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#### Abstract

Intuitionistic Fuzzy Hypergraphs (IFHG) are those in which the hypernodes are having membership and non membership degrees, so also the hyperedges. The hypernodes with high membership degrees are more relevant with respect to the hypergraph. Likewise the hyperedges with high membership degrees are more important. The purpose of this work is to define algebraic operations like union, intersection and complement of morphological dilation operation on sub hypergraphs of IFHG. De Morgan's law is also applied to IFHG provided the sub hypergraphs considered are having common edge(s).
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## I. INTRODUCTION

Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets (IFS) [1] were introduced in 1999, where a non membership degree is also attached with every fuzzy member. A list of open problems [2] using IFS were also discussed. They include constructing an axiomatic system of IFS, extension of IFS modal operators, norm distance, matrices, developing statistical and probabilistic tools for IFS, algorithm for defuzzification etc. Fuzzy traversals of fuzzy hypergraphs, coloring of fuzzy hypergraphs and strongly interconnected hypergraphs were detailed in [3]. Further $\alpha-\beta$ cut [4] on IFHG, incidence matrix of $\mathrm{H}_{\alpha-\beta}$ and the dual IFHG were introduced. The authors further propose to show the importance of $\alpha-\beta$ cut in graph partitioning. Operations like complement, join, union, intersection, ringsum, cartesian product, composition are defined for intuitionistic fuzzy graphs [5], where the authors further proposed to apply these operations in clustering techniques. Isomorphism between two intuitionistic fuzzy directed hypergraphs (IFDHG) is also discussed [6], where the
authors have introduced IFDHG, its order, in-degree, out-degree, homomorphism, weak isomorphism and co-weak isomorphism between two IFDHGs. Application of IFHG in radio coverage network is also suggested by [7], in which their model can be used to determine station programming and develop marketing strategies. The authors also suggested the use to IFHG for clustering computer networks. An application with Intuitionistic Fuzzy sets for career choice[8] which is a decision making system was developed where the system represented the performance of students using membership $\mu$, non membership $v$ and hesitation margin п. They applied normalized Euclidian distance to determine the apt career choice.

Few properties of strong IFHGs [9] were discussed and generalized strong spanning IFHG was also introduced. The authors have considered the interrelation between intuitionistic fuzzy vertex and family of intuitionistic fuzzy edges. Morphological operations [10] like dilation, erosion and adjunction on hypergraphs were done. The same authors have
also done opening, closing, half opening and half closing and morphological filtering [11] on hypergraphs. Intuitionistic fuzzy transversal [12] of IFDGH was done in which they also introduced minimal transversal, transversal of union of IFDGHs, also their intersection, join structural subtraction, cartesian product and composition. Different types of IFDHGs including core, simple, elementary, sectional elementary IFDHGs, ( $\mu ; v$ )-tempered IFDHGs [13], properties of $(\mu ; v)$ - tempered IFDHGs were also implemented.

This paper is organized as follows: Section 2(Background) defines an intuitionistic fuzzy hypergraph with its membership and non membership degrees. Section 3 (Algebra of graph morphology) introduces the hypergraph algebra on dilation operation. Section 4(De Morgan's law applied to IFHG) test and proves De Morgan's law considering dilation of subgraphs. Section 5 (Conclusion) is the concluding part where some applications and future work is mentioned and finally the references are included.

## II. BACKGROUND

Let $\left[H_{\text {IF }},\left(\mu_{\mathrm{n}}, \gamma_{\mathrm{n}}\right),\left(\mu_{\mathrm{e}}, \gamma_{\mathrm{e}}\right), \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{e}}\right]$ be an intuitionistic fuzzy hypergraph with membership degree $\mu_{\mathrm{n}}$ and non membership degree $\gamma_{\mathrm{n}}$ defined on the set of hypernodes $\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{n}}$ and membership degree $\mu_{\mathrm{e}}$ and non membership degree $\gamma_{\mathrm{e}}$ defined on a set of hyperedges $\mathrm{H}^{e}$ of $\mathrm{H}_{\text {If }}$. The sum of the membership degree and non membership degree of the hypernode is 1 [4]. ie, $\mu_{\mathrm{n}}+\gamma_{\mathrm{n}}=1$. So also the sum of the membership degree and non membership degree of the hyperedge is 1 [4]. ie, $\mu_{\mathrm{e}}+\gamma_{\mathrm{e}}=1$.If all the hypernodes in a hyperedge has $\mu_{\mathrm{n}}>0.5$, then $\mu_{\mathrm{e}}$ is the supremum of all $\mu_{\mathrm{n}}$ in that edge. In such a case $\gamma_{\mathrm{n}}=1-\mu_{\mathrm{n}}$. If there is at least one hypernode with $\gamma_{\mathrm{n}}>0.5$, then the $\gamma_{\mathrm{e}}$ of that edge is the supremum of all $\gamma_{\mathrm{n}}$ in that edge. In such a case $\mu_{\mathrm{e}}$ $=1-\gamma_{\mathrm{e}}$. Many morphological operators like dilation with respect to hypernodes, with respect to hyperedges, erosion with respect to hypernodes and
erosion with respect to hyperedges can be defined once we have a sub hypergraph XIf.
Let $X_{\text {IF }}$ and $Y_{\text {If }}$ be two sub hypergraphs of $H_{\text {IF }}$. We can define them as $\left[X_{\text {IF }},\left(\mu_{n^{\prime}}, \gamma_{n^{\prime}}\right),\left(\mu_{e^{\prime}}, \gamma_{e^{\prime}}\right), X^{\mathrm{n}}, X^{e}\right]$ and $\left[Y_{\text {IF }},\left(\mu_{n^{\prime}}, \gamma_{\mathrm{n}^{\prime}}\right),\left(\mu_{\mathrm{e}^{\prime}}, \gamma_{\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{e}}}\right), \mathrm{Y}^{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{Y}^{\mathrm{e}}\right]$. Let XIf be obtained as a result of $\alpha-\beta$ cut on $H_{\text {IF }}$ ie, $X_{\text {IF }}=H_{\alpha-\beta}$ where $m$ $<\alpha<=\mathrm{n}$ and $\beta=1-\alpha$. Here $\alpha$ corresponds to the edge membership degree and $\beta$ corresponds to the edge non membership degree. Let $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{If}}$ be obtained as a result of another $\alpha-\beta$ cut on $\mathrm{H}_{\text {IF }}$ ie, $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{If}}=\mathrm{H}_{\alpha-\beta}$ where $\alpha>=\mathrm{n}$ and $\beta=1-\alpha$. Here morphological dilation is represented using the operator $\delta$. In this paper we are going to introduce many algebraic operations on morphological dilation.

## III. ALGEBRA OF HYPERGRAPH MORHOLOGY

Let us assume $\mathrm{m}=0: 5 ; \mathrm{n}=0: 8$. ie, $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{IF}}$ is a sub hypergraph which consists of edges with membership degree greater than 0.5 , but less than or equal to 0.8 . ie, $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{IF}}=\mathrm{H}_{\alpha-\beta} / 0: 5<\alpha<=0: 8 ; \beta=1-\alpha$. Also $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{IF}}=\mathrm{H}_{\alpha-}$ $\beta / \alpha>=0: 8 ; \beta=1-\alpha$. ie, both $X_{\text {IF }}$ and $Y_{\text {If }}$ are two priority sub hypergraphs with different cut offs. Our actual $H_{\text {IF }}$ is having many non priority nodes whose $\mu$ $\mathrm{n}<0.5$ and medium level nodes whose $\mu_{\mathrm{n}}=0.5$. The hypergraphs $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{IF}}, \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{IF}}$ and $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{IF}}$ are shown in Fig.1.
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Figure 1 : (a) Hypergraph Hif, $(\mathrm{b}) \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{If}}$ and (c) $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{IF}}$
The following results hold for the above mentioned sub hypergraphs.

