© 2018 IJSRSET | Volume 4 | Issue 10 | Print ISSN: 2395-1990 | Online ISSN : 2394-4099
Themed Section : Engineering and Technology

Industrial Revolution 4.0 : Step In Digital World

Prasad Khannade, Ajinkya Kawale, Prashant Raghuvanshi, Raunak Patle
BE Students, Department of Mechanical Engineering, G. H. Raisoni College of Engineering, Nagpur, Maharashtra, India

ABSTRACT

Presenting new innovation can be troublesome: overturning the norm is continually trying for organizations and their workers. For producers, however, the possibility of the keen plant without bounds is rapidly turning into a reality, and even those reluctant to grasp these headways are thinking that its difficult to disregard. As we advance consistently toward Industry 4.0, organizations should get ready to rethink how they approach materials taking care of. In spite of the fact that the Fourth Industrial Revolution is most ordinarily referenced these days, the past three shared the shared objective of cutting expenses while expanding proficiency. The presentation of mechanical processes (the linger and steam control) portrayed the primary Industrial Revolution, the usage of the sequential construction system presented the second, and we entered the third Industrial Revolution in the 1970s with the formation of robotized programming. This paper adopts a verifiable strategy to break down Industry 4.0 as the Fourth Industrial Revolution. In spite of the fact that Industry 4.0 technologies are more advancement than troublesome, their mix and the setting in which they create guarantee significant effects on economy and society, which would in certainty describe a revolution.

Keywords : Manufacturing Trends, Lean Manufacturing, Demand Flow Manufacturing, Just-in-Time, Agile Manufacturing, Rapid Manufacturing, Flexible Manufacturing System, Advanced Planning and Scheduling, Capability Analysis.

I. INTRODUCTION

In 2017, interconnected mechanized frameworks will be the characterizing innovation for the Fourth Industrial Revolution: making a ground-breaking new standard for the manufacturing industry where information can be shared crosswise over frameworks, yielding significant experiences that can be utilized to make a lean situation. The utilizations of a genuinely associated endeavour in manufacturing reach out to main concern results, for example, more prominent open doors for decreasing costs and improving tasks.

We are amidst a noteworthy move that is rethinking how our manufacturing processes and industry work. The measure of information gathered from associated, digital gadgets is developing exponentially, empowering more strong business experiences. As per a report from Deloitte, the best four different ways organizations are utilizing IoT frameworks are for ongoing examination (31%), client or potentially provider cooperation (28%), client/showcase understanding (26%), and quality control (25%). This data is as of now helping makers today increment adaptability, streamlining, and wellbeing, however the IoT idea additionally applies to computerized mechanical autonomy.

Today, automation never again suggests independent robots working freely of each other and their human partners; rather, we are seeing more vigorous, all-encompassing computerization arrangements, which use enormous information and the Internet of Things. By associating equipment and programming, and in addition different diverse seller arrangements, makers can keep up far reaching power over, and perceivability into, their whole activity.

Inside the materials dealing with industry, plant supervisors are utilizing the information gathered by their Industry 4.0 answers for distinguish change and make acclimations to enhance their current processes. By perceiving a steady issue by means of information, the supervisor can comprehend if there is a worldwide or neighborhood issue in a more far reaching path than outwardly evaluating issue work processes or processes.

The broad use of data innovation in all production network exercises will change the method for working together (Porter and Heppelmann, 2014). There is a conviction that those progressions mean the breaking of the current way and the start of another worldview of the industrial age. Some consider it the Fourth Industrial Revolution (Schwab, 2016); a few, the Third Industrial Revolution (Rifkin, 2014); a few, the Second Machine Age (Brynjolfsson and McAfee, 2014).

In 2011 Germany authored the articulation "Industry 4.0" for the digital change of manufacturing, an inference ex-bet to the Fourth Industrial Revolution (Lasi et al., 2014). The term has turned into a trendy expression talked about by researchers and practioners around the globe (Schwab, 2016; Porter and Hepplemann, 2014).

This new industrial worldview depends on individualized creation, flat coordination in shared systems and digital reconciliation of the inventory network (Brettel et al., 2014; Kagermann et al., 2013). In any case, the mechanical advances as such may not be the major troublesome change (Drath and Horch, 2014), yet their effect on item origination, generation and dissemination, and particularly in transit organizations make, convey and suitable esteem. The progressions in interinstitutional relations, in work association and, at last, in the public eye are likewise significant.

