

# Analysis on the Effects of Cutting Parameters on Surface Roughness of Workpiece in Surface Grinding

Nguyen Hong Son<sup>1</sup>, Do Duc Trung<sup>2\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Center for Mechanical Engineering, Hanoi University of Industry, Bac Tu Liem District, Hanoi, Vietnam <sup>2</sup>Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, Hanoi University of Industry, Bac Tu Liem District, Hanoi, Vietnam

## ABSTRACT

In this paper, the analysis on the effects of cutting parameters on surface roughness of workpieces in surface grinding has been conducted. Experimental SUJ2 steel grinding process is made with CBN grinding wheel. The tests is made on an APSG-820/2A surface grinder. The Box- Behnken method has been used to design experiments. Minitab 16 statistical software has been used to analyze ANOVA test results. The results show that the feed-rate has the greatest effect on surface roughness, followed by the least effects of velocity of workpiece, depth of cut on surface roughness. The interaction between velocity of workpiece and depth of cut has the greatest effect on surface roughness, followed by the interaction between the feed-rate and depth of cut, the interaction between velocity of workpiece and the feed-rate has insignificant effects on surface roughness. This study also shows the value range of some cutting parameters for processing surface of workpiece with small roughness. Finally, a regression model of surface roughness has been established in this study.

Keywords : Surface roughness, surface grinding, SUJ2 steel, CBN grinding wheel, ANOVA analysis.

#### I. INTRODUCTION

Studying the process of grinding to process surface of workpieces with small surface roughness has been carried out by many studies. In particular, the study of the effects of cutting parameters on the surface roughness of workpiece has been carried out with a large number of published works. The following table presents a summary of some contents that has been done in previous studies.

| Discussions                                                                                                         | Grinding wheel                 | Workpiece<br>material   | Grinding<br>method     | Ref.                     |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|
| The feed rate and depth of cut have significant                                                                     | Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> | OUNC                    | cylindrical            | Deshmukh                 |
| effects on surface roughness values.                                                                                | wheel                          | OHNS                    | grinding               | et al. (2016)            |
| The depth of cut followed by flow rate and nozzle<br>angle was most influencing parameters on surface<br>roughness. | A60 M6<br>VCNM                 | SAE 8620<br>grade steel | cylindrial<br>grinding | Hemant et<br>al. (2014)  |
| All of input parameters have a significant effect on surface roughness.                                             | 22A60L6V63L                    | 9SMn28                  | centerless<br>grinding | Krajnik et al.<br>(2005) |

TABLE I. SUMMARY OF SOME PUBLISHED STUDIES

| The depth of cut has a greater effect on the surface<br>roughness and feed has a medium effect while<br>dressing depth of cut has minimal effect on surface<br>roughness.                                                                                                                                                                                     | A60V5V                                                       | AISI 1080                                | surface<br>grinding                              | Periyasamy<br>et al. (2014)            |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|
| - The depth cut was influenced the out range of<br>surface roughness. When depth of cut is minimum<br>the value of surface roughness is also minimum.                                                                                                                                                                                                         | A60V5V                                                       | AISI 4140<br>Steel                       | cylindrical<br>grinding                          | Radha<br>Krishnan et<br>al. (2018)     |
| All of input parameters have a significant effect on surface roughness                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | SiC grain                                                    | AISI 4140<br>Steel                       | grinding and<br>polishing<br>process             | Tao Zhao et<br>al. (2014)              |
| All of input parameters have a significant effect on surface roughness.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       | green silicon<br>carbide with<br>grit size of<br>120 microns | D2 steel                                 | work roll<br>grinding                            | Mohanasun<br>dararaju et<br>al. (2008) |
| - The feed rate and depth of cut had significant<br>effects on surface roughness during the micro-<br>grinding process.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       | CBN grinding<br>wheel with<br>grain size of<br>270           | SK-41C<br>tool steel                     | micro-grinding<br>process with<br>compressed air | Lee et al.<br>(2011)                   |
| All of input parameters have a significant effect on surface roughness.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       | A460L5V20                                                    | SS430<br>Material                        | cylindrical<br>grinding                          | Saravanaku<br>mar et al.<br>(2016)     |
| All of input parameters have a significant effect on surface roughness.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       | Cn80.TB1.G.V1.5<br>00.150.305x35m<br>/s                      | 20X-<br>carbon<br>infiltration<br>steel  | plunge<br>centerless<br>grinding                 | Khoi et al.<br>(2014)                  |
| <ul> <li>The surface roughness increases with an increase<br/>in feed and depth of cut. When the feed and depth<br/>of cut are increased, the increase in material<br/>removal rate and the increase in chip thickness<br/>account for the increase of surface roughness</li> <li>Surface roughness decreases with an increase in<br/>wheel speed.</li> </ul> | metallic<br>bonded<br>diamond<br>grinding wheel              | OFSiC<br>advanced<br>ceramic<br>material | surface<br>grinding                              | Binu<br>Thomas et<br>al. (2014)        |
| All of input parameters have a significant effect on surface roughness                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | CBN wheel                                                    | AISI 1045<br>steel                       | cylindrical<br>grinding                          | Mamun et<br>al. (2012)                 |
| All of input parameters have a significant effect on surface roughness                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | CBN wheel                                                    | En15AM<br>steel                          | centerless<br>grinding                           | Durairaj et<br>al. (2017)              |
| Traverse speed and the depth of cut are significant factors that affect the surface roughness.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                | CBN wheel                                                    | Inconel<br>718<br>material               | surface<br>grinding                              | Nurul<br>Afizan et al.<br>(2017)       |