Definition 3.1 Let X and Y be the sub hypergraphs of $H_{\text {IF }}$ and $\delta$ be the dilation operator defined on hypergraph. Then node dilation of $\mathrm{X} \cup \mathrm{Y}$ is defined as
$\delta^{n}(X \cup Y)^{e}=\delta^{n}\left(X^{e}\right) \cup \delta^{n}\left(Y^{e}\right)$

Proof: Consider the union operation of two IFHG sub hypergraphs X and Y , where X and Y are defined as in previous section. In L.H.S of (1), consider $X \cup Y=\left(Z^{n}\right.$, $Z^{e}$ ) where $Z^{n}=X^{n} \cup Y^{n}$ and $Z^{e}=X^{e} \cup Y^{e}$ and the union operation is same as the operation on graph.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\therefore \delta^{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{X} \cup \mathrm{Y})^{\mathrm{e}}=\mathrm{Z}^{\mathrm{n}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

by definition of $\delta^{n}$ [10]

Also $\delta^{n}\left(X^{e}\right)=X^{n}$ and $\delta^{n}\left(Y^{e}\right)=Y^{n}$
$\delta^{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{e}}\right) \cup \delta^{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{Y}^{\mathrm{e}}\right)=\mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{n}} \cup \mathrm{Y}^{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{Z}^{\mathrm{n}}$

From eq(2) and eq(3), it implies
$\delta^{n}(X \cup Y)^{e}=\delta^{n}\left(X^{e}\right) \cup \delta^{n}\left(Y^{e}\right)$. Fuzzy membership and non-membership degrees are invariant under this equation. The result of this operation is shown in Fig. 2 .(a). As seen in the figure, this dilation operation will retrieve all nodes within the priority sub graphs. Since the graphs are of higher priority, the hypernodes retrieved are also of high priority.


Figure $2:(\mathrm{a}) \delta^{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{X} \cup \mathrm{Y})^{\mathrm{e}} \quad(\mathrm{b}) \delta^{\mathrm{e}}(\mathrm{X} \cup \mathrm{Y})^{\mathrm{n}}$
Example 3.1 : Consider $H=\left(H^{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{e}}\right)$ as an IFHG. $\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{n}}=$ $\left\{\mathrm{n}_{1}, \mathrm{n}_{2}, \mathrm{n}_{3}, \mathrm{n}_{4}, \mathrm{n}_{5}, \mathrm{n}_{6}, \mathrm{n}_{7}, \mathrm{n}_{8}, \mathrm{n}_{9}, \mathrm{n}_{10}, \mathrm{n}_{11}, \mathrm{n}_{12}\right\}$ be the hypernodes in $H$. Let $H^{e}=\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}, e_{4}, e_{5}, e_{6}\right\}$ be the hyperedges in H . Let X be a sub hypergraph, where X $=\left(X^{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{e}}\right)$; where $\mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{n}}=\left\{\mathrm{n}_{7}, \mathrm{n}_{8}, \mathrm{n}_{10}, \mathrm{n}_{11}\right\}$ and $\left.\mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{e}}=\{\mathrm{e}\}\right\}$. Let Y be a sub hypergraph, where $\mathrm{Y}=\left(\mathrm{Y}^{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{Y}^{\mathrm{e}}\right)$;where $\mathrm{Y}^{\mathrm{n}}$ $=\left\{\mathrm{n}_{8}, \mathrm{n}_{9}, \mathrm{n}_{11}, \mathrm{n}_{12}\right\}$ and $\mathrm{Y}^{e}=\left\{\mathrm{e}_{6}\right\}$. The membership degree and non membership degree of the hypernodes and hyperedges of hypergraph H are given as per the Table I. Here we have set $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{H} \alpha-\beta /$ $0.5<\alpha<=0.9 ; \beta=1-\alpha$. Also $Y=H \alpha-\beta / \alpha>=0.9 ; \beta=1$ $-\alpha$.

TABLE I. DETAILS OF HYPERGRAPH H

| Hyper Edges | Membership and non membership degrees |  |  |  | Edge <br> priority |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Hyper nodes |  |  | n4 |  |
| $(0.4,0.6)$ | $(0.5,0.5)$ | $(0.5,0.5)$ | $(0.4,0.6)$ | $(0.5,0.5)$ |  |
| e2 | n 1 | n 2 | n 4 | n 6 | medium |
| $(0.5,0.5)$ | $(0.5,0.5)$ | $(0.5,0.5)$ | $(0.5,0.5)$ | $(0.5,0.5)$ |  |
| e3 | n 3 | n 4 | n 7 | n 8 | low |
| $(0.4,0.6)$ | $(0.4,0.6)$ | $(0.5,0.5)$ | $(0.6,0.4)$ | $(0.8,0.2)$ |  |
| e4 | n 4 | n 8 | n 6 | n 9 | high |
| $(0.9,0.1)$ | $(0.5,0.5)$ | $(0.5,0.5)$ | $(0.5,0.5)$ | $(0.9,0.1)$ |  |
| e5 | n 7 | n 10 | n 8 | n 11 | high |
| $(0.8,0.2)$ | $(0.7,0.3)$ | $(0.5,0.5)$ | $(0.5,0.5)$ | $(0.6,0.4)$ |  |
| e6 | n 8 | n 9 | n 11 | n 12 | high |
| $(0.9,0.1)$ | $(0.5,0.5)$ | $(0.9,0.1)$ | $(0.8,0.2)$ | $(0.9,0.1)$ |  |

The result of the operation $\delta^{n}(\mathrm{X} \cup \mathrm{Y})^{e}$ is $\mathrm{Z}^{\mathrm{n}}=\left\{\mathrm{n}_{7}, \mathrm{n}_{8}, \mathrm{n}_{9}, \mathrm{n}_{10}, \mathrm{n}_{11}, \mathrm{n}_{12}\right\}$. Also same results are obtained from $\delta^{n}\left(X^{e}\right) \cup \delta^{n}\left(Y^{e}\right)$ ie, $\left\{n 7, n_{8}, n_{10}, n_{11}\right\} \cup\{n 8$,
$\left.\mathrm{n}_{9}, \mathrm{n}_{11}, \mathrm{n}_{12}\right\}=\left\{\mathrm{n}_{7}, \mathrm{n}_{8}, \mathrm{n}_{9}, \mathrm{n}_{10}, \mathrm{n}_{11}, \mathrm{n}_{12}\right\}=\mathrm{Z}^{\mathrm{n}}$. Here the proof is substantiated with the above example.

Definition 3.2: Let X and Y be the sub hypergraphs of $H_{\text {IF }}$ and $\delta$ be the dilation operator defined on hypergraph. Then edge dilation of $\mathrm{X} \cup \mathrm{Y}$ is defined as
$\delta^{e}(\mathrm{X} \cup \mathrm{Y})^{\mathrm{n}}=\delta^{\mathrm{e}}\left(\mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{n}}\right) \cup \delta^{\mathrm{e}}\left(\mathrm{Y}^{\mathrm{n}}\right)$
Proof: In L.H.S of eq(4), $\delta^{e}(X \cup Y)^{n}$ is the collection of all edges which contain hypernodes of $X \cup Y$. As shown in Fig. 2(b), it consists of edges which are of priority and also of non priority. This is because; the hypernodes of sub graphs X and Y are also part of other hyperedges which are of medium priority or low priority. Here we can see that all the edges retrieved by this dilation consist of at least one node of high priority. All other edges are discarded in this operation.
$\delta^{e}(X \cup Y)^{n}=Z^{e}$

In R.H.S of eq(4), $\delta^{e}\left(X^{\mathrm{n}}\right)=\mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{e}}$ and $\delta^{\mathrm{e}}\left(\mathrm{Y}^{\mathrm{n}}\right)=\mathrm{Y}^{\mathrm{e}}$

Hence
$\delta^{e}\left(\mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{n}}\right) \cup \delta^{e}\left(\mathrm{Y}^{\mathrm{n}}\right)=\mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{e}} \cup \mathrm{Y}^{\mathrm{e}}=\mathrm{Z}^{\mathrm{e}}$

