

Magnetic Abrasive Flow Machining For Super Surface Finishing

Prof. S. A. Bobde, Purushottam Kolhe, Rushikesh Tapre, Niloy Mukherjee, Subodh Thombre, Virendra Kubade,
Abhishek Harode, Shubham Kadu

Department of Mechanical Engineering, RTM Nagpur University, Nagpur, Maharashtra, India

ABSTRACT

Now a days, need of compact and efficient design of any product with good strength has increased. This requires hard materials like carbide and ceramics for achieving better service life. Conventional machining processes when applied to these newer materials are uneconomical, produce poor degree of surface finish and accuracy, and produce some stresses, highly insufficient. It is impossible to achieve good and desired surface finish in compact, complex, intricate shapes, profiles, curved splines etc., where conventional machine tool faces difficulties during machining and consumes much time. It experiences same problem with micro/ nano finishing like small size diameters used in fuel injectors etc. Abrasive flow machining (AFM) is relatively new process among non-conventional machining processes. Low material removal rate happens to be one serious limitation of almost all processes. Magneto abrasive flow machining is a new development in AFM. With the use of uniform magnetic field around the work piece in abrasive flow machining, we can increase the material removal rate as well as the surface finish.

Keywords: Abrasive Slurry, Magnetic Abrasive Flow Machining (MAFM), Material Removal Rate (MRR)

I. INTRODUCTION

Magneto abrasive flow machining (MAFM) is a new technique in machining. These processes can be classified as hybrid machining processes (HMP) – a recent concept in the advancement of non conventional machining. The reasons for developing a hybrid machining process is to make use of combined or mutually enhanced advantages and to avoid or reduce some of the adverse effects the constituent processes produce when they are individually applied. The present paper reports the preliminary results of an on-going research project being conducted with the aim of exploring techniques for improving material removal rate (MRR) in AFM. One such technique uses a magnetic field around the work piece during machining. Magnetic fields introduce such a machining force towards machining in magnetic abrasive finishing (MAF), used for micro machining

and finishing of components, particularly of circular profile.

Magneto Abrasive flow machining (MAFM) is one of the latest non-conventional machining processes, which possesses excellent capabilities for finish-machining of inaccessible regions of a component. It has been successfully employed for deburring, radiusing, and removing recast layers of precision components. High levels of surface finish and sufficiently close tolerances have been achieved for a wide range of components. In MAFM, a semi-solid medium consisting of a polymer-based carrier and abrasives with ferromagnetic particles in a typical proportion is extruded under pressure through or across the surfaces to be machined. The abrasion takes place between surfaces and abrasive results in material removal in form of small chip particles which mix with slurry and work as a tool. A special fixture is

generally required to create restrictive passage or to direct the medium to the desired locations in the work piece. This report discusses the possible improvement in surface roughness and material removal rate by applying a magnetic field around the work piece in AFM. Relationships are developed between the material removal rate and the percentage improvement in surface roughness of steel components when finish-machined by this process.

II. LITERATURE SURVEY

1. Abrasive flow machining: An area seeking for improvement. – Rajendra Baraiya, Vivek Jain, Dheeraj Gupta

Experimental investigations have been carried out by various investigators to investigate the effects of process parameters like extrusion pressure, number of cycles, viscosity, abrasive concentration and grain size on the output responses namely, surface finish and material removal during AFM. Rhoades studied the basic principle of AFM and reported that the depth of cut primarily depends upon abrasive grain size, relative hardness and sharpness and extrusion pressure.

2. MATERIAL REMOVAL MECHANISMS IN ABRASIVE FLOW MACHINING -Szulczynski, Hubert; Uhlmann, Eckart. Institute for Machine Tools and Factory Management, Technical University, Berlin

Normally, the composition of the grinding medium includes the base at 100 weight percent. Specifications concerning abrasive grains are given in weight percentage of the base [3]. 30 % to 80 % of abrasives with rougher grains and 10 % to 30 % of abrasives with finer grains are added to the base.

III. EXPERIMENTAL SETUP

An experimental set-up is designed and fabricated as shown in fig.1. The machine has two actuators. These actuators can hydraulic or pneumatic operated with the use of synchronize circuit. Circuit contains

direction control valve which is push button operated, controls the flow of pressurized fluid and result into desired motion of cylinder piston. Tooling is the vacant chamber is used to store abrasive slurry, and it is made up from nylon material as it is not affected by abrasive particles. This abrasive slurry flows from lower tooling to upper tooling and then vice versa, thus creating a cycle of machining. Fixture is designed in such a way that it is inbuilt in tooling and helps to hold the work piece in right position. Electromagnet is used to provide uniform magnetic field around the specimen by using DC supply and it also facilitates cut off of magnetic flux after machining is carried out.. Electromagnet contains number of poles which are well fitted around the specimen that keeps minimum distance from the centre of flow. Machine structure contains base stand, struts and steel plates that holds whole machine in position.




Figure 1

A. Specimen

The work specimen used in this test is a dog clutch contains splines (tooth) on internal surface. Dog clutch is made from alloy steel having specified dimension.

Dimensions: - D_o – 75mm, D_i (mean) – 53.5 mm, L - 26mm.




Figure 2

B. Electromagnet

The electromagnet was design and assembled for its location around the work piece. The electromagnet is created by manufacturing core. Core is made from number of silicon steel strip placing one on one called as stamping. Core is design in such a way that it creates number of poles around the work-piece. It consists of 24 poles that are surrounded by coils which contain 108 numbers of turns. Gauge of wire in electromagnetic coil is 28. It is positioned in such a way that it can provide the maximum magnetic field near the entire internal surface of the work piece.