# In this paper, carrying out the experimental study and analysis of the effects of cutting parameters on surface roughness when grinding SUJ2 steel with CBN wheel.

### II. EXPERIMENTAL STUDY

#### A. Grinder, grinding wheel and materials

The test grinder used in this study is an APSG-820/2A surface grinder (Taiwan). CBN grinding wheel, HY-180x13x31.75-100 # (Korea) have been used for the testing process. The components used in this study is SUJ2 steel with the length x width x height of 50 mm x 50 mm x 10 mm respectively, and the component is heat-treated to reach the hardness of 62HRC.

#### B. Measurement instrument

The SJ201 roughness tester (Mitutoyo - Japan) is used to measure the roughness with a standard length of 0.8mm. At each workpiece, the surface roughness is measured at least 3 times, the roughness value at each experiment is the average value of successive measurements.

### C. Grinding conditions and experiments

The experiments are conducted with cutting speed of 26 (m/s), dressing depth of cut of 0.01 (mm), dressing feed-rate of 150 (mm/min), emulsion 10% oil used for cooling, method of overflow irrigation, with a flow of 4.6 (liters/min). The test matrix is built with 3 input parameters of cutting parameters including velocity of workpiece, feed-rate and depth of cut. Each parameter received 3 levels of value during the experiments (Table II). The testing matrix is presented in Table III. Testing results are also included in Table III.

#### TABLE II. FACTORS AND THEIR LEVELS

| Fester                              | Level | Level | Level |
|-------------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|
| Factor                              | 1     | 2     | 3     |
| v: Velocity of workpiece<br>(m/min) | 5     | 10    | 15    |
| f: Feed-rate (mm/stroke)            | 3     | 4     | 5     |
| t: Depth of cut (mm)                | 0.01  | 0.015 | 0.02  |

| Run | v       | f           | t     | Ra   | *   | <i>t</i> *t | <b>**</b> + | *£  | *+    | £*£   |
|-----|---------|-------------|-------|------|-----|-------------|-------------|-----|-------|-------|
|     | (m/min) | (mm/stroke) | (mm)  | (µm) | vv  | 11          | ιι          | V I | νι    | ιι    |
| 1   | 5       | 3           | 0.015 | 1.12 | 25  | 9           | 0.000225    | 15  | 0.075 | 0.045 |
| 2   | 15      | 3           | 0.015 | 1.42 | 225 | 9           | 0.000225    | 45  | 0.225 | 0.045 |
| 3   | 5       | 5           | 0.015 | 1.16 | 25  | 25          | 0.000225    | 25  | 0.075 | 0.075 |
| 4   | 15      | 5           | 0.015 | 1.55 | 225 | 25          | 0.000225    | 75  | 0.225 | 0.075 |
| 5   | 5       | 4           | 0.010 | 1.34 | 25  | 16          | 0.000100    | 20  | 0.05  | 0.04  |
| 6   | 15      | 4           | 0.010 | 1.16 | 225 | 16          | 0.000100    | 60  | 0.15  | 0.04  |
| 7   | 5       | 4           | 0.020 | 1.11 | 25  | 16          | 0.000400    | 20  | 0.1   | 0.08  |
| 8   | 15      | 4           | 0.020 | 1.16 | 225 | 16          | 0.000400    | 60  | 0.3   | 0.08  |
| 9   | 10      | 3           | 0.010 | 1.14 | 100 | 9           | 0.000100    | 30  | 0.1   | 0.03  |
| 10  | 10      | 5           | 0.010 | 1.20 | 100 | 25          | 0.000100    | 50  | 0.1   | 0.05  |
| 11  | 10      | 3           | 0.020 | 1.13 | 100 | 9           | 0.000400    | 30  | 0.2   | 0.06  |
| 12  | 10      | 5           | 0.020 | 1.37 | 100 | 25          | 0.000400    | 50  | 0.2   | 0.1   |
| 13  | 10      | 4           | 0.015 | 0.92 | 100 | 16          | 0.000225    | 40  | 0.15  | 0.06  |
| 14  | 10      | 4           | 0.015 | 0.98 | 100 | 16          | 0.000225    | 40  | 0.15  | 0.06  |
| 15  | 10      | 4           | 0.015 | 1.02 | 100 | 16          | 0.000225    | 40  | 0.15  | 0.06  |