From eq(5) and eq(6), it implies that
$\delta^{\mathrm{e}}(\mathrm{X} \cup \mathrm{Y})^{\mathrm{n}}=\delta^{\mathrm{e}}\left(\mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{n}}\right) \cup \delta^{\mathrm{e}}\left(\mathrm{Y}^{\mathrm{n}}\right)$

Example 3.2: Consider the same problem defined in example 3.1. Applying it in L.H.S of eq(4), we get $(X \cup Y)^{n}=\left\{n_{7}, n_{8}, n_{9}, n_{10}, n_{11}, n_{12}\right\}$. Now $\delta^{e}(X \cup Y)^{n}=\left\{e_{3}, e_{4}\right.$, $\left.\mathrm{e}_{5}, \mathrm{e}_{6}\right\}$. Considering R.H.S, we get $\delta^{\mathrm{e}}\left(\mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{n}}\right)=\left\{\mathrm{e}_{3}, \mathrm{e}_{4}, \mathrm{e}_{5}, \mathrm{e}_{6}\right\}$ and $\delta^{\mathrm{e}}\left(\mathrm{Y}^{\mathrm{n}}\right)=\left\{\mathrm{e}_{3}, \mathrm{e}_{4}, \mathrm{e}_{5}, \mathrm{e}_{6}\right\}$. Now $\delta^{\mathrm{e}}\left(\mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{n}}\right) \cup \delta^{\mathrm{e}}\left(\mathrm{Y}^{\mathrm{n}}\right)=\left\{\mathrm{e}_{3}\right.$, $\left.\mathrm{e}_{4}, \mathrm{e}_{5}, \mathrm{e}_{6}\right\}$.

Definition 3.3: Let X and Y be the sub hypergraphs of $H_{\text {IF }}$ and $\delta$ be the dilation operator defined on hypergraph. Then node dilation of $\mathrm{X}^{\prime} \cup \mathrm{Y}^{\prime}$ is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta^{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{X}^{\prime} \cup \mathrm{Y}^{\prime}\right)^{\mathrm{e}}=\delta^{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{X}^{\prime}\right)^{\mathrm{e}} \cup \delta^{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{Y}^{\prime}\right)^{\mathrm{e}} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof: Let $\mathrm{X}^{\prime}=\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y}^{\prime}=\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Y}, \mathrm{X}^{\prime}=\left(\mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{n}^{\prime}}, \mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{e}^{\prime}}\right), \mathrm{Y}^{\prime}=$ $\left(\mathrm{Y}^{n^{\prime}}, \mathrm{Y}^{\mathrm{e}^{\prime}}\right)$. Let $\left(\mathrm{X}^{\prime} \cup \mathrm{Y}^{\prime}\right)^{\mathrm{e}}$ be the set of all hyperedges not in $X \cup Y$, where $X^{\prime} \cup Y^{\prime}=Z^{\prime}$. Also $Z^{\mathrm{n}^{\prime}}$ and $\mathrm{Z}^{\mathrm{e}^{\prime}}$ are the hypernodes and hyperedges of $Z^{\prime}$. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta^{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{X}^{\prime} \cup \mathrm{Y}^{\prime}\right)^{\mathrm{e}}=\mathrm{Z}^{\mathrm{n}^{\prime}} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also
$\delta^{n}\left(X^{\prime}\right)^{e} \cup \delta^{n}\left(Y^{\prime}\right)^{e}=X^{n^{\prime}} \cup Y^{n^{\prime}}$

From eq(8) and eq(9), it implies that
$\delta^{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{X}^{\prime} \cup \mathrm{Y}^{\prime}\right)^{\mathrm{e}}=\delta^{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{X}^{\prime}\right)^{\mathrm{e}} \cup \delta^{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{Y}^{\prime}\right)^{\mathrm{e}} \cdot$ Here $\left(\mathrm{X}^{\prime} \cup \mathrm{Y}^{\prime}\right)^{\mathrm{e}}$ retrieves all edges which are of high medium and low priority, so also the dilation operation $\delta^{n}\left(\mathrm{X}^{\prime} \cup \mathrm{Y}^{\prime}\right)^{\mathrm{e}}$ retrieves all nodes within these high, medium and low priority hyperedges. The same is shown in Fig. 3
(a)


Figure 3: (a) $\delta^{n}\left(X^{\prime} \cup Y^{\prime}\right)^{e}$
(b) $\delta^{e}\left(X^{\prime} \cup Y^{\prime}\right)^{n}$

Example 3.3. Considering L.H.S of eq(7), we obtain $\left(X^{\prime} \cup Y^{\prime}\right)^{e}=\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}, e_{4}, e_{5}, e_{6}\right\}$. From that we get $\delta^{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{X}^{\prime} \cup \mathrm{Y}^{\prime}\right)^{\mathrm{e}}=\left\{\mathrm{n}_{1}, \mathrm{n}_{2}, \mathrm{n}_{3}, \mathrm{n}_{4}, \mathrm{n}_{5}, \mathrm{n}_{6}, \mathrm{n}_{7}, \mathrm{n}_{8}, \mathrm{n}_{9}, \mathrm{n}_{10}, \mathrm{n}_{11}, \mathrm{n}_{12}\right\}$. Considering R.H.S, we get $\delta^{n}\left(X^{\prime}\right)^{e}=\left\{n_{1}, n_{2}, n_{3}, n_{4}, n_{5}, n_{6}\right.$, $\left.\mathrm{n}_{7}, \mathrm{n}_{8}, \mathrm{n}_{9}, \mathrm{n}_{11}, \mathrm{n}_{12}\right\}$. Also $\delta^{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{Y}^{\prime}\right)^{\mathrm{e}}=\left\{\mathrm{n}_{1}, \mathrm{n}_{2}, \mathrm{n}_{3}, \mathrm{n}_{4}, \mathrm{n}_{5}, \mathrm{n}_{6}, \mathrm{n}_{7}\right.$, $\left.\mathrm{n}_{8}, \mathrm{n}_{9}, \mathrm{n}_{10}, \mathrm{n}_{11}\right\}$. Now $\delta^{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{X}^{\prime}\right)^{\mathrm{e}} \cup \delta^{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{Y}^{\prime}\right)^{\mathrm{e}}=\left\{\mathrm{n}_{1}, \mathrm{n}_{2}, \mathrm{n}_{3}, \mathrm{n}_{4}, \mathrm{n}_{5}\right.$, $\left.\mathrm{n}_{6}, \mathrm{n}_{7}, \mathrm{n}_{8}, \mathrm{n}_{9}, \mathrm{n}_{10}, \mathrm{n}_{11}, \mathrm{n}_{12}\right\}$.

Definition 3.4: Let $X$ and $Y$ be the sub hypergraphs of $H_{\text {IF }}$ and $\delta$ be the dilation operator defined on hypergraph. Then edge dilation of $\mathrm{X}^{\prime} \cup \mathrm{Y}^{\prime}$ is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta^{\mathrm{e}}\left(\mathrm{X}^{\prime} \cup \mathrm{Y}^{\prime}\right)^{\mathrm{n}}=\delta^{\mathrm{e}}\left(\mathrm{X}^{\prime}\right)^{\mathrm{n}} \cup \delta^{\mathrm{e}}\left(\mathrm{Y}^{\prime}\right)^{\mathrm{n}} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof: Let $\mathrm{X}^{\prime}=\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y}^{\prime}=\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Y}, \mathrm{X}^{\prime}=\left(\mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{n}^{\prime}}, \mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{e}^{\prime}}\right), \mathrm{Y}^{\prime}=$ $\left(\mathrm{Y}^{\mathrm{n}^{\prime}}, \mathrm{Y}^{\mathrm{e}^{\prime}}\right) .\left(\mathrm{X}^{\prime} \cup \mathrm{Y}^{\prime}\right)^{\mathrm{n}}$ be the set of all hypernodes not in $\mathrm{X} \cup \mathrm{Y}$, where $\mathrm{X}^{\prime} \cup \mathrm{Y}^{\prime}=\mathrm{Z}^{\prime}$. Also $\mathrm{Z}^{\mathrm{n}^{\prime}}$ and $\mathrm{Z}^{{ }^{\prime}}$ are the hypernodes and hyperedges of $\mathrm{Z}^{\prime}$. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta^{\mathrm{e}}\left(\mathrm{X}^{\prime} \cup \mathrm{Y}^{\prime}\right)^{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{Z}^{\mathrm{e}^{\prime}} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta^{e}\left(X^{\prime}\right)^{\mathrm{n}} \cup \delta^{e}\left(\mathrm{Y}^{\prime}\right)^{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{e}^{\prime}} \cup \mathrm{Y}^{\mathrm{e}} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

From eq(11) and eq(12), it implies that
$\delta^{e}\left(X^{\prime} \cup Y^{\prime}\right)^{n}=\delta^{e}\left(X^{\prime}\right)^{n} \cup \delta^{e}\left(Y^{\prime}\right)^{n}$.
This dilation operation will retrieve all edges which are of high, medium priority and low priority. The same is shown in Fig.3(b).

