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In data mining in order to reduce the numerical computations associated to the repeated application of the existing interpolation formula in computing a large number of interpolated values, a formula has been derived from Newton's backward interpolation formula for representing the numerical data on a arbitrarily missing vales in database. A suit approach of the formula to numerical data has been shown in the case of representing the data on the dataset global carbon dioxide emissions from fossil fuel burning by Fuel Type corresponding as a method of time. The formula is suitable in the situation where the values of the argument are at equal interval.
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## I. INTRODUCTION

In the extraction of data, in the case of the interpolation by the existing formulas, and the value of the corresponding variable dependent on each value of the independent variable must be calculated again from the formula used putting in the value of the independent variable. It is true, interpolate the values of the corresponding variable dependent on an undetermined value of the independent variable by means of an existing interpolation form, if necessary to apply the formula for each separate value for, and, for the time being, the numerical value of the variable of
the dependent variable from personal data such as should be done in one of the casinos. To get rid of these repeated numerical calculations a part of the given data, if you can think of an approach that in the representation of the numerical data given for the salvation of lost values.

## II. A Suit Approach of Newton Backward Interpolation method

The proposed method is based on replacing missing attribute values by the artificially generated values. The proposed method is based on replacing missing
attribute values by the artificially generated values. This method is very much useful for numerical attributes. In general, this method is search of closest fit value which is very close to the true mean of the attribute and closest to the value of just preceding and succeeding value of the missing values. In the process of generation of closest fit values for missing value
place, therefore, here it is possible to randomly take values as a table value for the direct interpolation table. Now searches for cases lost in the attribute begin. The first case of missing value is indicated by the subscript $\mathrm{X}[\mathrm{I}]$, the search for the corresponding missing value of $\mathrm{Y}[\mathrm{I}]$ is given.

First the searches of missing case in the attribute get start. The first missing value case is pointed by the subscript of the attribute and denoted by the variable, Pred is denoted from X[I]-1 . Now take $\mathrm{X} 0, \mathrm{X} 1, \mathrm{X} 2, \mathrm{X} 3, \mathrm{X} 4, \mathrm{X} 5$ and their corresponding $\mathrm{Y} 0, \mathrm{Y} 1, \mathrm{Y} 2, \mathrm{Y} 3, \mathrm{Y} 4, \mathrm{Y} 5$ values from the database. here X 5 value is given but Y 5 is missing value. When search or scan pointer point out the empty subscript of the attribute, which is actually the missing values case in the attribute. The missing value case is pointed by the subscript of the attribute and is denoted by the variable. In this situation, the first subscript is given. We have to find empty or NULL values for Y corresponding to X . Now we have to point out on value which is corresponding value of Y in attribute X . Now, If ( $\mathrm{X}[\mathrm{I}]==\mathrm{NULL}$ ) then $w e$ have to record the Preceding value as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { Pred }=X[I]-1 \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots  \tag{2.1}\\
& \text { Here } H \text { is called the interval of difference }, H=X[2]-X[1] \tag{2.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Here $\mathrm{P}[\mathrm{I}]$ is a array that is used for missing value Y their corresponding X value minus predecessor of missing value in $\mathrm{X}[\mathrm{I}]$ so , $\mathrm{P}[\mathrm{I}]=(\mathrm{X}[\mathrm{I}]-\mathrm{X}[$ Pred $]) / \mathrm{H}$
At the next step make a pass and obtained the difference table. The differentiation's are $\mathrm{y} 1-\mathrm{y} 0$,
$\mathrm{y} 2-\mathrm{y} 1, \mathrm{y} 3-\mathrm{y} 2, \ldots \ldots$. yn $-\mathrm{yn}-1$ when denoted by dy1, dy2, dy3, ......, dyn are respectively, called the first backward differences. At next step repeat a loop for $\mathrm{J}=1$ to $\mathrm{J}<\mathrm{N}$ then, Repeat for $\mathrm{I}=0$ to $\mathrm{I}<(\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{J})$ then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Y}[\mathrm{I}][\mathrm{J}] \leftarrow \mathrm{Y}[\mathrm{I}+1][\mathrm{J}-1]-\mathrm{Y}[\mathrm{II}][\mathrm{J}-1] \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then make next iterations of I and J so , $\mathrm{I}=\mathrm{I}+1, \mathrm{~J}=\mathrm{J}+1$
At next step, Perform Missing value Recovery using backward interpolation method. Now initialize temp variable for predecessor data.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Temp } \leftarrow \text { Pred } \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now apply a Suit Approach of Newton Backward Interpolation method