#### TABLE III. DESIGN MATRIX WITH RESPONSES

#### D. Result analysis

The Minitab 16 statistical software is used to analyze the testing results in Table III. The results are presented in Tables IV, V and Figures 1 to 5.

| TABLE IV. REGRESSION ANALYSIS SURFACE |
|---------------------------------------|
| ROUGHNESS                             |

| Term             | Coef    | SE      | Т      | Р     |
|------------------|---------|---------|--------|-------|
| Constant         | 5.69    | 1.90    | 2.997  | 0.030 |
| v (m/min)        | -0.17   | 0.10    | -1.727 | 0.145 |
| f<br>(mm/stroke) | -1.54   | 0.68    | -2.255 | 0.074 |
| t (mm)           | -130.59 | 115.45  | -1.131 | 0.309 |
| v*v              | 0.01    | 0.00    | 2.079  | 0.092 |
| f*f              | 0.18    | 0.08    | 2.280  | 0.072 |
| t*t              | 2365.12 | 3115.59 | 0.759  | 0.482 |
| v*f              | 0.00    | 0.01    | 0.311  | 0.768 |
| f*t              | 2.35    | 2.99    | 0.784  | 0.468 |
| f*t              | 8.58    | 14.97   | 0.537  | 0.591 |

TABLE V. ANOVA OF SURFACE ROUGHNESS

| Source      | DF | Seq SS   | Adj SS   | Adj MS   | F    |
|-------------|----|----------|----------|----------|------|
| v (m/min)   | 1  | 0.040440 | 0.087100 | 0.087100 | 4.06 |
| f           | 1  | 0.028684 | 0.152299 | 0.152299 | 7.10 |
| (mm/stroke) |    |          |          |          |      |
| t (mm)      | 1  | 0.000685 | 0.046645 | 0.046645 | 2.18 |
| v*v         | 1  | 0.287816 | 0.287816 | 0.095939 | 4.47 |
| f*f         | 1  | 0.108844 | 0.136029 | 0.136029 | 6.34 |
| t*t         | 1  | 0.149641 | 0.159091 | 0.159091 | 7.42 |
| v*f         | 1  | 0.029331 | 0.029331 | 0.029331 | 1.37 |
| f*t         | 1  | 0.023300 | 0.023300 | 0.007767 | 0.36 |
| f*t         | 1  | 0.002164 | 0.002164 | 0.002164 | 0.10 |
| Error       | 5  | 0.112003 |          |          |      |
| Total       | 14 | 0.782908 |          |          |      |







Figure 2: Interaction Plot for surface roughness











Figure 5 : Contour Plot interaction f and t for surface roughness

From the illustrations in figures and tables above, it can be seen that the feed-rate has the greatest effect on surface roughness, followed by the effects of velocity of workpiece, the depth of cut has the lowest effect. This can also be clearly seen in Figure 1. The interaction between the velocity of workpiece and depth of cutting has the greatest effect on surface roughness, followed by the effect of interaction between the feed-rate and depth of cut, the interaction between the velocity of workpiece and feed-rate has insignificant effect on surface roughness. Also from Table IV and Table V, the regression model of surface roughness is presented in formula (1). The observation in Figure 3 shows that the relevance of the model is above the acceptable limit. Therefore, this regression equation can be used to control the processing course when grinding SUJ2 steel with CBN grinding wheel. The observation in Figure 4 and Figure 5 shows that when the depth of cut is about 0.15 (mm), the velocity of workpiece is about 9 (m/min) and the feed-rate is within 3.5 ÷ 4.3 (mm/stroke), then the surface roughness will have the smallest value.

$$R_{a} = 5.69 - 0.17 * v - 1.54 * f - 130.59 * t + 0.01 * v^{2}$$
  
+0.18 \* f<sup>2</sup> + 2356.12 \* t<sup>2</sup> + 2.35 \* v \* t + 8.58 \* f \* t (1)

#### **III.CONCLUSION**

This experimental study has carried out for grinding SUJ2 steel with CBN grinding wheel. After analyzing

the test results, this study has determined the effects of velocity of workpiece, the feed-rate, the depth of cut, the interaction among parameters on the surface roughness of workpiece. The value of velocity of workpiece, feed-rate and depth of cut has also been determined to ensure that the processed surface of workpiece has with a small roughness. Finally, the regression equation showing the relation between the surface roughness of workpiece and the cutting parameters has been developed. This model allows to predict the surface roughness when grinding, contributing to reduce the time to adjust machines, test processing time and to improve the efficiency of the grinding process.