Example: Considering L.H.S of eq(10), we obtain the result $\left(X^{\prime} \cup Y^{\prime}\right)^{n}=\left\{n_{1}, n_{2}, n_{3}, n_{4}, n_{5}, n_{6}, n_{7}, n_{8}, n_{9}, n_{10}, n_{11}\right.$, $\left.n_{12}\right\}$. Now $\delta^{e}\left(X^{\prime} \cup Y^{\prime}\right)^{\mathrm{n}}=\left\{\mathrm{e}_{1}, \mathrm{e}_{2}, \mathrm{e}_{3}, \mathrm{e}_{4}, \mathrm{e}_{5}, \mathrm{e}_{6}\right\}$. Consider R.H.S, where $\delta^{\mathrm{e}}\left(\mathrm{X}^{\prime}\right)^{\mathrm{n}}=\left\{\mathrm{e}_{1}, \mathrm{e}_{2}, \mathrm{e}_{3}, \mathrm{e}_{4}, \mathrm{e} 6\right\}$. Now $\delta^{\mathrm{e}}\left(\mathrm{Y}^{\prime}\right)^{\mathrm{n}}=$ $\left.\left\{\mathrm{e}_{1}, \mathrm{e} 2, \mathrm{e} 3, \mathrm{e} 4, \mathrm{e}\right\}\right\}$. From this we get $\delta^{\mathrm{e}}\left(\mathrm{X}^{\prime}\right)^{\mathrm{n}} \cup \delta^{\mathrm{e}}\left(\mathrm{Y}^{\prime}\right)^{\mathrm{n}}=$ $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}, e_{4}, e_{5}, e_{6}\right\}$.

Definition 3.5: Let $X$ and $Y$ be the sub hypergraphs of $H_{\text {IF }}$ and $\delta$ be the dilation operator defined on hypergraph. Then node dilation of $\mathrm{X} \cap \mathrm{Y}$ is defined as
$\delta^{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{X} \cap \mathrm{Y})^{\mathrm{e}}=\delta^{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{e}}\right) \cap \delta^{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{Y}^{\mathrm{e}}\right)$
provided there are common edges in X and Y .

Proof: Consider the intersection operation of two IFHG sub hypergraphs X and Y , where X and Y are defined as in previous section. In L.H.S of eq(13), consider $\mathrm{X} \cap \mathrm{Y}=\left(\mathrm{Z}^{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{Z}^{\mathrm{e}}\right)$ where $\mathrm{Z}^{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{n}} \cap \mathrm{Y}^{\mathrm{n}}$ and $\mathrm{Z}^{\mathrm{e}}$ $=\mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{e}} \cap \mathrm{Y}^{\mathrm{e}}$ and the intersection operation is same as the operation on graph.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\therefore \delta^{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{X} \cap \mathrm{Y})^{\mathrm{e}}=\mathrm{Z}^{\mathrm{n}} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

by definition of $\delta{ }^{n}$

Also $\delta^{n}\left(X^{e}\right)=X^{n}$ and $\delta^{n}\left(Y^{e}\right)=Y^{n}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\therefore \delta^{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{e}}\right) \cap \delta^{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{Y}^{\mathrm{e}}\right)=\mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{n}} \cap \mathrm{Y}^{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{Z}^{\mathrm{n}} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\mathrm{Eq}(13)$ is implied from eq(14) and eq(15) . Fuzzy membership and non membership degrees are invariant under this equation. The resultant graph is shown in Fig. 4(a). This dilation will retrieve only
the priority hypernodes which are found both in X and $Y$.

Example 3.5. Since this is true only if there are common edges in X and Y , let us modify X by including a common edge with Y so that now new $X=\left(X^{n}, X^{e}\right)$, where $X^{e}=\left\{e_{5}, e_{6}\right\}$ and $X^{n}=\left\{n 7, n_{8}, n, n_{10}\right.$, $\left.n_{11}, n_{12}\right\}$.Consider the result of L.H.S of eq(13), where we get $(X \cap Y)^{e}=\{e 6\}$, so we get $\delta^{n}(X \cap Y)^{e}=\{n 8, n$, $\left.n_{11}, n_{12}\right\}$. Consider R.H.S, where we get $\delta^{n}\left(X^{e}\right)=\left\{n_{7}, n_{8}\right.$, $\left.\mathrm{n}_{9}, \mathrm{n}_{10}, \mathrm{n}_{11}, \mathrm{n}_{12}\right\}$ and $\delta^{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{Y}^{\mathrm{e}}\right)=\left\{\mathrm{n}_{8}, \mathrm{n}_{9}, \mathrm{n}_{11}, \mathrm{n}_{12}\right\}$. Definition 3.5 is proved with the result $\delta^{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{e}}\right) \cap \delta^{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{Y}^{\mathrm{e}}\right)=\{\mathrm{n}$, n9, $\mathrm{n}_{11}, \mathrm{n}_{12}$.

Definition 3.6: Let X and Y be the sub hypergraphs of $H_{\text {IF }}$ and $\delta$ be the dilation operator defined on hypergraph. Then edge dilation of $\mathrm{X} \cap \mathrm{Y}$ is defined as
$\delta^{\mathrm{e}}(\mathrm{X} \cap \mathrm{Y})^{\mathrm{n}}=\delta^{\mathrm{e}}\left(\mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{n}}\right) \cap \delta^{\mathrm{e}}\left(\mathrm{Y}^{\mathrm{n}}\right)$

Proof: In L.H.S of eq(16), $(\mathrm{X} \cap \mathrm{Y})^{\mathrm{n}}$ is the collection of all hypernodes in $\mathrm{X} \cap \mathrm{Y}$. ie,
$\delta^{e}(\mathrm{X} \cap \mathrm{Y})^{\mathrm{n}}$ is the collection of all hyperedges which contains these hypernodes.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta^{\mathrm{e}}(\mathrm{X} \cap \mathrm{Y})^{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{Z}^{\mathrm{e}} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

In R.H.S of eq(16), $\delta^{e}\left(\mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{n}}\right)=\mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{e}}$ and $\delta^{\mathrm{e}}\left(\mathrm{Y}^{\mathrm{n}}\right)=\mathrm{Y}^{\mathrm{e}}$ Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta^{e}\left(\mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{n}}\right) \cap \delta^{\mathrm{e}}\left(\mathrm{Y}^{\mathrm{n}}\right)=\mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{e}} \cap \mathrm{Y}^{\mathrm{e}}=\mathrm{Z}^{\mathrm{e}} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\mathrm{Eq}(16)$ is implied from eq(17) and eq(18).


Figure 4: (a) $\delta^{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{X} \cap \mathrm{Y})^{\mathrm{e}} \quad(\mathrm{b}) \delta^{\mathrm{e}}(\mathrm{X} \cap \mathrm{Y})^{\mathrm{n}}$

The same result is shown in Fig .4(b). As we see in the figure, not all edges are of high priority. It retrieves all kinds of edges. But it ensures that at least one hypernode in that edge is of high priority.

