class for Rhu Tapai, (S3;f), Rudua (S3; f) and Jambu are marginally suitable soil (S3; f) as shown in table 4.

### **Limiting Factors**

In order to manage the limitations of maize production, the suitability characteristic can only be achieve through the matching procedure of land characteristics data available at hand in every land mapping unit against the crop requirement manually as the area is not very vast area to evaluate and on a single crop at a time which can also be very time consuming when dealing with as it requires more concentration in carry out the procedure, as they are based on the most serious limiting factors of soil classifications. Most of the areas are classified as marginally suitable for maize due to some threshold among wetness, physical soil properties and fertility characteristics constraints. This can be evaluated from the actual suitability classification of the land units for maize cultivation by using land characteristics and the crop requirement as the Baging with actual class suitability of (N1;w,f), is not suitable for growth due to above mention constrains as in table 3 and Rhu Tapai marginally suitable soil (S3;f,s), Rudua (S3;w,f) and Jambu(S3; f) are marginally suitable class as well.

### **IV. CONCLUSION**

This study was done to evaluate the limiting factors for growth and production of maize crops in BRIS soils of Baging, Rhu Tapai, Rudua and Jambu soil series with efforts to examine first, the determinants on how the BRIS soil in Merang is formed. And second, the soil suitability of the study location. However, the soil series are occurring side by side which relates the coexistence of beach ridges running parallel in different elevation to the seashore. As all profiles showed no clear differentiation of horizons and are dominated by sand fractions (95-98 %), silt and clay contents are below 4%. According to soil taxonomy, Baging series are classified isohyperthermic, as Sandy, siliceous, typic, quartzipsamments, RhuTapai is named as Sandy, siliceous, isohyperthermic, arenic, alorthods, Rudua is identical with Sandy, siliceous, isohyperthermic, arenic, alorthods and Jambu belongs to Sandy, siliceous, isohyperthermic, arenic.

The results of land suitability evaluation using land characteristics for maize with actual class suitability of Baging (N1;w,f) is not suitable for growth and Rhu Tapai (S3; f), Rudua (S3;w,f) and Jambu (S3;f) are marginally suitable class . However, when the potential classes of these soil are improved with soil ameliorants, the following potential classes emerge; Baging marginally suitable soil (S3;f), this indicates that this class Rhu Tapai: marginally suitable soil (S3;f), cannot be easily improve due to the fertility and soil physical characteristic surrounding it, as well as Rudua (S3; f) and Jambu are (S3; f) marginally suitable soil as shown in table 3.

### V. ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors wish to thank Universiti Malaysia Terengganu and Ramat polytechnic Maiduguri, Borno State. This work was supported in part by Universiti Malaysia Terengganu and Ramat polytechnic, given me access to further my studies.

### VI. REFERENCES

- Arshad, A. M., Armanto, M. E., & Zain, A. M. (2012). Evaluation of Climate Suitability for Oil Palm (Elaeis guineensis Jacq.) Cultivation. Journal of Environmental Science and Engineering, 1(2), 272-276.
- [2]. Arshad, A. M. (2014). Qualitative Land Evaluation for Oil Palm Cultivation in Peninsular MalaysiaJournal of Biology, Agriculture and Healthcare ISSN 2224-3208 (Paper) ISSN 2225-093X (Online) Vol.4, No.1.
- [3]. Bouyoucos, G.J., 1962. Hydrometer method improvement for making particle size analysis of soils. Agron. J. 54: 179-186.
- [4]. Blume, H.P., K. Stahr and P. Leinweber (2011). Bodenkundliches Praktikum: Eine Einführung in pedologisches Arbeiten für Ökologen, insbesondere Soil- und Forstwirte, und für Geowissenschaftler. 3. neubearbeitete Auflage. Springer Verlag, Germany.
- [5]. Bray, K.H. and L.T. Kurtz, 1945. Determination of total organic and available forms of phosphorus in soils. Soil Sci. 59: 39-45.
- [6]. FAO. 1990. Guidelines for Soil Profile Description. Third edition (revised). Soil Resources, Management and Conservation Service, Land and Water Development Division, FAO, Rome.