Regardless of the term notoriety, one can't locate an orderly methodology clarifying what makes Industry 4.0 a revolution. As said by Freeman and Soete (1997, p. 14), "what isn't comprehended may regularly be dreaded, or move toward becoming object of antagonistic vibe". Thus, this investigation intends to answer the inquiry: what makes Industry 4.0 a revolution? Through a verifiable Paper exhibited in EurOMA 2017 examination of the past industrial revolutions, this paper features three essential components that describe every one: specialized advances, monetary situation, and statistic condition.

II. PREVIOUS INDUSTRIAL REVOLUTIONS

This area abridges the idea of the three industrial revolutions considered by DFKI, showing the principle components of specialized changes, monetary situation and demography in every wonder.

A. First Industrial Revolution – 1784-1870

The First Industrial Revolution is a marvel described by the substitution of water/coal/steam power and machines for creature and human work toward the finish of the eighteenth century. These technologies expanded the generation intensity of manufacturing, making the industrial facility. This revolution started in Britain and brought about efficiency jump in a few parts, first in the material industry, later in different enterprises (Freeman and Soete, 1997). The principle specialized advances of this revolution are identified with the Watt steam motor, which was more productive than Newcomen's and brought about a few ensuing developments and applications (Brynjolfsson and McAfee, 2014). Notwithstanding being stronger than the water wheel, the steam motor gave more self-rule to manufacturing: the offices were less presented to natural components, for example, surges and dry spells, as its area could be a long way from streams (Freeman and Soete, 1997). This innovation opened the best approach to proceeding with progresses in effectiveness that in the end brought the steam engine inside reach of all parts of the economy and made of it an all-inclusive prime mover" (Landes, 2003, p. 102).

Machines were likewise an imperative advancement around then. They were connected first in material industry, where each specialized development represented another test to the entire framework, yielding a grouping of upgrades (Landes, 2003). These advancements spread in numerous businesses.

The monetary situation was proper. Two hundred long periods of relatively continuous development made Britain a prolific ground for an industrial revolution (Hobsbawm, 2016): the utilization of motorized apparatuses in farming raised the nourishment and fleece efficiency; the putting out framework made a country manufacturing net, which advanced products and money related course through the island; the extreme ultramarine exchange ensured access to outside business sectors; and coal accessibility gave vitality.

While cunning men created answers for efficiency issues, others with specialized aptitude, want and capacity to justify the generation procedure embraced and enhanced these arrangements. In the First Industrial Revolution, the innovative issues were basic and did not request significant logical learning, neither one of the largest measures of cash (Landes, 2003; Hobsbawm, 2016). Demography assumed a critical job in this revolution. In the eighteenth century, populace started to develop quickly (Roser and Ortiz-Ospina, 2017), and this had a two-sided effect on economy: first the quickly developing populace made interest, at that point, inside a few decades more populace increased work supply. One can expect that the bigger the workforce, the lower the wages, and the lesser the motivating forces to automation. Be that as it may, Paper exhibited in EurOMA 2017 as Landes (2003, p. 117) presents, "rare work appears to have empowered a developing of capital in eighteenth-century Britain; while a more plentiful supply encouraged augmenting in the next decades".

This revolution yielded radical changes in financial and social life. A few models are: rise of a lowly class, who has no other pay than the compensation; division of work and individual life; routine subordination to the manufacturing plant cadence, with clock unbending nature; development of urban focuses (Hobsbawm, 2016).

B. Second Industrial Revolution – 1870-1969

Toward the finish of the nineteenth century, another vitality source – power – occurred and, together with large scale manufacturing, portrayed the Second Industrial Revolution, this time driven by the United States (Freeman and Soete, 1997).

Indeed, the specialized advances in vitality had impacts in numerous enterprises: railways, steel and synthetic. In the meantime, the improvement of large scale manufacturing framework, with the utilization of compatible parts and sequential construction system, expanded yield. More specific and costly machines were utilized, however ventures were balanced by the economies of scale (Jensen, 1993).