Example 3.6: Consider the X and Y mentioned in example 3.1. In L.H.S of eq(16), while we get ( $\mathrm{X} \cap$ $Y)^{n}=\{n 8, n 9\}$, we get $\delta^{e}(X \cap Y)^{n}=\left\{e_{3}, e_{4}, e_{5}, e_{6}\right\}$. Considering R.H.S of eq(16), we obtain $\delta^{e}\left(\mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{n}}\right)=\left\{\mathrm{e}_{3}\right.$, $\left.\mathrm{e}_{4}, \mathrm{e}_{5}, \mathrm{e}_{6}\right\} ; \delta^{\mathrm{e}}\left(\mathrm{Y}^{\mathrm{n}}\right)=\left\{\mathrm{e}_{3}, \mathrm{e}_{4}, \mathrm{e}_{5}, \mathrm{e}_{6}\right\}$. Now $\delta^{\mathrm{e}}\left(\mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{n}}\right) \cap$ $\delta^{\mathrm{e}}\left(\mathrm{Y}^{\mathrm{n}}\right)=\left\{\mathrm{e}_{3}, \mathrm{e}_{4}, \mathrm{e}_{5}, \mathrm{e} 6\right\}$.

Definition 3.7: Let X and Y be the sub hypergraphs of $H_{\text {IF }}$ and $\delta$ be the dilation operator defined on hypergraph. Then node dilation of $\mathrm{X}^{\prime} \cap \mathrm{Y}^{\prime}$ is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta^{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{X}^{\prime} \cap \mathrm{Y}^{\prime}\right)^{\mathrm{e}}=\delta^{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{X}^{\prime}\right)^{\mathrm{e}} \cap \delta^{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{Y}^{\prime}\right)^{\mathrm{e}} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

provided there common edges in X and Y .

Proof: Let $\mathrm{X}^{\prime}=\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y}^{\prime}=\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Y}, \mathrm{X}^{\prime}=\left(\mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{n}^{\prime}}, \mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{e}^{\prime}}\right), \mathrm{Y}^{\prime}=$ $\left(\mathrm{Y}^{\mathrm{n}^{\prime}}, \mathrm{Y}^{\mathrm{e}^{\prime}}\right)$. Let $\left(\mathrm{X}^{\prime} \cap \mathrm{Y}^{\prime}\right)^{e}$ be the set of all hyperedges not in $\mathrm{X} \cap \mathrm{Y}$, where $\mathrm{X}^{\prime} \cap \mathrm{Y}^{\prime}=\mathrm{Z}^{\prime}$. Also $\mathrm{Z}^{\mathrm{n}^{\prime}}$ and $\mathrm{Z}^{e^{\prime}}$ are the hypernodes and hyperedges of $\mathrm{Z}^{\prime}$. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta^{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{X}^{\prime} \cap \mathrm{Y}^{\prime}\right)^{\mathrm{e}}=\mathrm{Z}^{\mathrm{n}^{\prime}} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta^{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{X}^{\prime}\right)^{\mathrm{e}} \cap \delta^{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{Y}^{\prime}\right)^{\mathrm{e}}=\mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{n}^{\prime}} \cap \mathrm{Y}^{\mathrm{n}^{\prime}} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\mathrm{Eq}(19)$ is implied from eq(20) and eq(21).
Resultant graph obtained is shown in Fig 5(a)


Figure 5: (a) $\delta^{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{X}^{\prime} \cap \mathrm{Y}^{\prime}\right)^{\mathrm{e}}$ (b) $\delta^{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{X}^{\prime} \cap \mathrm{Y}^{\prime}\right)^{\mathrm{e}}$

Example 3.7 . Let us consider the modified $\mathrm{X}=\left(\mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{e}}\right)$, where $X^{e}=\left\{e_{5}, e_{6}\right\}$ and $X^{n}=\left\{n_{7}, n_{8}, n_{9}, n_{10}, n_{11}, n_{12}\right\}$.Now in L.H.S of eq(19), we get $\left(X^{\prime} \cap Y^{\prime}\right)^{e}=\left\{\mathrm{e}_{1}, \mathrm{e}_{2}, \mathrm{e}_{3}, \mathrm{e}_{4}\right\}$.
Now $\delta^{n}\left(X^{\prime} \cap Y^{\prime}\right)^{e}=\left\{n_{1}, n_{2}, n_{3}, n_{4}, n_{5}, n_{6}, n_{7}, n_{8}\right.$, $\mathrm{n} 9\}$.Consider R.H.S , where we get $\delta^{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{X}^{\prime}\right)^{\mathrm{e}}=\left\{\mathrm{n}_{1}, \mathrm{n}_{2}, \mathrm{n}_{3}\right.$, $\left.\mathrm{n}_{4}, \mathrm{n}_{5}, \mathrm{n}_{6}, \mathrm{n}_{7}, \mathrm{n}_{8}, \mathrm{n}_{9}\right\} . \delta^{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{Y}^{\prime}\right)^{\mathrm{e}}=\left\{\mathrm{n}_{1}, \mathrm{n}_{2}, \mathrm{n}_{3}, \mathrm{n}_{4}, \mathrm{n}_{5}, \mathrm{n}_{6}, \mathrm{n}_{7}, \mathrm{n}_{8}, \mathrm{n}_{9}\right.$, $\left.\mathrm{n}_{10}, \mathrm{n}_{11}\right\}$. Thus $\delta^{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{X}^{\prime}\right)^{\mathrm{e}} \cap \delta^{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{Y}^{\prime}\right)^{\mathrm{e}}=\left\{\mathrm{n}_{1}, \mathrm{n}_{2}, \mathrm{n}_{3}, \mathrm{n}_{4}, \mathrm{n}_{5}, \mathrm{n}_{6}, \mathrm{n}_{7}\right.$, n8, n9\}.

Definition 3.8: Let $X$ and $Y$ be the sub hypergraphs of $H_{\text {IF }}$ and $\delta$ be the dilation operator defined on hypergraph. Then edge dilation of $\mathrm{X}^{\prime} \cap \mathrm{Y}^{\prime}$ is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta^{e}\left(X^{\prime} \cap Y^{\prime}\right)^{\mathrm{n}}=\delta^{\mathrm{e}}\left(\mathrm{X}^{\prime}\right)^{\mathrm{n}} \cap \delta^{\mathrm{e}}\left(\mathrm{Y}^{\prime}\right)^{\mathrm{n}} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof: Let $\mathrm{X}^{\prime}=\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y}^{\prime}=\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Y}, \mathrm{X}^{\prime}=\left(\mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{n}^{\prime}}, \mathrm{X}^{\prime \prime}\right)$
, $\mathrm{Y}^{\prime}=\left(\mathrm{Y}^{\mathrm{n}^{\prime}}, \mathrm{Y}^{e^{\prime}}\right)$. Let $\left(\mathrm{X}^{\prime} \cap \mathrm{Y}^{\prime}\right)^{\mathrm{n}}$ be the set of all hypernodes not in $\mathrm{X} \cap \mathrm{Y}$, where $\mathrm{X}^{\prime} \cap \mathrm{Y}^{\prime}=\mathrm{Z}^{\prime}$. Also $\mathrm{Z}^{\mathrm{n}^{\prime}}$ and $Z^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ are the hypernodes and hyperedges of $Z^{\prime}$. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta^{e}\left(\mathrm{X}^{\prime} \cap \mathrm{Y}^{\prime}\right)^{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{Z}^{\mathrm{e}^{\prime}} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta^{e}\left(X^{\prime}\right)^{n} \cap \delta^{e}\left(Y^{\prime}\right)^{n}=X^{e^{\prime}} \cap Y^{e^{\prime}} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Eq(22) is implied from eq(23) and eq(24).The resultant graph is shown in Fig.5(b).