#### **IV.ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

The work described in this paper has been supported by Hanoi University of Industry. Corresponding author: dotrung.th@gmail.com OR doductrung@haui.edu.vn.

#### V. REFERENCES

- S. M. Deshmukh, R. D. Shelke and C. V. Bhusare, Optimization of Cylindrical Grinding Process Parameters of Hardened Material using Response Surface Methodology (2016), International Journal of Innovative Science, Engineering & Technology, 3 (11), pp. 216-219.
- Hemant S. Yadav, R. K. Shrivastava (2014), Effect of Process Parameters on Surface Roughness and Mrr in Cylindrical Grinding using Response Surface Method, International Journal of Engineering Research & Technology, 3 (3), pp. 1384-1388
- [3] P. Krajnik, J. Kopac, A. Sluga (2005), Design of grinding factors based on response surface methodology, Journal of Materials Processing Technology, 162–163, pp.629–636.
- [4] S. Periyasamy, M. Aravind, D. Vivek, K. S. Amirthagadeswaran (2014), Optimization of Surface Grinding Process Parameters for

Minimum Surface Roughness in AISI 1080 Using Response Surface Methodology, Advanced Materials Research, 984-985, pp. 118-123

- [5] B. Radha Krishnan, R. Aravindh, M. Barathkumar, K. Gowtham, R.Hariharan (2018), Prediction of Surface Roughness (AISI 4140 Steel) in Cylindrical Grinding Operation by RSM, International journal for research & development in technology, 9 (3), pp. 702-704
- [6] Tao Zhao, Yaoyao Shi, Xiaojun Lin, Jihao Duan, Pengcheng Sun, Jun Zhang (2014), Surface roughness prediction and parameters optimization in grinding and polishing process for IBR of aero-engine, Int J Adv Manuf Technol, DOI 10.1007/s00170-014-6020-3
- [7] N. Mohanasundararaju, R. Sivasubramanian, N. Alagumurthi (2008), Optimisation of work roll grinding using Response Surface Methodology and evolutionary algorithm, Int. J. Manufacturing Research, 3 (2), pp.236-251.
- [8] P-H. Lee, H. Chung, S. W. Lee (2011), Optimization of micro-grinding process with compressed air using response surface methodology, Proc. IMechE, 225, Part B: J. Engineering Manufacture
- [9] V. Saravanakumar, M. Viswanath, N. Thirumalaisamy (2016), Analysis of Surface Roughness and Machining Time in Cylindrical Grinding of SS430 Material Using Response Surface Method, International Journal of Engineering Trends and Technology, 42 (6), pp.271-280.
- [10] P. B. Khoi, N. Cuong, D. D. Trung (2014), A study on multi-objective optimization of plunge centerless grinding process, International journal of mechanical engineering and technology, 5 (11), pp.140-152
- [11] Binu Thomas, Eby David, Manu R (2014), Modeling and optimization of surface roughness in surface grinding OFSiC advanced ceramic material, 5th International & 26th All India Manufacturing Technology, Design and

Research Conference (AIMTDR 2014), Assam, India, pp.333.1-311.7.

- [12] A. A. Mamun, N. R. Dhar (2012), Numerical Modeling of Surface Roughness in Grinding under Minimum Quantity Lubricants (MQL) using Response Surface Method (RSM), Global Journal of Researches in Engineering Mechanical and Mechanics Engineering, 12 (5).
- [13] V. P. Durairaj, Venkatesh Babu (2017), Optimization of surface finish on grinding outer diameter, International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, 116 (14), pp. 347-351.
- [14] Nurul Afizan Yaakob, Hema Nanthini Ganesan,
   Nurul Hatiqah Harun, Raja Izamshah Raja
   Abdullah, Mohd Shahir Kasim (2017), Influence
   of Grinding Parameters on Surface Finish of
   Inconel 718, Journal of Mechanical
   Engineering, 3 (2), pp. 199-209.

#### Cite this article as :

Nguyen Hong Son, Do Duc Trung, "Analysis on the Effects of Cutting Parameters on Surface Roughness of Workpiece in Surface Grinding", International Journal of Scientific Research in Science, Engineering and Technology (IJSRSET), Online ISSN : 2394-4099, Print ISSN : 2395-1990, Volume 6 Issue 5, pp. 277-282, September-October 2019. Available at doi : https://doi.org/10.32628/IJSRSET1196557 Journal URL : http://ijsrset.com/IJSRSET1196557

International Journal of Scientific Research in Science, Engineering and Technology (www.ijsrset.com)