

Comparative Vulnerability Analysis of Structure on Sand, Soft Soil

Swarup Shripurwar¹, P. B. Patil², Nikhil Pitle³

¹PG Student, Structural Engineering, G. H. Raisoni College of Engineering, Nagpur, India

^{2,3}Assistant Professor, Department of Civil Engineering, G. H. Raisoni College of Engineering, Nagpur, India

ABSTRACT

Article Info

Volume 8 Issue 2

Page Number : 355-358

Publication Issue :

March-April-2021

Article History

Accepted : 15 April 2021

Published : 24 April 2021

Earthquake is a natural phenomenon occurring with all unpredictability. During the earthquake, ground motions occur in a random fashion, both horizontally and vertically, in all directions radiating from focal point. These cause structures to vibrate and induce inertia forces on them. Earthquake engineering is a versatile branch of engineering that designs and analysis structure, such as building and bridges, with earthquakes in mind. Its overall aim is to make such structures more resistant to earthquakes. This time making comparative study about vulnerability analysis of structure which built on sand i.e. desert, soft soil. I will design Seismic analysis of structure which built on sand and soft soil by using the software ETABS and The IS code assigned a zone factor of 0.36 for zone 5. Structural designers use this element for earthquake resistant design of structures in zone 5. This region throughout structure according to Indian IS code 1893:2002 and for wind load IS 875:1987.

Keywords : Seismic Analysis, Earthquake, Ground Motion

I. INTRODUCTION

Seismic analysis is a subgroup of structural analysis and is the calculation of the response of a building structure to earthquakes. It is part of the process of structural design, earthquake engineering or structural assessment in region where earthquakes are prevalent. The IS code assign a zone factor of 0.36 for zone 5. Structural designers use this element for earthquake resistant design of structures in zone 5. Earthquake activity has taken place in many books, articles and many articles have been published and In case of earthquake disaster management conferences have been held in historical contexts. In this paper we

taken comparative study of same zone having different built region.

II. Inelastic Displacement

As per study [1] have showed method to estimate inelastic displacement demand on structure built on soft soil deposits. The result is the proposed expression gives good estimate of mean inelastic displacement ratios. MDOF system going through strong inelastic displacement demand the procedure yield good estimate of the highest roof displacement and small underestimations of highest inter story drift demands.

3. Role of soil

Various studies [2 & 5] A boundary study, the part of soil structure communication by explaining, for typical scaffold docks established on delicate soil, the key event and boundaries interface with the connection between seismic foundation and superstructure. Results in this undertaking was possible misstep from overlooking: (I) the radiation damping produced from the turning heaps, and (ii) the rotational part of movement at the top of the single heap or the heap bunch cap. In the event that emphatically non-direct reaction were allowed to occur in the dirt, the heap, or the superstructure. In extension plan, such solid abnormality is in the standard for superstructure, while heaps are intended to remain basically flexible. The significant discoveries point here on the unpredictable mutilation modes and coating powers of passage during quake shaking, which can be utilized for plan of passages in delicate soil.

4. Seismic presentation of traditional houses

Study about [3 & 4] Seismic showing of traditional houses of Iran is studied, Underlying characterization of such structures is done concerning their primary components. Environment and seismic state of Iran is examined. Primary components, for example, rooftops, dividers, openings, establishments and associations were researched and their sorts and techniques for development were depicted. As indicated by these components five sorts of provincial houses were presented. Weakness investigation of mud houses and their disappointment instruments were inspected. Propose of getting the plan for hate private units, researched momentarily to satisfactory of open zone of customary private units and receptiveness to these open safe zones tile emergency. As consequence of this issue spatial requesting of this customary house, thought of its coordination and measurements, inherently diminish harms monetarily

and genuinely of quake in emergency time and increment the break time and updating the nature of people life.

5. Under Liquefaction

Dubai and United Arab Emirates (UAE), all in all, are recognized by their sandy nature and the shallow groundwater table because of its area in the region of the Arabian Gulf. This dirt class is, for the most part, of high potential to condense under moderate to high seismic occasions. Liquefaction weakness of a specific site may be diminished by actualizing diverse improvement strategies like powerful compaction. [6] The means to decrease liquefaction powerlessness of shallow to centre profound desert sand stores during the solid occasion. In this examination, no huge improvement in the liquefaction factor of wellbeing, beneath the profundity of 3.5 m. In the event that ground improvement is need beneath this profundity, at that point different strategies ought to be thought of, for example, dynamic compaction.

6. ADRS Analysis

SDs are developed for three soil models from which acceleration-displacement response spectrum of Banda Aceh's soft soil is suggested. In common, the proposed ADRS of this study is overestimated the PUSKIM's ADRS. [7 & 8] This overestimation could be exposed to the diverse acknowledged methodology in the investigation. A disastrous danger model has been created to survey, working by building, the probabilistic misfortunes and unadulterated expenses of various portfolios. The model includes a danger sector, a vulnerability sector and a risk sector. the analytical approach adopted for each one of the above-mentioned modules is condensed as well as a new improved methodological approach for the assessment of the vulnerability of any building construction.