- [7]. FAO. 1981. Report on the Agro-Ecological Zones Project; Vol.3: Methodology and Results for South and Central America. World Soil Resources Report 48/3, Rome. Italy.
- [8]. FAO. 1998. World reference base for soil resources. FAO, Rome, 88p.
- [9]. FAO. 1976. A framework for land evaluation. Soils Bulletin, No. 32. FAO, Rome.
- [10]. Malaysia Agriculture Research Development Institute (MARDI). 2010. Cara-Cara Menanam Kenaf Untuk Pengeluaran Serat dan Biomassa. Selangor, Malaysia (Malay Language) http://www.mardi.gov.my
- [11]. Mohd Ekwan, T., M. Mazlin, G. Muhammed Barzani and A.A. Nor Azlina. 2009. Analysis of physical characteristics of Bris soil in coastal Kuala Kemaman, Terengganu. Research Journal of Earth Science.
- [12]. Rhoades, J. D., and D. L. Corwin2 (1981)
  Determining Soil Electrical Conductivity-Depth Relations Using an Inductive Electromagnetic Soil Conductivity Meter1. SSSAJ, Vol. 45 No. 2, p. 255-260
- [13]. Roslan, I., J. Shamshuddin, C.I. Fauziah and A.R. Anuar (2010). Occurrence and properties of soils on sandy beach ridges in the Kelantan-Terengganu Plains, Peninsular Malaysia. Catena. 83: 55-63.
- [14]. Soil Survey Staff (2006). Keys to Soil Taxonomy 10th Ed. United States Department of Agriculture Natural Resource Conservation Service.
- [15]. Sys C. 1985. Land Evaluation. State University of Ghent, Belgium.
- [16]. Sys, I., Van Ranst E & Debaveye, J. 1991. Land evaluation, part II. Methods in land evaluation. Agriculture publications, no.7, General Administration for Development Cooperation, Brussels, Belgium.

Land characteristics	Class, Degree of Limitation and Rating Scale								
		S1	S2	S3 N1	N2				
	0	1	2	3	4				
	100	95	85 60	40	25	0			
Topography (t)						-			
Slope (%)	0 - 2	2 - 4	4 -8	8-16	16-32	>32			
Wetness (w)	Drainage	Good	Moderate	Imperfect	Poor	Very poor			
flooding	No	No	No	$F_2$	-	F <sub>3</sub>			
Drainage	well drained	Mod. well drained	Imperf. drained	Poor (aeric) (easily drained)	Poor (typic) difficult	Very poor			
Physical soil characteristics (s)									
Texture/structure	Co,SC, Cs,			LS	S	LcS,S			
Soil Depth (cm)	>90	50-90	20-50	15-35	10-20	<10			
Soil fertility characteristics (f)									
CEC (cmol(+)kg <sup>-1</sup> soil	>16	<16(-)	<16(+)						
Base saturation (%)	>35	34-20	<20						
Organic C(%)	>2	1.5-2.0	01.5	<0.7					

#### Table 1: Land Suitability Requirements for Maize Based on Land characteristics

Note: Co =Granular or weak subangular blocky spodosol, Cs = Angular or subangular blocky structure of argillic and cambic horizon, SC= Sandy clay, SCL=Sandy clay loam, SL=Sandy loam, LS=Loamy sand, S=Sandy. (Source FAO 1979, 1980, Sys 1991)

Land unit	Topography	Wetness (w)		Phys	ical soil	Natural fertility (f)				
				characteristic (s)						
(soil	(t) %	Drainage	Flooding	Texture	Depth(cm)	CEC	B.S	O.M		
series)							(%)	(%)		
Baging	0-2	w.d.	no	S	0-15	1.27	52	0.37		
Rhu tapai	0-1	w.d	no	S	0-15	2.12	86	1.8		
Rudua	0-2	w.d	no	SL	0-15	1.81	83	2.12		
Jambu	0-1	w.d	no	S	0-15	4.52	81	2.58		

