

Oscillation Conditions of First Order Delay Differential Equations with Positive and Negative Coefficients

V. Amudhamalar^{*1}, P. Sathish²

¹Department of Mathematics, Kongu Arts and Science College (Autonomous), Erode, Tamilnadu, India ²Department of Mathematics, Nandha Arts and Science College, Erode, Tamilnadu, India

ABSTRACT

In this paper, we obtain some oscillation criteria for the first order delay differential equation with $x'(t) + p(t) x(\tau(t)) = 0$, $t \ge t_0$. By applying these results, we also establish some integral conditions for oscillation of the higher order delay differential equations.

Keywords: Oscillation; Delay Differential equations; Linear; Positive; Negative.

I. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, oscillation criteria are established for first order delay differential Equations. Delay Differential Equations are one of the most powerful mathematical modelling tools & they arise naturally in various applications from the life sciences to engineering, physics, etc., the oscillatory behaviour of the solutions of first order linear Delay Differential Equations has been extensively studied in recent years.

The qualitative properties of oscillation of the solution to the linear delay differential equations for P, $\tau \in C$ {[t₀, ∞), R⁺} R⁺ = [0, ∞)

Where $p(t) \ge 0 \& \tau(t)$ is piecewise continuous and $\tau(t)$ is a non – decreasing, $\tau(t) < t$ for $t \ge t_0$ and $\lim_{t\to\infty} \tau(t) = \infty$

For (1.2) the function T defined by $T(t) = t - \tau(t), t \ge 0$, is increasing such that

 $\lim_{t\to\infty} T(t) = \infty$

As is customary, a solution of (1.1) (or) (1.2) is said to be oscillatory if it has arbitrary large zeros.

The following assumptions will be used throughout this paper, without further mention.

Let the numbers K & L defined by

$$K = \lim_{t \to \infty} \inf \int_{\tau(t)}^{t} p(s) \, ds \ge \frac{1}{e}$$

$$L = \lim_{t \to \infty} \sup \int_{\pi(t)}^{\tau} p(s) \, ds \ge 1$$
Also L = $\lim_{t \to \infty} \sup \int_{\pi(t)}^{\tau} p(s) \, ds \ge \frac{1-k^2}{4}$
If $0 < k < \frac{1}{e}$

$$L > 1 - \frac{1-k-\sqrt{1-2k-k^2}}{2}$$
 and
$$L > \frac{l_n > 1+1}{>1}$$

Where λ_1 is the smaller root of $\lambda = e^{k\lambda}$ Set w(t) = $\frac{x[\tau(t)]}{x(t)}$ ------(1.3) Also w(t) = exp $\int_{\pi(t)}^{\tau} p(s) w(s) ds$ -------(1.4) $F(t) = \frac{p(t)}{\mu(t)}$ -------(1.5)

LEMMA: 1.1

Suppose that k>0 and Equation (1.1) has an eventually positive solution x(t) then $k < \frac{1}{e}$ and $\lambda_1 < \liminf_{t \to \infty} w(t)$ $< \lambda_2$ where λ_1 is the smaller root and λ_2 the greater root of the equation $\lambda = e^{k\lambda}$.

PROOF:

Set w (t) = $\frac{x(\tau(t))}{x(t)}$ Let $\alpha = \lim_{t \to \infty} \inf w(t)$ From (1.4) we have sufficiently large't' $\alpha \ge \exp k\alpha$ Which is impossible if $k > \frac{1}{e}$ Since, this case $\lambda < e^{k\lambda} \forall \lambda$ \Rightarrow (1.1) has no eventually positive solution if $k > \frac{1}{e}$

Now, if $0 < k \le \frac{1}{e}$ then $\lambda = e^{k\lambda}$ has roots $\lambda_1 \le \lambda_2$ (With equality $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = e \Leftrightarrow k = \frac{1}{e}$) And $\alpha \ge e^{k\alpha} \Leftrightarrow \lambda_1 \le \alpha \le \lambda_2$ $\therefore \lambda_1 \lim_{t \to \infty} \inf w(t) \le \lambda_2$

LEMMA: 1.2

Let $0 < k < \frac{1}{e}$ and x(t) be an eventually positive solution of Equation (1.1). Assume that there exists $\theta > 0$ such that

 $\int_{T(u)}^{\tau(t)} F(s) ds \ge \theta \int_{u}^{t} F(s) ds \text{ for all } \tau(t) \le u \le t \text{ -------(A)}$ Then $\lim_{t\to\infty} \sup w(t) \le \frac{2}{(1-k-\sqrt{(1-k)^2-4B}}$ ------(B) Where B is given by $B = \frac{e^{\lambda\theta k} - \lambda 1\theta k - 1}{(\lambda 1\theta)^2}$ ------(C) And λ_1 is the smaller root of the equation $\lambda = e^{k\lambda}$

PROOF:

Let $t > t_0 \ge 1$ be large enough so that $\tau(t_1) = t$

 $\delta = \int_t^{t_1} F(s) ds < \int_t^{t_1} P(s) ds$, where $0 < \delta$: k is arbitrary close to k