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{Y}[\mathrm{i}]= & \mathrm{Y}[\mathrm{I}][\mathrm{J}]+\left(\mathrm{P}[\mathrm{I}]^{*} \mathrm{Y}[\text { temp }][\mathrm{J}-1]\right)+\left(((\mathrm{P}[\mathrm{I}] * \mathrm{P}[\mathrm{I}]-1)(\mathrm{Y}[\text { temp }][\mathrm{J}-2])) /\left(2^{*} 1\right)\right)+ \\
& \left(\left(\left(\mathrm{P}[\mathrm{I}] * \mathrm{P}[\mathrm{I}]-1^{*} \mathrm{P}[\mathrm{I}]-2\right)(\mathrm{Y}[\text { temp }][\mathrm{J}-3])\right) /\left(3^{*} 2^{*} 1\right)\right)+ \\
& \left(\left(\left(\mathrm{P}[\mathrm{I}]{ }^{*} \mathrm{P}[\mathrm{I}]-1^{*} \mathrm{P}[\mathrm{I}]-2^{*} \mathrm{P}[\mathrm{I}]-3\right)(\mathrm{Y}[\text { temp }][\mathrm{J}-4])\right) /\left(4^{*} 3^{*} 2^{*} 1\right)\right) \tag{2.6}
\end{align*}
$$

Display the Y value for the corresponding missing value for X .

The proposed method is based on the replacement of the missing random values for the values generated by an application of Newton Backward Interpolation method. This method is very useful for numeric attributes. In general, this method is the search for randomly missing values that is very close to the real mean of the attribute and closer to the value than the original value of missing values. The below table shows the overall idea of backward difference table.

Table . 1 Backward Difference table for calculating the Y values of the corresponding X values using formula.

| X | Y | $\nabla \mathrm{Y}$ | $\nabla^{2} \mathrm{Y}$ | $\nabla^{3} \mathrm{Y}$ | $\nabla^{4} \mathrm{Y}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{X}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{Y}_{0}$ |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{X}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{Y}_{1}$ | $\nabla \mathrm{Y}_{1}$ |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{X}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{Y}_{2}$ | $\nabla \mathrm{Y}_{2}$ | $\nabla^{2} \mathrm{Y}_{2}$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{X}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{Y}_{3}$ | $\nabla \mathrm{Y}_{3}$ | $\nabla^{2} \mathrm{Y}_{3}$ | $\nabla^{3} \mathrm{Y}_{3}$ |  |
| $\mathrm{X}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{Y}_{4}$ | $\nabla \mathrm{Y}_{4}$ | $\nabla^{2} \mathrm{Y}_{4}$ | $\nabla^{3} \mathrm{Y}_{4}$ | $\nabla^{4} \mathrm{Y}_{4}$ |

## III. A Suit Approach for Newton Backward Interpolation method algorithm

The intended method is based on replacing missing attribute values by an applied Newton Raphson method. This method is very much helpful for numerical attributes. In general, this method is search of missing values and after searching its value is replaced by recovered value of the attribute in arbitrarily missing database.
Introduction: Given an array X and Y are of size $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}=50$, this procedure replaces the missing values with the recovered data from data set. Here Prev is the predecessor of the missing data. Here two arrays are taken first is $\mathrm{X}[\mathrm{I}]$ and $\mathrm{Y}[\mathrm{I}][\mathrm{J}]$ is two dimension array which is used for storing differences of table. The variable I is used to index elements from 1 to N in a given data. The variable J is used to index column elements from 1 to N in a given data Following are the steps of the algorithm in detail:
Step 1: Select a dataset on which Missing values recovery is to be performed from the database.
Step 2: [Initialize the variables]
$\mathrm{I} \leftarrow$ NULL, $\mathrm{J} \leftarrow$ NULL, $\mathrm{N} \leqslant 50, \mathrm{H} \leftarrow \mathrm{NULL}, \mathrm{P}[\mathrm{i}]=\mathrm{NULL}$, Prev $\leqslant \mathrm{NULL}$, temp $\leftarrow$ NULL.
Step 3: [Create a loop for N passes]
Repeat for $\mathrm{I}=0$ to $\mathrm{I}<\mathrm{N}$.
Read X [I] and Y [I][0].
If $(\mathrm{X}[\mathrm{I}]==\mathrm{NULL})$ then Pred $=\mathrm{X}[\mathrm{I}]-1 \quad / /$ Predecessor value of missing value.
And H = X [2] - X [1] // Interval of successor and Predecessor value
$\mathrm{P}[\mathrm{I}]=(\mathrm{X}[\mathrm{I}]-\mathrm{X}[$ Pred $]) / \mathrm{H} / /$ difference of $\mathrm{X}[\mathrm{I}]$ of missing data and predecessor value.
Step 4: [Make a Pass and Obtained difference table]
Repeat for $\mathrm{J}=1$ to $\mathrm{J}<\mathrm{N}$ then
Repeat for $\mathrm{I}=0$ to $\mathrm{I}<(\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{J})$ then

$$
\mathrm{Y}[\mathrm{I}][\mathrm{J}] \leftarrow \mathrm{Y}[\mathrm{I}+1][\mathrm{J}-1]-\mathrm{Y}[\mathrm{I}][\mathrm{J}-1] \text { then } \mathrm{I} \leftarrow \mathrm{I}+1, \mathrm{~J} \leftarrow \mathrm{~J}+1
$$