The financial situation of this period had many good and bad times, not in light of some essential emergencies (e.g., "extraordinary wretchedness" in 1893 and the "crash" of 1930), yet additionally because of the two world wars. One can state that, by and large, rivalry rose, prompting fixation, and that capital was fundamental in this revolution. From the mid-nineteenth century, the dispersion of industrialization through Europe and United States escalated, and the quantity of processing plants expanded (Hobsbawm, 2016). The opposition to apply more profitable technologies prompted overcapacity; at that point, a focus development made extensive enterprises: first with the arrangement of trusts in the railroad, steel and oil businesses; later with the virtualization of the car industry (Hobsbawm, 2016; Frieden, 2008; Jensen, 1993). The size and nature of these ventures made the logical learning and speculation more fundamental, and numerous organizations made R&D divisions (Freeman and Soete, 1997).

On one hand, extensive amounts of similar items brought about a decrease in costs, permitting a substantially bigger number of individuals to get them. Then again, the generation procedure turned out to be extremely unbending, with the goal that any item variety was time consuming and expensive (Goldhar and Jelinek, 1983). There were changes in demography too. The development of industrial action, related with large scale manufacturing, has stimulated the requirement for untalented work, and a few nations offered preferences to draw in labourers from abroad (Frieden, 2008). The movement of work was free until World War I and in the post-war period (Hobsbawm, 2016). In the meantime, characteristic populace kept on developing quick, consistently expanding the interest. Toward the start of the twentieth century, the total populace was 1.65 billion; in the 1960s, it achieved three billion (Roser and Ortiz-Ospina, 2017). This revolution expanded the significance of organizations, and some vast enterprises have turned out to be more intense than their own particular governments. Wage developed altogether in the period, particularly after the Second World War, and sturdy purchaser products wound up available to an extensive piece of the populace, enhancing the expectations for everyday comforts (Frieden, 2008).

C. Third Industrial Revolution – 1969-today

The Third Industrial Revolution isn't described by an adjustment in vitality sources, yet by utilizing hardware and data innovation (IT) to mechanize manufacturing.

Once more, the United States drove this revolution, however Asia ascended as a critical player (Freeman and Soete, 1997). The specialized advances of this revolution (i.e., PCs, chips, web) came about because of enormous interests in R&D by governments and colleges, made first for wellbeing reasons, at that point produced for business purposes (Freeman and Soete, 2008). In manufacturing, hardware and IT robotized a progression of exercises that were beforehand executed physically, notwithstanding arranging and control. With the dispersion of these technologies, the term Advanced Manufacturing Technologies (AMT) rose in the 1980s, alluding to an arrangement of technologies as PC incorporated manufacturing (CIM), PC helped outline (CAD), PC supported manufacturing (CAM), adaptable manufacturing frameworks (FMS), among others (Gerwin and Tarondeau, 1982; Meredith, 1987; Lei et al., 1996). "The point was to bring more prominent adaptability, shorter creation cycles, more modified items, quicker reactions to changing business sector requests, better control and exactness of processes [...]" (Goldhar and Jelinek, 1983, p. 1). The financial situation was testing. With the oil emergency in the 1970s, the interest fell and expansion rose, organizations needed to wind up more effective to decrease expenses and increment deals. Numerous organizations and nations turned out to be intensely obligated (Frieden, 2008). New tasks systems ended up important to adapt to this reality. Driven by cost investment funds, many manufacturing exercises were moved from industrialized to immature nations – prominently in Asia – in the late twentieth century (Porter, 1994; Stentoft et al., 2016). On one hand, globalization heightened the IT application because of correspondence needs. Then again, as the work costs from these nations were low, there were couple of motivating forces to robotization. In spite of the fact that innovation costs were not restrictive, execution related challenges, for example, old establishments, absence of information, and authoritative requirements, expanded the bill.

Through information move and interests in instruction, numerous Asian nations were making up for lost time and changing the market. After some time, some created and moved toward becoming contenders. Demography changed a considerable measure amid this revolution. From 1970 to 2016, the total populace multiplied: 3.6 billion were included, of which 2.3 billion in Asia (Roser and Ortiz-Ospina, 2017). This clarifies the accessibility of work, and low wages. It additionally clarifies why some Asian nations – that made market-arranged changes – wound up critical toward the finish of the twentieth century in view of the work supply, as well as because of the developing interest.