Integrating (1.1) from t to t_1 , we get

 $x(t) = x(t_1) + \int_t^{t_1} P(s) x(\tau(s)) ds$ And F(s) = $\frac{p(s)}{\mu(s)}$ $x(t) = x(t_1) + \int_t^{t_1} F(s) \mu(s) x(\tau(s)) ds$ Integrating (1.1) from $\tau(s)$ to t for s <t₁, we have $x[\tau(s)] = x(t) + \int_{\tau(s)}^t P(u) x(\tau(u)) du$ $= x(t) + \int_{\tau(s)}^t F(u) \mu(u) x(\tau(u)) du$

Combining last two equalities, we have

 $\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x}(t) &= \mathbf{x}(t_1) + \int_t^{t_1} F(s) \ \mu(s) \quad (\mathbf{x}(t) + \int_{\tau(s)}^t F(u) \ \mu(u) \\ \mathbf{x}(\tau(u)) \ du \) \ ds - \cdots - (1.6) \end{aligned}$

Let $0 < \lambda < \lambda_1$, then the function φ (t) = x(t) $e^{\lambda} \int_{t_0}^{t_1} F(s) ds$ -----(1.7) is decreasing for large $t > t_0$

Since x(t) also decreasing From lemma (1.1) $\frac{x(\tau(t))}{x(t)} > \tau$ Since $\mu(t) \ge 1$ for $t \ge t_0 \ge 1$

Then $\frac{\mu(t)x(\tau(t))}{x(t)} > \lambda$ for all sufficiently large 't' $0 = x^1(t) + F(t) \mu(t) x(\tau(t)) \ge x^1(t) + \lambda F(t) x(t)$ $\Rightarrow \varphi(t) \le 0$ for sufficiently large t substituting into (1.6), we get for sufficiently large 't' the inequality

In view of (A) we obtain

$$\int_{\tau(s)}^{t} F(u) e^{\lambda \int_{\tau(u)}^{\tau(t)} F(\xi) d\xi} du \ge \int_{\tau(s)}^{t} F(u) e^{\lambda \theta} \int_{u}^{t} F(\xi) d\xi} du = \frac{1}{\lambda \theta} \left(e^{\lambda \theta} \int_{\tau(s)}^{t} F(\xi) d\xi} - 1 \right)$$

$$\therefore \int_{t}^{t1} F(s) \left(\int_{\tau(s)}^{t} F(u) e^{\lambda \int_{\tau(u)}^{\tau(t)} F(\xi) d\xi} du \right) ds \ge$$

$$- \frac{\delta}{\lambda \theta} + \frac{1}{\lambda \theta} \int_{t}^{t1} F(s) e^{\lambda \theta} \int_{\tau(s)}^{t} F(\xi) d\xi}{t^{1}} F(\xi) e^{\lambda \theta} \int_{\tau(s)}^{t} F(\xi) d\xi} ds$$

$$\ge \frac{-\delta}{\lambda \theta} + \frac{1}{\lambda \theta} \int_{t}^{t} F(s) e^{-\lambda \theta} \int_{t}^{t} F(\xi) d\xi}{t^{1}} F(s) e^{-\lambda \theta} \int_{t}^{s} F(\xi) d\xi} ds$$

$$= \frac{-\delta}{\lambda \theta} + \frac{e^{\lambda \theta \delta}}{(\lambda \theta)^{2}} \left(1 - e^{-\lambda \theta} \int_{t}^{t1} F(\xi) d\xi \right)$$

 $= \frac{-\delta}{\lambda\theta} + \frac{e^{\lambda\theta\delta}}{(\lambda\theta)^2} \left(e^{-\lambda\theta\delta} - 1 \right)$ From (1.8) yields $x(t) > x(t_1) + \delta x(t) + B^* \mu(t) x(\tau(t))$ ------(1.9) Where $B^* = \frac{e^{\lambda\theta\delta} - \lambda\theta\delta - 1}{(\lambda\theta)^2}$ From (1.9), we have $x(t) \ge d_1 \mu(t) x(\tau(t))$ Where $d_1 = \frac{B^*}{1-\delta}$

Observe that $\mathbf{x}(t_1) \ge \mathbf{d}_1 \, \mu(t1) \, \mathbf{x}(\tau(t1)) \ge \mathbf{d}_1 \, \mathbf{x}(t)$ Since $\mu(t) \ge 1$ for $t \ge t_0 \ge 1$ $\therefore (1.9) \Rightarrow \mathbf{x}(t) \ge \mathbf{d}_2 \, \mu(t) \, \mathbf{x}(\tau(t))$

Where $d_2 = \frac{B^*}{1-d1-\delta}$

Using I derivative procedure, then
$$\begin{split} x(t) &\geq d_{n+1}\,\mu_{(t)}\,\,x(\tau(t))\\ \text{Where } d_{n+1} &= \frac{B^*}{1-dn-\,\delta},\,n{=}1,\,2,\,3,\,....\\ \text{It is easy to see that the sequence } \{d_n\} \text{ is strictly}\\ \text{increasing and bounced} \end{split}$$

 $\therefore \lim_{t \to \infty} d_n = d \text{ exists and Satisfies} \qquad d^2 - (1 - \delta)d + B^* = 0$

 $:: \{d_n\}$ is strictly increasing it follows that

$$d = \frac{1-\delta-\sqrt{(1-\delta)^2-4B^*}}{2}$$

Observe that for large t one has

$$\frac{x(t)}{\mu(t) \ x(\tau(t))} \geq \frac{1-\delta-\sqrt{(1-\delta)^2-4B^*}}{2}$$

And since $0 < \delta < k$ is arbitrarily close to k, by letting $\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_1$, it leads to (B) The proof is complete.