Table 2: Characterize land units according to land characteristics

Note: SC - Sandy clay, S-Sand, SL-Sandy loam, w.d - well-drained

Soil Topo		W	Wetness		Physical soil		Fertility			
	graph	(w)		characte	eristics	charac	characteristics		Lan	Suitabili
	У			(s)		0-5 cm (f)			d	ty
	Slope	floodin	Draina	Textur	Depth	CEC	BS	O.C	inde	class
	(t)	g	ge	e					х	
Baging	0(100)	0(100)	0(100)	1(98)	2(80)	2(75)	2(80)	3(55	26	N1;w,f
								)		
Rhu	0(100)	0(100)	0(100)	2(85)	1(90)	1(90)	2(80)	2(80	44	S3;f,s
Tapai								)		
Rudua		0(100)	2(80)	2(80)	1(90)	2(85)	1(95)	2(70	32	S3;w,f
	0(100)							)		
Jambu	0(100)	0(100)	0(100)	1(90)	1(90)	2(85)	2(80)	2(85	47	S3; f
								)		

Table 4: Actual Suitability classification of the land suitability for maize cultivation by using land characteristics

Table 5: Potential suitability classification of the land suitability for maize cultivation by using land characteristics

Торо	Wetness		Physical soil		Fertility				
Graphy	(w)		characteristics		characteristics			Lan	Suitabi
			(s)		0-5 cm (f)			d	li
Slope	floodin	Draina	Textur	Depth	CEC	BS	O.C.	inde	ty class
(t)	g	ge	e					Х	
0(100)	0(100)	0(100)	1(98)	0(100)	2(85)	2(90)	2(85)	64	S3;f
0(100)	0(100)	0(100)	1(90)	1(90)	1(95)	0(10	2(85)	65	S3;f
						0)			
0(100)	0(100)	0(100)	1(90)	0(100)	2(80)	1(90)	1(90)	58	S3; f
0(100)	0(100)	1(90)	1(90)	1(90)	2(85)	2(85)	2(85)	45	S3; f
	Graphy Slope (t) 0(100) 0(100) 0(100)	Graphy    (w)      Slope    floodin      (t)    g      0(100)    0(100)      0(100)    0(100)      0(100)    0(100)	Graphy    (w)      Slope    floodin    Draina      (t)    g    ge      0(100)    0(100)    0(100)      0(100)    0(100)    0(100)      0(100)    0(100)    0(100)	Graphy    (w)    character      Graphy    (w)    (s)      Slope    floodin    Draina    Textur      (t)    g    ge    e      0(100)    0(100)    0(100)    1(98)      0(100)    0(100)    0(100)    1(90)      0(100)    0(100)    0(100)    1(90)	Graphy      (w)      characteristics        Slope      floodin      Draina      Textur      Depth        (t)      g      ge      e         0(100)      0(100)      0(100)      1(98)      0(100)        0(100)      0(100)      0(100)      1(90)      1(90)	Graphy(w)characteristicscharacteristicsGraphy(w)(s)0-5 cmSlopefloodinDrainaTexturDepthCEC(t)ggee $(100)$ 0(100)1(98)0(100)2(85)0(100)0(100)0(100)1(90)1(90)1(95) $(100)$ 2(80)0(100)0(100)0(100)1(90)1(100)2(80)			Graphy(w) $characteristicscharacteristicscharacteristicsLan(s)0-5 \ cm (f)dSlopefloodinDrainaTexturDepthCECBSO.C.inde(t)ggee\cdot \cdot \cdot\cdot \cdotx0(100)0(100)0(100)1(98)0(100)2(85)2(90)2(85)640(100)0(100)0(100)1(90)1(90)1(95)0(102(85)650(100)0(100)1(90)1(90)2(80)1(90)1(90)58$