The exclusive requirement produced by the solid repercussions that AMT had on the media and business writing, frequently encouraging more than was conceivable, brought about fast frustration (Leonard-Barton and Kraus, 1985). Freeman and Soete (2008) declare that the dissemination of processing related technologies was slower than anticipated because of variables, for example, deficiencies of talented experts, high programming costs and the requirement for high interests in new gear. There were progresses, at the same time, contrasted with desires, the outcomes were unassuming.

III. INDUSTRY 4.0, THE FOURTH INDUSTRIAL REVOLUTION

Although there are still some doubts about the results of AMT from the Third Industrial Revolution, a new set of technologies is emerging, promising all that has been promised before, and a little more. But will this really be a new revolution?

The main technology of Industry 4.0 is the Cyber-Physical System (CPS), which is defined as the combination of physical and cybernetic systems (Lee et al., 2015). The two systems act as if they were one: everything that happens in the physical impacts on the virtual and vice versa (Lee, 2010). It can be used in a wide range of sectors (Hellinger and Seeger, 2011).

In manufacturing, CPS enables the development of autonomous productive processes, which, based on double representation, become intelligent: through communication and decision algorithms, the components can decide on their configuration and their path in the line of production (Lee et al., 2015). With CPS, the intelligence is not centralized, but distributed in the process steps, which at the same time gives more stability and greater flexibility to the operations. One of the core approaches of Industry 4.0 is to develop modular and selfconfiguring plug-and-work systems to enable different product and process configurations. According to Schleipen et al. (2015, p. 803), "a basic aspect is the identification of control relevant entities within production systems which can be plugged in/connected to the production system and start operation without change of the control applications in the rest of the production system". The physical process connected with the virtual through the internet and with distributed embedded intelligence has flexibility and autonomy and can respond quickly to the demands and market restrictions. It means that small batch production at low costs gives the possibility to match demands without scale (Brettel et al., 2014).

Cyber-Physical Systems derive from some important technical advances on the internet, embedded systems, computer science and artificial intelligence. "Evermore miniaturized integrated circuits, the exponential growth of processing power and bandwidth in networks, as well as increasingly efficient search engines on the internet are just a few examples." (Hellinger and Seeger, 2011, p. 15). The combination of these technologies may be disruptive. Industry 4.0 implementation requires investments, not just at corporate, but also at government level.

Despite the cost reduction of IT and electronics, other costs, related to equipment substitution, infrastructure, and education will be part of the total invested (Kagermann et al., 2013). The trade-offs between investments and gains will depend in part on the economic scenario. D'Aveni (1994) poses that the race to innovate has generated a dynamic market with hypercompetition. It means that competitive advantage became temporary and companies must continually adapt (D'Aveni et al., 2010). At the same time, collaboration is becoming more important to innovate (Chesbrough, 2006) and to operate; the design, development, production and delivery of a product or service are being carried out by several organizations, simultaneously and interactively. Porter and Heppelmann (2015) argue that the value chain undergoes a process of intense change, to the point that organizations must question everything they do and in what business they work. "Servitization" of manufacturing, new business models (Kagermann et al., 2013) and deverticalization (Langlois, 2003) are some trends. Some authors pose that, as the world is becoming digital, the industry competitive landscape changes (Jacobides, 2005; Evans and Wurster, 1997; Hagel and Singer, 1999). Rather than an individual firm, a great number of actors interact to add value to products and services, and the big verticalized corporation of the 20th century is vanishing (Langlois, 2003; Anderson, 2012).

More sophisticated demand has challenged companies to diversify their offer (McKinsey Global Institute, 2012; Schleipen et al., 2015). Anderson (2006) coined the term "long tail" to explain the phenomenon in which small niches or even individual consumers can be served because the marginal cost of increasing the scope is negligible. Again, demography comes to the scene, but this time with a different role: population is still growing, but, in industrial countries, the working age population (WAP) has been declining since 2011 (Frei and Osborne, 2016). Even in China, the WAP peaked in 2014. It means shortage of labor and rising wages. The difference in labor costs between emerging and developed countries has declined in recent years (Zhai et al., 2016). In addition, there are social security costs and possible impacts on GDP. In this context, initiatives related to total automation of production processes are intensified. Aging is a context element that can accelerate the pace of Industry 4.0 (Kagermann et al. 2013). Germany takes the Industry 4.0 as strategic for the development of its economy in the coming decades (Kagermann et al., 2013; Hermann, 2015). Other nations in Europe and Asia have also been demonstrating strong commitment to research and applications related to the theme (European Commission, 2013; Zhang et al., 2014; Kagermann et al., 2013).