REMARK:

Assume that $\tau(t)$ is continuously differentiable and that there exists $\theta > 0$

F($\tau(t)$) $\tau^{-1}(t) \ge \theta$ F(t) -----(1.10) $\frac{x(t)}{x(\tau(t))} = \lambda$ eventually for all t.

Then it is easy to see that (1.10) implies (A) The function

 $V(u) = \int_{t(u)}^{\tau(t)} F(s) ds - \theta \int_{u}^{t} F(s) ds \ \tau(t) \le u \le t$ satisfies the conditions v(t) = 0

And $v^{1}(u) = -F(\tau(u)) \tau^{1}(u) + \theta F(u) \le 0$ If F(t) > 0 eventually for all 't' and $\lim_{t\to\infty} \inf \frac{F(\tau(t)) \tau^{1}(t)}{F(t)} = \theta_0 > 0$

Then θ can be any number satisfying $0 < \theta < \theta_0$

LEMMA: 1.3

Assume that (1.1) has an eventually positive solution x(t). Set

$$B(t) = \max\left\{\frac{x(s)}{x\tau(s)}; \tau(t) \le s \le t\right\}$$

Then $\lim_{t\to\infty}$ inf $B(t) > \frac{1}{\lambda^2}$ -----(C)

PROOF:

Assume for the sake of contradiction that (C) is not true. Then there exist an increasing sequence $\{t_n\}$ with $t_n \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$ such that

 $\lim_{n \to \infty} B(t_n) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \inf B(t) = \mu < \frac{1}{\lambda^2}$

For a given $\lambda \in (\mu, \frac{1}{\lambda^2})$, there exists an integer N>0 such that

It follows from the definition of k that there exists an integer $N_1 > N$ such that

Next we prove that $\frac{x(t)}{x(\tau(t))} < \lambda$, $t \ge t_{N1}$ ------ (1.13) In fact, if (1.13) is not true, then by (1.11) there exists an integer $n_1 \ge N_1$ and T with $t_{n1} \le T < t_{n1} + 1$ such that $\frac{x(t)}{x(\tau(t))} < \lambda$ for $t \in [\tau(t_{n1}), T)$ & $\frac{x(t)}{x(\tau(t))} = \lambda$

By (1.1) we have

$$\int_{\tau(t)}^{T\tau} p(s) ds = \int_{\tau(t)}^{T\tau} \frac{x^{1}(s)}{x(\tau(s))} ds \le l_{n} \frac{x(\tau(t))}{x(t)} \cdot B(T) < -\lambda l_{n} \lambda$$

402

Which contradicts (1.12) and so (1.13) holds.

We have $\lim_{t\to\infty} \inf \frac{x(\tau(t))}{x(t)} = \lim_{t\to\infty} \inf w(t) \ge \frac{1}{\lambda} > \lambda_2$ Which contradicts (lemma 1.1) The proof is complete **LEMMA: 1.4**

If $\lim_{t\to\infty} \sup \int_t^{t+\tau i} Pi(s) x$ (s- τi) ds ≤ 0 , for some i, and x(t) eventually positive solution of $x'(t) + \sum_{i=1}^n pi(t) x(t-\tau i) = 0$, then for the same i,

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \inf \frac{\mathbf{x}(t-\tau i))}{\mathbf{x}(t)} < \infty \quad \dots \quad (1.14)$$

PROOF:

There exist a constant d>0 and a sequence $\{t_k\}$ such that $t_k\to\infty$ as $k{\to}\infty$ and

Integrating (1.16) with
$$[t_k, \xi k] \& [\xi k, t_k + \tau i]$$

 $(1.16) \Rightarrow \int_{tk}^{\xi k} x^1(t) dt + \int_{tk}^{\xi k} Pi(t) x(t - \tau i) dt \le 0$
 $\Rightarrow x(t)]_{tk}^{\xi k} + \int_{tk}^{\xi k} Pi(s) x(t - \tau i) ds \le 0$
 $\Rightarrow x(\xi_k) - x(t_k) + \int_{tk}^{\xi k} Pi(s) x(s - \tau i) ds \le 0$ ------ (1.17)
And

$$x(t_{k} + \tau i) - x(\xi_{k}) + \int_{\xi k}^{tk + \tau i} Pi(s) x(s - \tau i) ds \le 0 - \dots (1.18)$$

By omitting first term in (1.17) & (1.18) by using the decreasing nature of x(t) and (1.15),

We find, (1.17)
$$\Rightarrow$$

 $-x(t_k) + \int_{t_k}^{\xi_k} Pi(s) x(s - \tau i) ds \le 0$
 $\Rightarrow -x(t_k) + \frac{d}{2}x(\xi_{k-}\tau i) \le 0$
(1.18) $\Rightarrow -x(\xi_k) + \frac{d}{2}x(t_k) \le 0$
(OR)
 $(\frac{x(\xi k - \tau i)}{x(\xi k)} < (\frac{d}{2})^2$

This completes the proof

THEOREM: 1.1

Consider the Differential Equation (1.1) and let L<1, $0 < k < \frac{1}{\rho}$ and there exists $\theta > 0$ such that (A) is satisfied.

