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#### Abstract

In the present work an attempt is made to calculate the absorption cross-section for proton interacting with target nuclei. The absorption cross-section has been calculated for energies ranging between $20 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}$ to $60 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}$ with different nuclei also the results is compared with experimental data.
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## I. INTRODUCTION

During few decades hadron-hadron and hadronnucleus collisions have been playing an important role in nuclear high energy physics for physicists. Several experimental technique have been demonstrated for hadron-hadron and hadronnucleus absorption cross-section of charged pions, charged kons, protons and antiprotons on several target nuclei [1-4]. Many theoretical models [5-7] have been proposed for the study of such collisions. In the present work we are including only one hadron (i.e. proton) in our study.

In the proposed work, we proposed a universal approach to calculate the absorption cross-sections for proton interacting with target nuclei. Earlier work [8-10] we had applied our universal approach to calculate the absorption cross-sections for charged pions ( $\pi+$ and $\pi-$ ) collisions with target nuclei. The cross-sections calculated in the unit of millibarn (mb) in this proposed work.

## II. METHODS AND MATERIAL

## Calculations

The energy dependence of the hadron-nucleus absorption cross-sections tends to follow that of the hadron-hadron cross-sections, but is somewhat slower. The calculate data is at each energy were fitted to the simple formulation as
$\sigma_{\text {abs. }}(\mathrm{A})=\sigma_{0} \mathrm{~A}^{\alpha}$

Where A is the atomic weight of the target nucleus, $\sigma_{0}$ and $\alpha$ are two adjustable parameters. We modify in the present work by taking log of both sides of eq. (1). So the new modify formula becomes
$\log \sigma_{\mathrm{abs}}=\alpha \log \mathrm{A}+\log \sigma_{0}$

Here eq. (2) is the nature of equation of straight line, the slope of the line gives the value of the parameter $\alpha$, while the intercepts of the line provides that of the parameter $\sigma_{0}$.

## III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The present work is given in Table and result shown in different figures. Now in fig.(1-4) the variation of $\log$ of target mass ' A ' versus $\log$ $\sigma_{\text {abs. }}$ has been shown for proton energy between 20 $\mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c} \leq \mathrm{p}_{\text {mom. }} \leq 60 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}$. The slope is $\tan \theta$ of the curve and their intercepts on the $\log \sigma_{\mathrm{abs}}$ axis provides the values of $\alpha$ and $\sigma_{0}$ respectively.

Table:Values of Parameters $\sigma_{0}, \alpha$ and $\sigma_{\text {abs. }}$ Absorption Cross-Section at different Energy with available experimental data.

| $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{p} \\ (\mathbf{G e V} / \mathbf{c}) \end{gathered}$ | $\alpha$ | $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{0}$ | Target Nuclei | $\begin{gathered} \sigma_{\text {abs. }} \\ (\mathbf{m b}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \sigma_{\text {abs. }}(\mathbf{m b}) \\ & \text { Experimental } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20 | 0.689 | 45.6 | Li(7) | 174.28 | $175 \pm 2$ |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{Be}(4)$ | 207.22 | $209 \pm 3$ |
|  |  |  | C(12) | 252.65 | $247 \pm 2$ |
|  |  |  | Al(27) | 441.75 | $447 \pm 4$ |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{Cu}(64)$ | 800.61 | $794 \pm 9$ |
|  |  |  | Sn (119) | 1227.48 | $1264 \pm 18$ |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{Pb}(207)$ | 1797.49 | $1739 \pm 30$ |
|  |  |  | U(238) | 1978.91 | $2006 \pm 37$ |
| 30 | 0.698 | 44.56 | Li(7) | 173.30 | $174 \pm 2$ |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{Be}(4)$ | 206.54 | $210 \pm 3$ |
|  |  |  | C(12) | 252.47 | $247 \pm 3$ |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{Al}(27)$ | 444.67 | $445 \pm 5$ |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{Cu}(64)$ | 812.19 | $811 \pm 9$ |
|  |  |  | Sn (119) | 1252.20 | $1235 \pm 16$ |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{Pb}(207)$ | 1842.86 | $1870 \pm 23$ |
|  |  |  | U(238) | 2031.40 | $2026 \pm 27$ |
| 50 | 0.715 | 44.66 | Li(7) | 179.54 | $174 \pm 2$ |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{Be}(4)$ | 214.88 | $210 \pm 3$ |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{C}(12)$ | 163.95 | $247 \pm 3$ |
|  |  |  | Al(27) | 471.35 | $440 \pm 6$ |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{Cu}(64)$ | 873.64 | $806 \pm 10$ |
|  |  |  | Sn (119) | 1361.24 | $1240 \pm 17$ |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{Pb}(207)$ | 2022.26 | $1785 \pm 29$ |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{U}(238)$ | 2234.45 | $2019 \pm 26$ |
| 60 | 0.695 | 42.07 | Li(7) | 162.67 | $176 \pm 2$ |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{Be}(4)$ | 193.71 | $216 \pm 2$ |
|  |  |  | C(12) | 236.59 | $252 \pm 4$ |
|  |  |  | Al(27) | 415.69 | $455 \pm 7$ |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{Cu}(64)$ | 751.29 | $812 \pm 13$ |
|  |  |  | Sn (119) | 1165.40 | $1247 \pm 33$ |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{Pb}(207)$ | 1712.26 | $1930 \pm 50$ |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{U}(238)$ | 1886.65 | $2032 \pm 41$ |

The value of $\alpha, \sigma 0$ and $\sigma_{\text {abs }}$ at different energies and for different target nuclei are shown in table 1. While in the fig. 5 and 6 we have given the
variation of $\sigma_{0}$ and $\alpha$ with proton energy shown respectively.

## Graphs:






Fig. 5 Graph between Energy $(\mathrm{MeV})$ and $\sigma_{0}$


Fig. 6 Graph between Energy ( MeV ) and $\alpha$
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