As the Fourth Revolution is being defined before it happens, all results or effects are forecasts, assumptions or projections. Benefits, like better use of resources and better and cheaper products, as well as harms, like unemployment and income inequalities, are widely debated. But more than that, some authors (Brynjolfsson and McAfee, 2014; Schwab, 2016) also talk about changes that are not good or bad per se, novelties that cannot coexist with the current order, and profound transformations in economy and society that are very difficult to predict.

Industry 4.0 has just begun. Some technologies are being used and tested, but there are still significant developments to be made. They are related, but not limited to interoperability standards, cyber security and network reliability (Kagermann et al., 2013). Some challenges are also posed to institutional environment, like education and regulation systems. One believes that it takes two or three decades to reach all the benefits – and maybe the harms – of this new revolution.

IV. CONCLUSION

This paper portrays how specialized advances, financial situation and demography molded three past revolutions and may influence the fourth. The efficient methodology adds to upgrade the comprehension of Industry 4.0 as another revolution. It is essential on the grounds that there is some doubt about this issue, and with question the endeavors to grasp the change and improve it for everybody won't get the job done. Industry 4.0 requires coordinated effort, and will simply turn into a reality when organizations accept and contribute. Here, only a piece of the comprehensive view was condensed. Consequently, learns about different technologies of this worldview, sectorial investigation, provincial methodologies, for instance, would be extremely informative.

V. REFERENCES

[1] Anderson, C. (2006). The long tail: Why the future of business is selling less of more. Hachette Books.

[2] Brettel, M., Friederichsen, N., Keller, M., & Rosenberg, M. (2014). How virtualization, decentralization and network building change the manufacturing landscape: An industry 4.0 perspective. International Journal of Mechanical, Industrial Science and Engineering, 8(1), 37-44.

[3] Brynjolfsson, E., & McAfee, A. (2014). The second machine age: Work, progress, and prosperity in a time of brilliant technologies. WW Norton & Company.

[4] Cagliano, R., & Spina, G. (2000). Advanced manufacturing technologies and strategically flexible production. Journal of operations Management, 18(2), 169-190.

[5] Chesbrough, H. W. (2006). Open innovation: The new imperative for creating and profiting from technology. Harvard Business Press.

[6] D'Aveni, R.A. (1994). Hypercompetition: Managing the Dynamics of Strategic Maneuvering. New York, NY: The Free Press.

[7] D'Aveni, R. A., Dagnino, G. B., & Smith, K. G. (2010). The age of temporary advantage. Strategic Management Journal, 31(13), 1371-1385.

[8] Drath, R., & Horch, A. (2014). Industrie 4.0: Hit or hype? [industry forum]. IEEE industrial electronics magazine, 8(2), 56-58.

[9] Evans, P. B., & Wurster, T. S. (1997). Strategy and the new economics of information. Harvard business review, 75(5), 70-82.

[10] European Commission (2013). Factories of the Future: Multi-annual roadmap for the contractual PPP under Horizon 2020, Publications Office of the European Union Luxembourg, 128 pp.

[11] Freeman, C., & Soete, L. (1997). The economics of industrial innovation. Psychology Press.

[12] Freeman, C., & Soete, L. (2008). A Economia da Inovação Industrial. Psychology Press.

[13] Frey, C. B., & Osborne, M. A. (2016). Technology at Work v2.0: The Future Is Not What It Used to Be. City GPS: Global Perspective & Solutions.

[14] Frieden, J. A. (2008). Capitalismo global: história econômica e política do século XX. Zahar.

[15] Gerwin, D., & Tarondeau, J. C. (1982). Case studies of computer integrated manufacturing systems: A view of uncertainty and innovation processes. Journal of Operations Management, 2(2), 87-99.

[16] Goldhar, J. D., & Jelinek, M. (1983). Plan for economies of scope. Harvard Business Review, 61(6), 141- 148.

[17] Hagel 3rd, J., & Singer, M. (1999). Unbundling the corporation. Harvard business review, 77(2), 133-41.

[18] Hellinger, A., & Seeger, H. (2011). Cyber-Physical Systems. Driving force for innovation in mobility, health, energy and production. Acatech Position Paper, National Academy of Science and Engineering.