Assume that $l > \frac{l_n \lambda_1 + 1}{\lambda_1} - \frac{1 - k - \sqrt{(1 - k)^2 - 4B}}{2}$(D) Where λ_1 is the smaller root of the equation $\lambda = e^{k\lambda}$ and B is given by (C). Then all solutions of (1.1) oscillate.

PROOF:

Assume, for the sake of contradiction, that x(t) is eventually positive solution of (1.1)

Let σ be any number $(\frac{1}{\lambda_1}, 1)$

From Lemma (1.1), there is a $T_1 > t_0$ such that

$$\frac{x(\tau(t))}{x(t)} > \sigma \times_{1}, t \ge T_{1}$$
(1.19)
$$\frac{x(t)}{x(\tau(t))} > \sigma M, t \ge T_{1}$$
(1.20)

Where $M = \lim_{t \to \infty} \inf \frac{x(t)}{x(\tau(t))}$ Now let $t > T_1$. Since the function $g(s) = \frac{x(\tau(t))}{\tau(s)}$ is continuous, $g(\tau(t)) = 1 < \sigma \times_1$ $g(t) > \sigma \times_1$

There is a $t^*(t) \in (\tau(t), t)$ such that $\frac{x(\tau(t))}{x(t^*(t))} = \sigma \times_1$

Dividing (1.1) by x(t) $\frac{x^{1}(t)}{x(t)} + \frac{P(t)x(\tau(t))}{x(t)} = 0$

Integrating from
$$\tau(t)$$
 to t^{*}(t) & use (1.19)

$$\Rightarrow \int_{\tau(t)}^{t^*(t)} \frac{x^1(s)}{x(s)} ds + \int_{\tau(t)}^{t^*(t)} \frac{P(s)x(\tau(s))}{x(s)} ds = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \int_{\tau(t)}^{t^*(t)} p(s)(\sigma \times 1) ds \le - \int_{\tau(t)}^{t^*(t)} p(s) \frac{x^1(s)}{x(s)} ds$$

$$\Rightarrow (\sigma \times_1) \int_{\tau(t)}^{t^*(t)} p(s) ds \le - \int_{\tau(t)}^{t^*(t)} \frac{x^1(s)}{x(s)} ds$$

$$\Rightarrow \int_{\tau(t)}^{t^*(t)} p(s) ds \le \left(-\frac{-1}{\sigma \times 1}\right) \int_{\tau(t)}^{t^*(t)} \frac{x^1(s)}{x(s)} ds$$

$$= \left(\frac{1}{\sigma \times 1}\right) \int_{\tau(t)}^{t^*(t)} \frac{x^1(s)}{x(s)} ds$$

$$= \left(\frac{1}{\sigma \times 1}\right) \ln[x(s)]_{t^*(t)}^{\tau(t)}$$

$$= \left(\frac{1}{\sigma \times 1}\right) \ln (\sigma \times_1)$$

$$\therefore \int_{\tau(t)}^{t^*(t)} p(s) ds = \frac{\ln (\sigma \times_1)}{(\sigma \times_1)} \quad \dots \quad (1.21)$$

Integrating (1.1) over $[t^* (t), t]$ and using (1.20) and $x(\tau(s)) \ge x(\tau(s))$ if $s \le t$ yields

$$(1.21) + (1.22) \Rightarrow$$

$$\int_{\tau(t)}^{t^{*}(t)} p(s) ds + \int_{t^{*}(t)}^{t} p(s) ds \leq \frac{\ln(\sigma \times_{1})}{(\sigma \times_{1})} + \frac{1}{\sigma \times 1} - \sigma M$$

$$\Rightarrow \int_{\tau(t)}^{t} p(s) ds \leq \frac{\ln(\sigma \times_{1}) + 1}{(\sigma \times_{1})} - \sigma M$$
Letting
$$t \rightarrow \infty \int_{\tau(t)}^{t} p(s) ds \leq \frac{\ln(\sigma \times_{1}) + 1}{(\sigma \times_{1})} - \sigma M$$

$$l \leq \frac{\ln(\sigma \times_{1}) + 1}{(\sigma \times_{1})} - \sigma M$$
Letting $\sigma \rightarrow 1$

$$l \leq \frac{\ln(\sigma \times_{1}) + 1}{(\times_{1})} - M$$

The last inequality, in view of Lemma (1.2) contradicts (D) Hence Proved.

THEOREM: (1.2)

Suppose that $\int_t^{t+\tau} p(s) ds > 0$ for $t \ge t_0$ for some $t_0 > 0$ and

Then every solution of $x'(t) + p(t) x(t-\tau) = 0$ oscillates.

PROOF:

Assume the contrary.