Example 3.8.Take X and Y defined in example 3.1. Applying it in eq(22), we get $\left(X^{\prime} \cap Y^{\prime}\right)^{n}=\left\{n_{1}, n_{2}, n_{3}, n_{4}\right.$, $\left.n_{5}, n_{6}, n_{7}, n_{8}, n_{9}\right\}$. So $\delta^{e}\left(X^{\prime} \cap Y^{\prime}\right)^{n}=\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}, e_{4}, e_{5}, e_{6}\right\}$. $\delta^{e}$ $\left(X^{\prime}\right)^{n}=\left\{\mathbf{e}_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}, e_{4}, e_{5}, e_{6}\right\}$. Also $\delta^{e}\left(Y^{\prime}\right)^{n}=\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}, e_{4}, e_{5}\right.$, e6\}. Thus $\delta^{e}\left(X^{\prime}\right)^{n} \cap \delta^{e}\left(Y^{\prime}\right)^{n}=\left\{e_{1}, \mathrm{e}_{2}, \mathrm{e}_{3}, \mathrm{e}_{4}, \mathrm{e}_{5}, \mathrm{e}_{6}\right\}$.
3.9.1: Generalized associative law for union

Proposition 1. Let $\mathrm{X}_{1}, \mathrm{X}_{2}, \mathrm{X}_{3} \ldots \ldots . \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{n}}$ be the sub hypergraphs of $H_{\text {IF }}$ Let $\delta$ be the node dilation operator defined on $\mathrm{X}_{1}, \mathrm{X}_{2}, \mathrm{X}_{3} \ldots \ldots . . \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{n}}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta^{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{X}_{1} \cup \mathrm{X}_{2} \cup \mathrm{X}_{3} \ldots \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)^{\mathrm{e}}=\delta^{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{X}_{1}{ }^{\mathrm{e}} \cup \mathrm{X}_{2}^{\mathrm{e}} \ldots \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{\mathrm{e}}\right) \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

The proof follows from definition 3.1

Similary the edge dilation on $\left(\mathrm{X}_{1} \cup \mathrm{X}_{2} \cup \mathrm{X}_{3} \ldots \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ is
$\delta^{e}\left(X_{1} \cup X_{2} \cup X_{3} \ldots X_{n}\right)^{n}=\delta^{e}\left(X_{1}{ }^{n} \cup X_{2}{ }^{n} \cdots X_{n}{ }^{n}\right)$

The proof follows from definition 3.2.
3.9.2: Generalized associative law for intersection

Proposition 2. Let $X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3} \ldots \ldots . X_{n}$ be the sub hypergraphs of $H_{\text {IF }}$ Let $\delta$ be the dilation operator, then node dilation on $\left(\mathrm{X}_{1} \cap \mathrm{X}_{2} \cap \mathrm{X}_{3} \ldots \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta^{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{X}_{1} \cap \mathrm{X}_{2} \cap \mathrm{X}_{3} \ldots \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)^{\mathrm{e}}=\delta^{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{X}_{1}{ }^{\mathrm{e}} \cap \mathrm{X}_{2}{ }^{\mathrm{e}} \ldots \ldots . . \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{\mathrm{e}}\right) \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

The proof follows from definition 3.5

Similary the edge dilation on $\left(\mathrm{X}_{1} \cap \mathrm{X}_{2} \cap \mathrm{X}_{3} \ldots \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta^{\mathrm{e}}\left(\mathrm{X}_{1} \cap \mathrm{X}_{2} \cap \mathrm{X}_{3} \ldots \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)^{\mathrm{n}}=\delta^{\mathrm{e}}\left(\mathrm{XX}_{1}{ }^{\mathrm{n}} \cap \mathrm{X}_{2}{ }^{\mathrm{n}} \ldots \ldots \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{\mathrm{n}}\right) \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

The proof follows from definition 3.6

### 3.9.3: Distributive law

Proposition 3. Let $X, Y$ and $T$ be three sub hypergraphs of Hir. Then
$\delta^{n}((X \cup Y) \cap T)^{e}=\delta^{n}(X \cap T)^{e} \cup \delta^{n}(Y \cap T)^{e}$
The proof follows from definition 3.1 and definition 3.5 .

## IV. DE MORGAN'S LAW APPLIED TO IFHG

## 4.1: De Morgan's law applied to dilation with respect to hypernode considering union of subgraphs

Proposition 4 .Let X and Y be the sub hypergraphs of $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{IF}}$ and $\delta$ be the dilation operator defined on hypergraph, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta^{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{X} \cup \mathrm{Y})^{)^{\mathrm{e}}}=\delta^{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{X}^{\prime}\right)^{\mathrm{e}} \cap \delta^{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{Y}^{\prime}\right)^{\mathrm{e}} \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

provided there are edge(s) in $\mathrm{X} \cap \mathrm{Y}$.
Proof: $(\mathrm{X} \cup \mathrm{Y})^{\prime}$ be the sub hypergraph with edges which are not present in $\mathrm{X} \cup \mathrm{Y}$.

Let $(X \cup Y)^{\prime}{ }^{\text {e }}$ be the edges in that hypergraph. Hence $\delta^{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{X} \cup \mathrm{Y})^{\prime}{ }^{\mathrm{e}}$ is the set of all hypernodes in the sub hypergraph $(\mathrm{X} \cup \mathrm{Y})^{\prime}$. Let it be $\mathrm{Z}^{\mathrm{n}}$. Also $\delta^{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{X}^{\prime}\right)^{\mathrm{e}}=$ set of all hypernodes in $\mathrm{X}^{\prime}, \delta^{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{Y}^{\prime}\right)^{\mathrm{e}}=$ set of all hypernodes in $\mathrm{Y}^{\prime}$. Let v be an arbitrary node in $\delta^{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{X} \cup \mathrm{Y})^{\prime}{ }^{\mathrm{e}}$, which implies that v belongs to $\delta^{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{X}^{\prime}\right)^{\mathrm{e}}$ and v belongs to $\delta$ ${ }^{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{Y}^{\prime}\right)^{\mathrm{e}}$.

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta^{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{X} \cup \mathrm{Y})^{)^{\mathrm{e}}} \subseteq \delta^{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{X}^{\prime}\right)^{\mathrm{e}} \cap \delta^{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{Y}^{\prime}\right)^{\mathrm{e}} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let v belongs to $\delta^{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{X}^{\prime}\right)^{\mathrm{e}} \cap \delta^{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{Y}^{\prime}\right)^{\mathrm{e}}$ which implies that $v$ belongs to $\mathrm{X}^{\prime}$ and v belongs to $\mathrm{Y}^{\prime}$. Hence $\mathrm{v} \notin \mathrm{X}$ and v $\notin \mathrm{Y}$.
$v \notin X \cup Y$
$\therefore \mathrm{X} \in(\mathrm{X} \cup \mathrm{Y})^{\prime}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta^{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{X}^{\prime}\right)^{\mathrm{e}} \cap \delta^{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{Y}^{\prime}\right)^{\mathrm{e}} \subseteq \delta^{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{X} \cup \mathrm{Y})^{\mathrm{e}} \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Eq (30) implied from $\mathrm{Eq}(31)$ and $\mathrm{Eq}(32)$.
Example 4.1. Consider the hypergraph $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{IF}}$ given in Table I (also shown in Fig 6(a)). Let X be a sub hypergraph $X=\left(X^{n}, X^{e}\right)$, where $X^{e}=\left\{\mathrm{e}_{5}, \mathrm{e}_{6}\right\}$ and $X^{n}$ $=\left\{n_{7}, n_{8}, n_{9}, n_{10}, n_{11}, n_{12}\right\}$. Let $Y$ be another sub hypergraph such that $\mathrm{Y}=\left(\mathrm{Y}^{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{Y}^{\mathrm{e}}\right)$, where $\mathrm{Y}^{\mathrm{e}}=\left\{\mathrm{e}_{6}\right\}$, $Y^{n}=\left\{n_{8}, n_{9}, n_{11}, n_{12}\right\}$. Considering L.H.S of eq (30) we get, $(\mathrm{X} \cup \mathrm{Y})^{\prime}{ }^{\mathrm{e}}=\left\{\mathrm{e}_{1}, \mathrm{e}_{2}, \mathrm{e}_{3}, \mathrm{e}_{4}\right\}$. Now $\delta^{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{X} \cup \mathrm{Y})^{{ }^{\mathrm{e}}}=\left\{\mathrm{n}_{1}\right.$, $\left.\mathrm{n}_{2}, \mathrm{n}_{3}, \mathrm{n}_{4}, \mathrm{n}_{5}, \mathrm{n}_{6}, \mathrm{n}_{7}, \mathrm{n}_{8}, \mathrm{n}_{9}\right\}$. Considering R.H.S of eq (30) we get, $\delta^{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{X}^{\prime}\right)^{\mathrm{e}}=\left\{\mathrm{n}_{1}, \mathrm{n}_{2}, \mathrm{n}_{3}, \mathrm{n}_{4}, \mathrm{n}_{5}, \mathrm{n}_{6}, \mathrm{n}_{7}, \mathrm{n}_{8}, \mathrm{n}_{9}\right\}$. Also $\delta^{\mathrm{n}}$ $\left(Y^{\prime}\right)^{e}=\left\{n_{1}, n_{2}, n_{3}, n_{4}, n_{5}, n_{6}, n_{7}, n_{8}, n_{9}, n_{10}, n_{11}\right\}$. Thus we get $\delta^{n}\left(\mathrm{X}^{\prime}\right)^{\mathrm{e}} \cap \delta^{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{Y}^{\prime}\right)^{\mathrm{e}}=\left\{\mathrm{n}_{1}, \mathrm{n}_{2}, \mathrm{n}_{3}, \mathrm{n}_{4}, \mathrm{n}_{5}, \mathrm{n}_{6}, \mathrm{n}_{7}, \mathrm{n}_{8}, \mathrm{n}_{9}\right\}$ as shown in Fig 6(b).