[19] Hermann, M., Pentek, T., & Otto, B. (2016). Design Principles for Industrie 4.0 Scenarios. In 2016 49th

[20] Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences (HICSS) (pp. 3928-3937). IEEE.

[21] Hobsbawm, E. (2016). Da Revolução Industrial inglesa ao imperialismo. 6ª. Ed. Rio de Janeiro: Forense Universitária.

[22] Jacobides, M. G. (2005). Industry change through vertical disintegration: How and why markets emerged in mortgage banking. Academy of Management Journal, 48(3), 465-498.

[23] Jensen, M. C. (1993). The modern industrial revolution, exit, and the failure of internal control systems. The Journal of Finance, 48(3), 831-880.

[24] Jovanovic, B., & Rousseau, P. L. (2005). General purpose technologies. Handbook of economic growth, 1, 1181-1224.

[25] Kagermann, H., Wahlster W., Helbig, J. (2013). Recommendations for implementing the strategic initiative Industrie 4.0: Final report of the Industrie 4.0 Working Group.

[26] Kugley, S., Wade, A., Thomas, J., Mahood, Q., Jørgensen, A. M. K., Hammerstrøm, K., & Sathe, N.

[27] (2016). Searching for Studies: A Guide to Information Retrieval for Campbell. Campbell Systematic Reviews.

[28] Landes, D. S. (2003). The unbound Prometheus: technological change and industrial development in Western Europe from 1750 to the present. Cambridge University Press.

[29] Langlois, R. N. (2003). The vanishing hand: the changing dynamics of industrial capitalism. Industrial and Corporate Change, 12(2), 351–385.

[30] Lasi, H., Fettke, P., Kemper, H. G., Feld, T., & Hoffmann, M. (2014). Industry 4.0. Business & Information Systems Engineering, 6(4), 239.

[31] Lee, E. A. (2010). CPS foundations. In Proceedings of the 47th Design Automation Conference, June pp. 737-742. ACM.

[32] Lee, J., Bagheri, B., & Kao, H. A. (2015). A cyber-physical systems architecture for industry 4.0-based manufacturing systems. Manufacturing Letters, 3, 18-23.

[33] Lei, D., Hitt, M. A., & Goldhar, J. D. (1996). Advanced manufacturing technology: organizational design and strategic flexibility. Organization Studies, 17(3), 501-523.

[34] Leonard-Barton, D., & Kraus, W. A. (1985). Implementing new technology. Harvard Business Review, 63(6).

[35] McKinsey Global Institute (2012). Manufacturing the future: The next era of global growth and innovation, November.

[36] Meredith, J. (1987). The strategic advantages of new manufacturing technologies for small firms. Strategic Management Journal, 8(3), 249-258.

[37] Porter, M. E. (1994). The role of location in competition. Journal of the Economics of Business, 1(1), 35-40.

[38] Porter, M. E., Heppelmann, J. E. (2014). How smart, connected products are transforming competition. Harvard Business Review, 92(11), 64-88.

[39] Rosenberg, N. (1982). Inside the black box: technology and economics. Cambridge University Press.

[40] Roser, M., Ortiz-Ospina, E.(2017). World Population Growth. Published online at OurWorldInData.org.

[41] Scheer, A. W. (2015). Industry 4.0: From Vision to Implementation. Whitepaper, [Online], (9).

[42] Schleipen, M., Lüder, A., Sauer, O., Flatt, H., & Jasperneite, J. (2015). Requirements and concept for Plug-and-Work. at-Automatisierungstechnik, 63(10), 801-820.

[43] Schwab, K. (2016). The Fourth Industrial Revolution. In Word Economic Forum.

[44] Stentoft, J., Olhager, J., Heikkilä, J., & Thoms, L. (2016). Manufacturing backshoring: a systematic literature review. Operations Management Research, 1-9.

[45] Swink, M., & Nair, A. (2007). Capturing the competitive advantages of AMT: Design–

manufacturing integration as a complementary asset. Journal of Operations Management, 25(3), 736-754.

[46] Zhai, W., Sun, S., & Zhang, G. (2016). Reshoring of American manufacturing companies from China. Operations Management Research, 9(3-4), 62-74.

[47] Zhang, Z.; Liu, S.; Tang, M.(2014) Industry 4.0: Challenges and Opportunities for Chinese Manufacturing Industry. Technical Gazette 21, 6, III-IV.