Then we have an eventually positive solution x(t) of $x'(t) + p(t) x(t-\tau) = 0$ So, x(t) is eventually monotonically decreasing

Let
$$\lambda = \frac{xI(t)}{x(t)}$$

Clearly for large't', function $\lambda(t)$ is non-negative and continuous and

 $\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x}(t) &= \mathbf{x}(t_1) \; \exp \left[-\int_{t_1}^t \mathbf{x} \; (s) ds \right] \text{ where } \mathbf{x}(t_1) > 0 \text{ for some} \\ t_1 > t_0. \end{aligned}$

404

Given $\int_{T}^{N} P(t) \ln \left[e \int_{t}^{t+\tau} P(s) ds \right] dt = \infty$ by (E) \therefore (1.25) \Rightarrow Now (E) implies that there exist a sequence $\{t_n\}$ with t_n

 $\rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ such that

 $\int_{t_n}^{t_n+\tau} p(s) ds > \frac{1}{e}$ for all n Hence by lemma (1.4), we obtain

$$\liminf_{t\to\infty}\frac{x(t-\tau)}{x(t)}<\infty$$

This contradicts (1.26) & completes the proof.

THEOREM: 1.3

Assume that $0 < \propto < \frac{1}{e}$ and $\lim_{t\to\infty} \sup\left\{\min_{\tau(t)\leq s\leq t} \int_{\tau(s)}^{s} p(\xi)d\xi\right\} > \frac{1+l_n\lambda_1}{\lambda_1} \frac{1}{\lambda_2} -$ Then all solutions of (1.1) oscillate.

PROOF:

Assume, for the sake of contradiction, that (1.1) has an eventually positive solution x(t).

For given $\theta \in (0.1)$ by lemma (1.3)

$$\int_{\tau(t)}^{t} p(s) \, ds \geq \theta \propto \& \frac{x(\tau(t))}{x(t)} \geq \theta \times_{1}$$

For all sufficiently large t, and consequently for $\tau(t) \leq s$

 $\frac{x(\tau(s))}{r(s)} = \exp \int_{\tau(s)}^{\tau(t)} p(\xi) \frac{x(\tau(\xi))}{r(\xi)} d\xi$ $\frac{x(\tau(s))}{x(s)} \ge \exp\left(\theta \times_1 \int_{\tau(s)}^{\tau(t)} p(\xi) d\xi\right) \ge e^{(\theta-1)\lambda_1} \exp\left((1 - \text{Taking superior limit as } t \to \infty \text{ \& using lemma (1.4)}\right)$ $\theta) \, \lambda_1 \! + \! \theta \, \lambda_1 \int_{\tau(s)}^{\tau(t)} \! p(\xi) d\xi)$ $\geq e^{(\theta-1)\lambda_1} \exp(\lambda_1 \int_{\tau(s)}^{\tau(t)} p(\xi) d\xi)$ ----------- (1.28)

Since $\int_{\tau(s)}^{s} p(\xi) d\xi \leq 1$

Integrating (1.1) from $\tau(t)$ to t & using (1.28) $\int_{\tau(t)}^{t} x^{1}(s) ds + \int_{\tau(t)}^{t} p(s) x(\tau(s)) ds = 0$

$$\begin{aligned} x(s)]_{\tau(t)}^{t} + \int_{\tau(t)}^{t} p(s)x(\tau(s))ds &= 0 \\ x(t) - x(\tau(t)) + \int_{\tau(t)}^{t} p(s)x(\tau(s))ds &= 0 \\ x(\tau(t)) - x(t) &= \int_{\tau(t)}^{t} p(s)x(\tau(s))ds &= 0 \\ \geq e^{(\theta-1)\lambda_{1}} x(\tau(t)) \int_{\tau(t)}^{t} p(s) \exp(\lambda_{1} \int_{\tau(s)}^{\tau(t)} p(\xi)d\xi) \\ x(\tau(t)) &\geq x(t) + e^{(\theta-1)\lambda_{1}} x(\tau(t)) \int_{\tau(t)}^{t} p(s) \\ \exp(\lambda_{1} \int_{\tau(s)}^{\tau(t)} p(\xi)d\xi) \\ 1 &> \frac{x(t)}{x(\tau(t))} + e^{(\theta-1)\lambda_{1}} x(\tau(t)) \int_{\tau(t)}^{t} p(s) \\ \exp(\lambda_{1} \int_{\tau(s)}^{\tau(t)} p(\xi)d\xi) ds \quad ----- (1.29) \end{aligned}$$