Figure 6:(a) H 6(b) ,6(c) ,6(d),6(e) - Results of De Morgan's law

## 4.2 : De Morgan's law applied to dilation with

 respect to hyperedge considering union of subgraphs. Proposition 5. Let X and Y be the sub hypergraphs of $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{IF}}$ and $\delta$ be the dilation operator defined on hypergraph, then$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta^{\mathrm{e}}(\mathrm{X} \cup \mathrm{Y})^{\prime \mathrm{n}}=\delta^{\mathrm{e}}\left(\mathrm{X}^{\prime}\right)^{\mathrm{n}} \cap \delta^{\mathrm{e}}\left(\mathrm{Y}^{\prime}\right)^{\mathrm{n}} \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

provided there are edge(s) in $\mathrm{X} \cap \mathrm{Y}$.
Proof: Let $(\mathrm{X} \cup \mathrm{Y})^{\prime}$ be the sub hypergraph with edges which are not present in $\mathrm{X} \cup \mathrm{Y}$. Let $(\mathrm{X} \cup \mathrm{Y})^{\prime n}$ be the nodes in that hypergraph. Hence $\delta^{e}(\mathrm{X} \cup \mathrm{Y})^{\prime n}$ is the set of all hyperedges which include such nodes. Let it be $Z^{e}$. Also $\delta^{\mathrm{e}}\left(\mathrm{X}^{\prime}\right)^{\mathrm{n}}=$ set of all hyperedges in $\mathrm{X}^{\prime}, \delta^{\mathrm{e}}\left(\mathrm{Y}^{\prime}\right)^{\mathrm{n}}=$ set of all hyperedges in $\mathrm{Y}^{\prime}$. Let v be an arbitrary node in $\delta^{\mathrm{e}}(\mathrm{X} \cup \mathrm{Y})^{\prime \mathrm{n}}$, which implies that v belongs to $\delta^{\mathrm{e}}\left(\mathrm{X}^{\prime}\right)^{\mathrm{n}}$ and v belongs to $\delta^{\mathrm{e}}\left(\mathrm{Y}^{\prime}\right)^{\mathrm{n}}$. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta^{\mathrm{e}}(\mathrm{X} \cup \mathrm{Y})^{\prime \mathrm{n}} \subseteq \underbrace{}_{\mathrm{e}} \delta^{\mathrm{e}}\left(\mathrm{X}^{\prime}\right)^{\mathrm{n}} \cap \delta_{\mathrm{e}}^{\mathrm{e}}\left(\mathrm{Y}^{\prime}\right)^{\mathrm{n}} \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let v belongs to $\delta^{\mathrm{e}}\left(\mathrm{X}^{\prime}\right)^{\mathrm{n}} \cap \delta^{\mathrm{e}}\left(\mathrm{Y}^{\prime}\right)^{\mathrm{n}}$ which implies that $v$ belongs to $\mathrm{X}^{\prime}$ and v belongs to $\mathrm{Y}^{\prime}$. Hence $\mathrm{v} \notin \mathrm{X}$ and v $\notin \mathrm{Y}$.
$\therefore \mathrm{v} \notin \mathrm{X} \cup \mathrm{Y} \therefore \mathrm{v} \in(\mathrm{X} \cup \mathrm{Y})^{\prime}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\therefore \delta^{\mathrm{e}}\left(\mathrm{X}^{\prime}\right)^{\mathrm{n}} \cap \delta^{\mathrm{e}}\left(\mathrm{Y}^{\prime}\right)^{\mathrm{n}} \subseteq \delta^{\mathrm{e}}(\mathrm{X} \cup \mathrm{Y})^{\prime \mathrm{n}} \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\mathrm{Eq}(33)$ is implied from $\mathrm{Eq}(34)$ and $\mathrm{Eq}(35)$.
Example 4.2. Consider the hypergraphs given in example 4.1. Considering L.H.S of eq (33) we get, $(X \cup Y)^{\prime n}=\left\{n_{1}, n_{2}, n_{3}, n_{4}, n_{5}, n_{6}, n_{7}, n_{8}, n_{9}\right\}$. Thus we get $\delta^{\mathrm{e}}(\mathrm{X} \cup \mathrm{Y})^{\prime \mathrm{n}}=\left\{\mathrm{e}_{1}, \mathrm{e}_{2}, \mathrm{e}_{3}, \mathrm{e}_{4}, \mathrm{e}_{5}, \mathrm{e}_{6}\right\}$. Considering R.H.S of eq(33), we get $\delta^{\mathrm{e}}\left(\mathrm{X}^{\prime}\right)^{\mathrm{n}}=\left\{\mathrm{e}_{1}, \mathrm{e}_{2}, \mathrm{e}_{3}, \mathrm{e}_{4}, \mathrm{e}_{5}, \mathrm{e}_{6}\right\}$ and $\delta^{\mathrm{e}}\left(\mathrm{Y}^{\prime}\right)^{\mathrm{n}}$ $=\left\{\mathrm{e}_{1}, \mathrm{e}_{2}, \mathrm{e}_{3}, \mathrm{e}_{4}, \mathrm{e}_{5}, \mathrm{e}_{6}\right\}$. Thus $\delta^{\mathrm{e}}\left(\mathrm{X}^{\prime}\right)^{\mathrm{n}} \cap \delta^{\mathrm{e}}\left(\mathrm{Y}^{\prime}\right)^{\mathrm{n}}=\left\{\mathrm{e}_{1}, \mathrm{e}_{2}, \mathrm{e}_{3}, \mathrm{e}_{4}\right.$, $\left.\mathrm{e}_{5}, \mathrm{e}_{6}\right\}$ as shown in Fig 6(c).