III. CONCLUSION

- 1) MDOF system undergoing strong inelastic displacement demand the procedure yields good estimates of the highest roof displacement and small underestimation of maximum understory drift demand.
- 2) Primary components like rooftops, wall, openings, foundation and connections were explored and their various types and strategies for development were clarified. As per these above components five kinds of rustic houses were set up. Vulnerability investigate of houses and their failure in structure were considered.
- 3) Vulnerability of building situation can be involved, If once damage function is assigned to them, using any one of the SDP (seismic data processing) considered for analysis.
- 4) Structural variables such as design IS code, type of custody for RC elements, the structural involvement of masonry in the building actions and all other possible conditions of analysis can be included.
- 5) The building will not be destroy, harm human lives during major earthquake motions.

IV. CONCLUSION

In this study, the level and rule of the influence of cutting speed, Feed rate, and cutting depth on surface roughness (Ra and Rz) when milling X12M steel was experimented with and discussed. The results will guide the adjustment of the value of cutting parameters during the machining process to ensure that surface roughness is of small value.

V. REFERENCES

- [1]. Alonso & Miranda “ Inelastic displacement demands for structures built on soft soil”.
- [2]. George Mylonakis and Aspasia Nikolaou “soil—pile—bridge seismic interaction: kinematic and inertial effects. part i: soft soil “State University of New York at Buffalo, U.S.A.
- [3]. P. K. Haff “Response of desert pavement to seismic shaking, Hector Mine earthquake, California, 1999 “JOURNAL OF GEOPHYSICAL RESEARCH, VOL. 110, F02006.
- [4]. A. Bakhshi , Y. Bozorgnia² , M. A. Ghannad³ , A. Khosravifar⁴ , E. Mousavi Eshkiki⁵ , F. Rahimzadeh Rofooei⁶ , A. Taheri Behbahani⁷ “Seismic Vulnerability of Traditional Houses in Iran “.
- [5]. M. Kameli, M. Soltani, M. Ostad Jafari, M. Heidary, E. Mohseni “Analyzing with SWOT method on traditional desert houses spatial structure against earthquake disaster “Sama Technical And Vocational Training Collage, Qom Branch, Islamic Azad University, Qom, Iran.
- [6]. Deepankar Choudhury¹], Milind Patil², P. G. Ranjith³ and Jian Zhao⁴ “Dynamic tunnel-soil interaction in soft soils considering site-specific seismic ground response “.
- [7]. Bashar Tarawneh & Wassel A. L. Bodour “Liquefaction mitigation of desert sand using rapid impact compaction “Arabian Journal of Geosciences (2018) 11:309.
- [8]. Taufiq Saidi¹ , Teuku Budi Aulia¹ , Bambang Setiawan² “Spectral displacement (SD) of banda aceh’s soft soil for seismic vulnerability assessment “MATEC Web of Conferences 197, 10001 (2018).
- [9]. I. M. Idriss “Earthquake Ground Motions at Soft Soil Sites” University of California, Davis, CA.
- [10]. Naresh Dixit P S “EARTHQUAKE RESISTANT CONSTRUCTION “ research gate 295812449
- [11]. IS: 875 (Part 1), “Indian Standard Code of Practice for design loads for building and structures, Dead Loads” Bureau of Indian Standards, New Delhi.
- [12]. IS: 875 (Part 2), “Indian Standard Code of Practice for design loads for building and

- structures, Live Loads” Bureau of Indian Standards, New Delhi.
- [13]. IS: 875 (Part 3), “Indian Standard Code of Practice for design loads (Other than earthquake) for building and structures, Wind Loads” Bureau of Indian Standards, New Delhi.
- [14]. IS 456:2000, “Indian Standard plain and reinforced concrete-Code of Practice”, Bureau of Indian Standards, New Delhi, 2000.
- [15]. IS: 1893-2002, Part 1, “Criteria for Earthquake Resistant Design of Structures -General Provisions and Buildings”, Bureau of Indian Standards, New Delhi, India.
- [16]. L.E. Yamin & A.I. Hurtado “Earthquake Vulnerability Assessment of Buildings for Catastrophic Risk Analysis in Urban Areas.”
- [17]. Sanket S. Sanghai, MCE, Prof. Prashant Y. Pawade, PhD. CE. “Effectiveness of friction dampers on seismic response of structure considering soil-structure interaction.”
- [18]. Mr.Rakesh Gowda.R, Dr.G.Narayana. “Soil Flexibility Effect on Dynamic Behaviour of Asymmetric 3d Building Frames with Strip Footing by Continuum Model.”
- [19]. C. Venkatramaiah. “ Geotechnical Engineering.” revised third edition.
- [20]. IS Code 1904-1986. “Code of Practice for design and construction of foundations in soils : general requirement.”

Cite this article as :

Swarup Shripurwar, P. B. Patil, Nikhil Pitle, "Comparative Vulnerability Analysis of Structure on Sand, Soft Soil", International Journal of Scientific Research in Science, Engineering and Technology (IJSRSET), Online ISSN : 2394-4099, Print ISSN : 2395-1990, Volume 8 Issue 2, pp. 355-358, March-April 2021. Available at
doi : <https://doi.org/10.32628/IJSRSET218284>
Journal URL : <https://ijsrset.com/IJSRSET218284>