Let t be large enough so that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\tau(t)}^{t} p(s) ds &\geq \theta \alpha \\ \text{There exists } t^* \in [\tau(t), t] \text{ such that } \int_{\tau(t)}^{t^*} p(s) &= \theta \alpha \\ \text{Thus} \\ \int_{\tau(t)}^{t} p(s) \exp(\lambda_1 \int_{\tau(s)}^{\tau(t)} p(\xi) d\xi) ds &\geq \\ \int_{\tau(t)}^{t} p(s) ds + \int_{\tau(t)}^{t^*} p(s) [\exp(\lambda_1 \int_{\tau(s)}^{\tau(t)} p(\xi) d\xi - 1)] ds \\ &= \int_{\tau(t)}^{t} p(s) ds + \int_{\tau(s)}^{t} p(s) ds + \int_{\tau(t)}^{t^*} p(s) \\ \left[\left\{ \lambda_1 (\int_{\tau(s)}^{s} p(\xi) d\xi - \int_{\tau(s)}^{\tau(t)} p(\xi) d\xi \right\} - 1 \right] ds \\ &\geq \int_{\tau(t)}^{t} p(s) ds + e^{\theta \propto \lambda_1} \int_{\tau(t)}^{t^*} p(s) \exp(\lambda_1 \int_{\tau(s)}^{s} p(\xi) d\xi) ds \\ &- \theta \propto \\ &= \int_{\tau(t)}^{t} p(s) ds + \frac{e^{\theta \propto \lambda_1 - (1 + \theta \propto \lambda_1)}}{\lambda_1} \\ \text{Substituting this into (1.28) we have} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{split} 1 \geq & \frac{x(t)}{x(\tau(t))} + e^{(\theta-1)\lambda_1} \left[\int_{\tau(t)}^t p(s) \, \mathrm{d}s \, + \, \frac{e^{\theta\lambda_1} - (1+\theta\lambda_1 \alpha)}{\lambda_1} \right] \\ e^{(\theta-1)\lambda_1} - \, \frac{e^{\theta\alpha\lambda_1} - (1+\theta\alpha\lambda_1)}{\lambda_1} \geq \, e^{(\theta-1)\lambda_1} \, \mathrm{B}(t) \, + \, \min \\ & \int_{\tau(s)}^s p(\xi) \, \mathrm{d}\xi \end{split}$$

$$e^{(\theta-1)\lambda_{1}} - \frac{e^{\alpha\theta\lambda_{1}-(1+\theta\alpha\lambda_{1})}}{\lambda_{1}} \geq \lim_{t\to\infty} \sup\{e^{(\theta-1)\lambda_{1}} B(t) + \min\int_{\tau(s)}^{s} p(\xi)d\xi\}$$
$$\geq \frac{1}{\lambda_{2}}e^{(\theta-1)\lambda_{1}} + \limsup_{t\to\infty} \sup\{\min_{\tau(t)\leq s\leq t}\int_{\tau(s)}^{s} p(\xi)d\xi\}$$

Since $0 < \theta < 1$ is arbitrarily close to 1 We let $\theta \rightarrow 1$

 $=\frac{1+\ln\lambda_1}{\lambda_1}-\frac{1}{\lambda_2}$

Which contradicts (F) and so the proof is complete. Hence Proved.

II. ON OSCILLATION PROPERTIES OF DELAY DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS WITH A POSITIVE AND A NEGATIVE TERM

Delay differential equations having forms $x'(t)+P(t) \ge (t-\tau)-Q(t) \ge (t-\sigma)=0, t \ge t_0$ ------(2.1)

And $[x(t)-R(t)x(t-\boldsymbol{\rho})]' + P(t)x(t-\boldsymbol{\tau})-Q(t)x(t-\boldsymbol{\sigma})=0, t \ge t_0$ where P, Q, R $\in C([t_0,\infty), R^+)$ and $\tau, \sigma, \rho \geq 0$

The following assumptions will be used this chapter without further mention

Eq.(2.1) is oscillatory when

$$\tau \ge \sigma \ge 0$$

p>q>0 ------ (2.2)
q($\tau - \sigma$) ≤ 1
(p-q) >(1/e)(1-q($\tau - \sigma$))

Under conditions

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \inf \int_{t-\tau}^{t} \overline{P}(s) \, ds > (1/e)$$
$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \sup \int_{t-\tau}^{t} \overline{P}(s) \, ds > 1$$

LEMMA: 2.1

Assume that x (t) is eventually positive solution of (2.1) holds. Then for $n \in N$ eventually positive z(t) in

 $z(t) = x(t) - \int_{t-\tau+\sigma}^{t} Q(s)x(s-\sigma) ds$ satisfies $z'(t) + \overline{P}(t) \sum_{i=0}^{n} Q_i (t - \tau) z (t - \tau) \le 0$ (2.3) eventually.

PROOF:

Assume that x(t) is eventually positive solution of (2.1) Then there exists a $t_1 \ge t_0$ such that x (t)>0 for $t \geq t_1$

Set $t_2 = \max \{ t_1 + \tau, t \}$

Since

We have $0 < z(t) \le x(t)$, $t \ge t_2$ ------ (2.5) From (2.4) $\mathbf{x}(t) = \mathbf{z}(t) - \int_{t-\tau+\sigma}^{t} \mathbf{Q}(s) \mathbf{x}(s-\sigma) \, \mathrm{d}s \quad t \ge t_2$

> $z(t) + \int_{t-\tau+\sigma}^{t} Q(s_1)[z(s_1-\sigma) + \int_{s_1-\tau+\sigma}^{s_1} Q(s_2)x(s_2-\sigma)] ds = 0$ σ)ds₂]ds₁=x(t),

$$\geq t_2 + \sigma$$

Since $z'(t) \le 0$ we have

x (t) \geq z(t)+z($t-\sigma$) $\int_{t-\tau+\sigma}^{t} Q(s)$ ds $+ \int_{t-\tau+\sigma}^{t} Q(s_1) \int_{s_1-\tau+\sigma}^{s_1} Q(s_2-\sigma) x(s_2-2\sigma) \mathrm{d}s_2 \mathrm{d}s_1$ $z(t) \qquad [1+ \qquad \int_{t-\tau+\sigma}^{t} Q(s)$ \geq + $\int_{t-\tau+\sigma}^{t} Q(s_1) \int_{s_1-\tau+\sigma}^{s_1} Q(s_2-\sigma) x(s_2-\sigma) x(s_2$ ds] 2σ)ds₂ds₁ $\sigma x(s_2 - 2\sigma) ds_2 ds_1$ $\sigma x(s_2 - 2\sigma) \mathrm{d}s_2 \mathrm{d}s_1 \quad \text{for } t \ge t_2 + \sigma$