## 4.3: De Morgan's law applied to dilation with respect to hypernode considering intersection of subgraphs

Proposition 6. Let $X$ and $Y$ be the sub hypergraphs of $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{IF}}$ and $\delta$ be the dilation operator defined on hypergraph, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta^{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{X} \cap \mathrm{Y})^{\prime}=\delta^{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{X}^{\prime}\right)^{\mathrm{e}} \cup \delta^{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{Y}^{\prime}\right)^{\mathrm{e}} \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

provided there are edge(s) in $\mathrm{X} \cap \mathrm{Y}$
Proof: Let $(\mathrm{X} \cap \mathrm{Y})^{\prime}$ be the hypergraph with edges which are not present in $\mathrm{X} \cap \mathrm{Y}$. Let $(\mathrm{X} \cap \mathrm{Y})^{\prime}{ }^{\mathrm{e}}$ be the edges in that hypergraph. Let $\delta^{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{X} \cap \mathrm{Y})^{\mathrm{e}}$ be the set of all nodes in the Sub hypergraph $(\mathrm{X} \cap \mathrm{Y})^{\prime}$. Let v be a node in $\delta^{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{X}$ $\cap \mathrm{Y})^{\prime}$, then it is not a node of $\mathrm{X} \cap \mathrm{Y}$ ie $\mathrm{v} \notin \mathrm{X} \cap \mathrm{Y}$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta^{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{X} \cap \mathrm{Y})^{{ }^{\mathrm{e}}} \notin \mathrm{X} \cap \mathrm{Y} \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also $\delta^{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{X}^{\prime}\right)^{\mathrm{e}}$ is the set of nodes in $\mathrm{X}^{\prime}$ as in fig .2. Also $\delta^{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{Y}^{\prime}\right)^{\mathrm{e}}$ is the set of nodes in $\mathrm{Y}^{\prime}$. Let v belongs to
$\delta^{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{X}^{\prime}\right)^{\mathrm{e}} \cup \delta^{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{Y}^{\prime}\right)^{\mathrm{e}}$ which implies that v either belongs to any node in $\mathrm{X}^{\prime}$ or v belongs to any node as given in ie, $\mathrm{v} \notin \mathrm{X} \cap \mathrm{Y}$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta^{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{X}^{\prime}\right)^{\mathrm{e}} \cup \delta^{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{Y}^{\prime}\right)^{\mathrm{e}} \notin \mathrm{X} \cap \mathrm{Y} \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

$E q(36)$ is implied from eq(37) and eq(38).
Example 4.3. Consider the hypergraphs given in example 4.1. Considering L.H.S of eq (36), we get $(X \cap Y)^{\prime}=$ $\left\{\mathrm{e}_{1}, \mathrm{e}_{2}, \mathrm{e}_{3}, \mathrm{e}_{4}, \mathrm{e}_{5}\right\} . \delta^{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{X} \cap \mathrm{Y})^{\prime}=\left\{\mathrm{n}_{1}, \mathrm{n}_{2}, \mathrm{n}_{3}, \mathrm{n}_{4}, \mathrm{n}_{5}, \mathrm{n}_{6}, \mathrm{n}_{7}, \mathrm{n}_{8}\right.$, $\left.\mathrm{n}_{9}, \mathrm{n}_{10}, \mathrm{n}_{11}\right\}$. Now consider R.H.S , where we get $\delta^{n}\left(X^{\prime}\right)^{e}=\left\{n_{1}, n_{2}, n_{3}, n_{4}, n_{5}, n_{6}, n_{7}, n_{8}, n_{9}\right\} . \delta^{n}\left(Y^{\prime}\right)^{e}=\left\{n_{1}, n_{2}\right.$, $\left.n_{3,} n_{4}, n_{5}, n_{6}, n_{7}, n_{8}, n_{9}, n_{10}, n_{11}\right\}$. Now $\delta^{n}\left(X^{\prime}\right)^{e} \cup \delta^{n}\left(Y^{\prime}\right)^{e}=$ $\left\{n_{1}, n_{2}, n_{3}, n_{4}, n_{5}, n_{6}, n_{7}, n_{8}, n_{9}, n_{10}, n_{11}\right\}$ as shown in Fig 6(d).

## 4.4: De Morgan's law applied to dilation with respect to hyperedge considering intersection of sub graphs

Proposition 7. Let $X$ and $Y$ be the sub hypergraphs of $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{IF}}$ and $\delta$ be the dilation operator defined on hypergraph, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta^{\mathrm{e}}(\mathrm{X} \cap \mathrm{Y})^{\prime \mathrm{n}}=\delta^{\mathrm{e}}\left(\mathrm{X}^{\prime}\right)^{\mathrm{n}} \cup \delta^{\mathrm{e}}\left(\mathrm{Y}^{\prime}\right)^{\mathrm{n}} \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

provided there are edge(s) in $\mathrm{X} \cap \mathrm{Y}$.
Let $(\mathrm{X} \cap \mathrm{Y})^{\prime}$ be a hypergraph with nodes which are not present in $\mathrm{X} \cap \mathrm{Y}$. Let $(\mathrm{X} \cap \mathrm{Y})^{\prime \mathrm{n}}$ be the nodes in that hypergraph. Let $\delta^{\mathrm{e}}(\mathrm{X} \cap \mathrm{Y})^{\prime \mathrm{n}}$ be the set of all edges in the sub hypergraph $(\mathrm{X} \cap \mathrm{Y})^{\prime}$. Let e be an edge in $\delta^{\mathrm{e}}(\mathrm{X}$ $\cap \mathrm{Y})^{\prime n}$, it is not an edge of $\mathrm{X} \cap \mathrm{Y}$. ie, $\mathrm{e} \notin \mathrm{X} \cap \mathrm{Y}$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta^{\mathrm{e}}(\mathrm{X} \cap \mathrm{Y})^{\prime n} \notin \mathrm{X} \cap \mathrm{Y} \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also $\delta^{\mathrm{e}}\left(\mathrm{X}^{\prime}\right)^{\mathrm{n}}$ is the set of all edges in $\mathrm{X}^{\prime}$. Also $\delta^{\mathrm{e}}\left(\mathrm{Y}^{\prime}\right)^{\mathrm{n}}$ is the set of all edges in $\mathrm{Y}^{\prime}$.Let e belongs to $\delta^{\mathrm{e}}\left(\mathrm{X}^{\prime}\right)^{\mathrm{n}} \cup$ $\delta^{\mathrm{e}}\left(\mathrm{Y}^{\prime}\right)^{\mathrm{n}}$; which implies that e either belongs to $\mathrm{X}^{\prime}$ or $\mathrm{Y}^{\prime}$. ie, e $\notin \mathrm{X} \cap \mathrm{Y}$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta^{\mathrm{e}}\left(\mathrm{X}^{\prime}\right)^{\mathrm{n}} \cup \delta^{\mathrm{e}}\left(\mathrm{Y}^{\prime}\right)^{\mathrm{n}} \notin \mathrm{X} \cap \mathrm{Y} \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

$E q(39)$ is implied from eq(40) and eq(41).
Example 4.3. Consider the hypergraphs given in example 4.1. Considering L.H.S of eq(39) we get, $\delta^{\mathrm{e}}$ (X $\cap Y)^{\prime n}=\left\{\mathrm{e}_{1}, \mathrm{e}_{2}, \mathrm{e}_{3}, \mathrm{e}_{4}, \mathrm{e}_{5}, \mathrm{e}_{6}\right\}$. Consider R.H.S of eq(39) where we get $\delta^{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{X}^{\prime}\right)^{\mathrm{e}}=\left\{\mathrm{e}_{1}, \mathrm{e}_{2}, \mathrm{e}_{3}, \mathrm{e}_{4}, \mathrm{e}_{5}, \mathrm{e}_{6}\right\}$. Now $\delta^{\mathrm{e}}\left(\mathrm{Y}^{\prime}\right)^{\mathrm{n}}$ $=\left\{\mathrm{e}_{1}, \mathrm{e}_{2}, \mathrm{e}_{3}, \mathrm{e}_{4}, \mathrm{e}_{5}, \mathrm{e}_{6}\right\}$. Thus $\delta^{\mathrm{e}}\left(\mathrm{X}^{\prime}\right)^{\mathrm{n}} \cup \delta^{\mathrm{e}}\left(\mathrm{Y}^{\prime}\right)^{\mathrm{n}}=\left\{\mathrm{e}_{1}, \mathrm{e}_{2}, \mathrm{e}_{3}\right.$, $\left.\mathrm{e}_{4}, \mathrm{e}_{5}, \mathrm{e}_{6}\right\}$ as shown in Fig 6(e).

## V. CONCLUSION

The paper has proved algebraic operations applied to the morphological operation dilation applied to IFHG. The proofs are also substantiated with a sample hypergraph and sub hypergraphs considering their node and edge membership and non-membership degrees. This type of modeling finds applications in the area of computer networks, image processing and text processing. The algebra of morphological erosion is a future enhancement of this paper.
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