C. Flow Media

Flow media is in state of semi solid fluid. It is mixture abrasive such as aluminium oxide and silicon carbide followed by binding agent like oil, hydrocarbon gel, Silicon based polymer etc., which conforms the fluidity of abrasive slurry. This mixture contain 43 % (by weight) of ferromagnetic material, 42% Al₂O₃ and 15% SiO₂. In ferromagnetic materials we can use iron powder, granules of Fe etc.

IV. PROCESS PARAMETERS

INDEPENDENT PARAMETERS

Table 1

| Sr. No. | Process Parameters | Range | Unit |
|---------|--------------------------------|---------|-------------|
| 1 | Extrusion Pressure | 20 - 30 | Bar |
| 2 | Abrasive carrier concentration | 70-30 | % by weight |
| 3 | Viscosity of Media | 490 | Pa-s |

| | | | |
|---|-----------------|-----|----------------------|
| 4 | Media flow Rate | 618 | cm ³ /min |
|---|-----------------|-----|----------------------|

CONSTANT PARAMETERS

Table 2

| Sr. No. | Process Parameters | Range | Unit |
|---------|------------------------------------|-------|--------|
| 1 | Abrasive Particle size (mesh size) | 60-65 | Micron |
| 2 | Processing Time | 5 | Min |
| 3 | Temperature of Media | 35±2 | °C |
| 4 | Initial surface roughness | 3.04 | μ mm |

PROCESS RESPONSE

Table 3

| Sr. No. | Process Parameters | Range | Unit |
|---------|------------------------------|-------|---------------|
| 1 | Surface Finish (improvement) | 72.72 | % |
| 2 | Material Removal | 0.2 | gm(per cycle) |

V. WORKING PRINCIPLE

The abrasive slurry which is in semi solid fluid form flows through work piece. This abrasive particle is force to flow by piston cylinder by applying the pressure. Due to this, velocity of abrasive particle increases by converting potential energy into kinetic energy. When the magnetic field is applied around work piece the ferromagnetic material present in abrasive slurry get struck along with abrasive to the work-piece. Due to pressure of piston it get rubbed on surface of work-piece which results in micro-chipping, causes surface finishing. This process is

carried until desire surface is required. Hence by application of magnetic field the abrasive slurry sticks to the surface and increases MRR in short span of time. After desire result power supply is switched off, magnetic field is vanished hence abrasive left the surface. In this way surface finishing is done.




Figure 3

VI. COMPARISONS

Table 4

| Parameters | AFM | MAFM |
|---|--------------------------|----------------------|
| Machining Time (per work piece) | 5 min (for 30 Cycle) | 3 min (for 30 cycle) |
| Setup Time | 2-3 min | 2-3 min |
| Surface finish (Ra value in μm) | 0.05-1.0 | 3.0-5.0 |
| Material removal Rate | 0.008-0.010 mm | 0.020-0.030 mm |
| Productivity | Lower as compare to MAFM | Higher |
| Accuracy | Higher | Higher |

VII. ADVANTAGES

- MAFM increases material removal rate and surface finish as compared to AFM.
- Its effective on all materials such as hard and high-strength materials like ceramics and carbide.
- It produce high degree of surface finish and accuracy and highly efficient over conventional process.

- Higher MRR as compare to AFM.
- Machining time reduce as compare to AFM and other conventional machining process.

VIII. LIMITATIONS

- Abrasive material tends to get embedded if the work material is ductile.
- Heat generated by electromagnet may change the property of flow media.
- Initial cost of machine is more as compare to AFM.

IX. APPLICATIONS

- MAFM in Automotive industry
 - Enhanced uniformity and surface quality of finished components.
 - Increased engine performance.
 - Increased flow velocity and volume.
 - Improved fuel economy and reduced emissions.
 - Extended work piece life by reducing wear and stress surfaces.
- MAFM in Aerospace industry
 - Improved surface quality.
 - Enhanced high cycle fatigue strength.
 - Optimized combustion and hydraulics.
 - Increased airflow.
 - Extended component life.
- MAFM in Medicine industry
 - Eliminate the surface imperfections where dangerous contaminates can reside.
 - Improved functionality, durability and reliability of medical components.
 - Enhanced uniformity and cleanliness of surfaces.
 - Extended component life.
- MAFM in Dies and Moulds industry
 - Reduced production costs.
 - Increased production throughput.

- 3) Enhanced surface uniformity, finish and cleanliness.
- 4) Improved die performance and extend life of dies and mould.

X. CONCLUSION

- A. The magnetic field has been developed around the work piece and removes the material by friction of flow media on the workpiece.
- B. This can help to reduce the manufacturing operation per cycle compared to AFM. This is a continuous process as the combination of magnetic field and flow media interacted towards the work piece and removal of material takes place.
- C. The media flow is in semi viscous form, they do not have any effect on work piece as it passes through continuous motion of flow.

XI. REFERENCES

- [1]. P. D. Kamble, S. P. Untawale, and S. B. Sahare - Use of Magneto Abrasive Flow Machining to Increase MRR and Surface Finish, VSRD-MAP, Vol. 2 (7), 2012, 249-262.
- [2]. Ramandeep Singh and R.S. Walia - Hybrid Magnetic Force Assisted Abrasive Flow Machining Process Study for Optimal Material Removal, International Journal of Applied Engineering Research, ISSN 0973-4562 Vol.7 No.11 (2012).
- [3]. V.K. Jain - Magnetic field assisted abrasive based micro-/ nano-finishing, Journal of Materials Processing Technology 209 (2009), 6022-6038.
- [4]. Sunil Jha and V. K. Jain - Nano-Finishing Techniques, in Department of Mechanical Engineering at Indian Institute of Technology Kanpur - 208016, India.
- [5]. Sandeep Chouhan¹, Sushil Mittal - International Journal of Research in Aeronautical and Mechanical Engineering, International Journal of