Repeating the above procedure for n-times, we have

 $z(t) \sum_{i=0}^{1} Q_i(t) + \int_{t-\tau+\sigma}^{t} Q(s_1) \dots \int_{s_{n-\tau+\sigma}}^{s_n} Q(s_{n+1} - n\sigma)$ $x(s_{n+1}-(n+1)\sigma)ds_{n+1}...ds_1 \le x(t)$ (or) $z(t) \sum_{i=0}^{1} Q_i(t) \le x(t) \text{ for } t \ge t_2 + n \sigma \quad -----(2.6)$ $z'(t) + \overline{\boldsymbol{P}(\boldsymbol{t})} x (t - \boldsymbol{\sigma}) = 0$ Since We have, $z'(t) + \overline{P(t)} \sum_{i=0}^{n} Q_i(t - \sigma) z(t - \tau) \le 0$, $t \ge 0$ t_2 +n σ + τ by considering (2.5) and (2.6) Hence proved

LEMMA: 2.2

Assume that all conditions of lemma (2.1) are held. Furthermore, assume that there exists an $n\epsilon$ N such that $\alpha(n) > 1/e$ ----- (2.7)

(Or)
$$\boldsymbol{\alpha}(n) \leq 1/e, \ \boldsymbol{\beta}(n) > 1-\frac{1-\boldsymbol{\alpha}(n)-\sqrt{1-2\boldsymbol{\alpha}(n)-\boldsymbol{\alpha}^{2}n}}{2}$$

(2.8) holds. Then every solution of (3) is oscillatory.

PROOF:

Assume for contrary that x(t) is an eventually positive solution of (2.1)

Then in the view of (2.7) and (2.8) z (t) in x (t) - $\int_{t-\tau+\sigma}^{t} Q(s) x(s-\sigma) ds$ cannot be an eventually positive solution of (2.2)

This contradiction completes the proof.

LEMMA: 2.3

Assume that x (t) is an eventually positive solution of (2.1) and $0 \le R(t) \le 1$ hold. Then for $n \epsilon N$, eventually positive z(t) in z(t)=x(t)-R(t)x(t- ρ) is a solution of the following inequality z'(t)+ p(t) $\sum_{i=0}^{n} R_i$ (t- τ) z(t- τ) ≤ 0 ------(2.9)

PROOF:

Assume that x (t) is an eventually positive solution of (2.1)

Then there exists $t_1 \le t_0 \ni \mathbf{x}$ (t) > 0 for t $\ge t_1 - \tau$

z (t)= x(t) -R(t) x(t- $\pmb{\rho}$) satisfies z'(t) \leq 0, 0 < z(t) We have

 $0 < z (t) \le x (t - \boldsymbol{\rho}) = x (t), \quad t \ge \boldsymbol{t_1} \quad (2.10)$ $z (t) + R(t) x(t - \boldsymbol{\rho}) = x(t), \quad t \ge \boldsymbol{t_1}$

We have

 $z(t)+R(t)[z(t-\rho)+R(t-\rho)x(t-2\rho)]=x(t), \quad t \ge t_1 + \rho$ and considering non-decreasing behaviour of z(t).

 $z(t)[1+R(t)]+R(t)R(t-\rho)x(t-2\rho) \le x(t), \qquad t \ge t_1 + \rho$

(i.e.) z (t) $\sum_{i=0}^{1} R_i$ (t) $+ R_2$ (t) x (t- 2ρ) \leq x (t), t $\geq t_1 + \rho$

Assume

 $z(t) \sum_{i=0}^{n} R_{i}(t) + R_{n+1}(t) x(t-(n+1)\rho) \leq x(t), \qquad t \geq t_{1} + n\rho$

Since $z'(t) + P(t) x(t-\tau) = 0$

We have

(Or)

 $z'(t) + P(t) \sum_{i=0}^{n} R_{i}(t-\tau) z(t-\tau) \le 0, \quad t \ge t_{1} + n\rho + \tau, n\epsilon$ N from (2.10)

Hence Proved

THEOREM: 2.1

Assume that conditions of lemma (2.1) are satisfied and Q(t) is a non-increasing function then if there exists $n \epsilon N$ such that

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \inf \int_{t-\tau}^t \overline{P}(s) \sum_{i=0}^n [Q(s-\tau)(t-\tau)]^i \, \mathrm{d}s > 1/e.$$

Then every solution of (2.1) is oscillatory.

PROOF:

Consider
$$Q_i$$
 (t) =

$$\begin{cases}
1 , i = 0 \\
\int_{t-\tau+s}^{t} Q(s)Q_{i-1}(s-\sigma)ds, \sigma, i \in N \\
Q_0(t)=1 \\
Q_1(t) = \int_{t-\tau+\sigma}^{t} Q(s)ds \\
\geq Q(t) (\tau - \sigma) \\
Q_2(t) = \int_{t-\tau+\sigma}^{t} Q(s)Q_1(s-\sigma)ds \\
\geq (t-\sigma) \int_{t-\tau+\sigma}^{t} Q(s)Q (s-\sigma)ds \\
\geq [Q(t)(\tau - \sigma)]^2 \\
Q_i(t) \geq [Q(t)(\tau - \sigma)]^i, i \in N \text{ for sufficiency}
\end{cases}$$

large t

Then $\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \ (\mathbf{n}) \geq \lim_{t \to \infty} \inf \int_{t-\tau}^{t} \overline{P} \ (\mathbf{s}) \sum_{i=0}^{n} [Q(\mathbf{s}-\tau)(\mathbf{t}-\tau)]^{i} \\ \mathrm{ds} \\ \mathrm{But} \ \boldsymbol{\alpha}(\mathbf{n}) > 1/e \quad (\mathrm{by} \ (2.7)) \\ (\mathrm{i.e.}) \ (\lim_{t \to \infty} \inf \int_{t-\tau}^{t} \overline{P} \ (\mathbf{s}) \sum_{i=0}^{n} [Q(\mathbf{s}-\tau)(\mathbf{t}-\tau)]^{i} \\ \mathrm{ds} > 1/e \\ \mathrm{Hence Proved} \end{aligned}$

407

THEOREM: 2.2

Assume that (2.2) holds. Then every solution of (2.1) is oscillatory.

PROOF:

First of all, we calculate Q_i (t) functions

Clearly $Q_0(t) = 1$ $\boldsymbol{Q}_{1}(t) = \int_{t-\tau+\sigma}^{t} \boldsymbol{q} \boldsymbol{Q}_{0}(s-\sigma) ds = q(\tau-\sigma)$ \boldsymbol{Q}_2 (t) = $\int_{t-\tau+\sigma}^{t} \boldsymbol{q} \boldsymbol{Q}_1$ (s- σ) ds = $[\boldsymbol{q}(\tau-\sigma)]^2$ $\therefore \quad \boldsymbol{Q}_{i}(\mathbf{t}) \geq [\mathbf{q}(\boldsymbol{\tau} - \boldsymbol{\sigma})]^{i}, \quad \mathbf{i} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{N}$

CASE: 1

$$\mathbf{q}(\boldsymbol{\tau}-\boldsymbol{\sigma}) < 1$$

In this case.

$$\alpha (\infty) = \lim_{t \to \infty} \inf \int_{t-\tau}^{t} (p-q) \sum_{i=0}^{n} [q(t-\tau)]^{i} ds$$
$$= \tau (p-q) \left[\frac{1}{1-q(\tau-\sigma)}\right]$$
$$\alpha(\infty) > 1/e \qquad (by 2.2)$$

And all solutions of (2.1) are oscillatory by theorem 2.1

CASE: 2

 $\mathbf{q}(\boldsymbol{t} - \boldsymbol{\sigma}) = 1$

In this case, $\alpha(\infty) = \infty > 1/e$

• Every solution of (2.1) is oscillatory

Hence proved.

THEOREM: 2.3

Assume that $0 \le r$ (t) ≤ 1 and $0 \le p, \rho, \tau$. If $\tau p > 1/e$ (1-r) ----- (2.12)

holds, Then every solution of $[x(t)-r x(t-\rho)]'+p x(t-\tau)=0$ is oscillatory.

PROOF:

We need to calculate R_i (t) functions

 $\boldsymbol{R_i}$ (t) = $\boldsymbol{r^i}$, t $\geq \boldsymbol{t_0}$ + i $\boldsymbol{\rho}$, i $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{N}$ CASE: 1 r < 1 Thus α (∞) = lim_{t \to \infty} inf $\int_{t-\tau}^{t} p \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} [r]^{i}$ ds $\alpha(\infty) = \frac{\tau \rho}{1-r}$ $= \frac{\tau \rho}{1-r} > 1/e \text{ (by 2.12)}$ $\therefore \alpha(\infty) > 1/e$

Every solution of (2.12) is oscillatory CASE: 2

Thus

 $\alpha(\infty) = \infty > 1/e$

Eq. (2.12) is oscillatory

Hence proved

III. REFERENCES

- [1] E.M.Elabbasy, T.S.Hassan, "Oscillation criteria for first order delay differential equations", J.30 (2004).
- [2] Kon .M, Sficas .Y.G, Stavroulakis. I.P.," Oscillation criteria for delay equations", Proc.Amer. Math.Soc, vol.128, (2000).
- [3] Bingtuan.Li, "Oscillation of first order delay differential equations", Proc.Amer.Math.Soc, vol.124, (1996).
- [4] Basak Karupz, Ozkan Ocalan, "Oscillation criteria for some classes of Linear delay differential equations of first order", Bulletin of the institute of mathematics, Vol.3 (2008).
- Elabbasy, A.S.Hegazi, [5] E .M. S.H.Saker., "Oscillation of solution to delay differential equations with positive and negative coefficients", Electronic Journal of Differential equations, (2000).