Step 5: [Display Backward difference table]
Repeat for $\mathrm{I}=0$ to $\mathrm{I}<\mathrm{N}$ then
Print X[I] and I $\leftarrow \mathrm{I}+1$
Repeat for $\mathrm{J}=0$ to $\mathrm{J}<(\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{J})$ then
Print $\mathrm{Y}[\mathrm{I}][\mathrm{J}]$ and $\mathrm{J}=\mathrm{J}+1$
Step 6: [Perform Missing value Recovery using backward interpolation method]
$\mathrm{Y}[\mathrm{i}] \leftarrow \mathrm{Y}[\mathrm{I}][\mathrm{J}]+\left(\mathrm{P}[\mathrm{I}]^{*} \mathrm{Y}[\right.$ temp $\left.][\mathrm{J}-1]\right)+\left(((\mathrm{P}[\mathrm{I}] * \mathrm{P}[\mathrm{I}]-1)(\mathrm{Y}[\right.$ temp $\left.][\mathrm{J}-2])) /\left(2^{*} 1\right)\right)+$
$\left(\left(\left(\mathrm{P}[\mathrm{I}] * \mathrm{P}[\mathrm{I}]-1^{*} \mathrm{P}[\mathrm{I}]-2\right)(\mathrm{Y}[\right.\right.$ temp $\left.\left.][\mathrm{J}-3])\right) /\left(3^{*} 2^{*} 1\right)\right)+$
$\left(\left(\left(\mathrm{P}[\mathrm{I}]{ }^{*} \mathrm{P}[\mathrm{I}]-1{ }^{*} \mathrm{P}[\mathrm{I}]-2{ }^{*} \mathrm{P}[\mathrm{I}]-3\right)(\mathrm{Y}[\right.\right.$ temp $\left.\left.][\mathrm{J}-4])\right) /\left(4^{*} 3^{*} 2^{*} 1\right)\right)$
Step 7: [Display the Y value for the corresponding missing value for X ]

## Print $\mathrm{Y}[\mathrm{i}]$

Step 8: Finished.

## Stop.

## IV. Discussion of Results

Measure of central tendency (mean): Table-1 shows the global carbon dioxide emissions from fossil fuel burning by fuel type coal, oil and natural gas from 1960-2009. The mean of global carbon dioxide emissions due to coal, oil and natural gas are 2109, 2262 and 879 respectively. After missing values at the randomly, the mean calculated from incomplete data sets are 2,106 for coal, 2,280 for oil and 886 for natural gas.

The proposed ratio based approach method is applied on the data sets of Table 1 to fill up the missing values. It is observed that mean values of coal, oil and natural gas are $2,100,2,246$ and 866 respectively. It is considerable that the mean values obtained after replacing the missing values by the proposed approach very close to the actual mean as given.
Standard Deviation: From the analysis of result of standard deviation it is found that after estimation of missing values, the values of standard deviation obtained are very similar to the standard deviation of standard dataset. On the basis of result we can say that proposed algorithm is appropriate for missing values estimation and recovery.
Coefficient of Variation: From the analysis of result of co-efficient of variation (CV) it is found that, after estimation of missing values, the values of co-efficient of variation is not significantly change or slightly decline which shows that the series is uniform now.
Analysis of Variance: We wish to test the hypothesis
$\mathrm{H} 0: \mu 1=\mu 2=\mu 3$ against the alternative
H 1 : at least two $\mu$ 's are different (i.e. at least one of the equalities does not hold).
For testing this hypothesis we setup the following analysis of variance for all the variables:

## One Way ANOVA (COAL)

ANOVA

| Source of Variation | SS | df | MS | $F$ | $P$-value | Fcrit |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Between Groups | 2153.033 | 2 | 1076.517 | 0.003231 | 0.996774 | 3.060292 |
| Within Groups | 46981137 | 141 | 333199.6 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 46983290 | 143 |  |  |  |  |

Table 1 Value :- $F(2,141)$ at $5 \%$ Level of Significance $=3.0718,1 \%$ Level of Significance $=$ 4.7865,

## One Way ANOVA (OIL)

| ANOVA |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Source of Variation | SS | $d f$ | MS | $F$ | $P$-value | F crit |
| Between Groups | 26340.47 | 2 | 13170.24 | 0.032878 | 0.967664 | 3.060292 |
| Within Groups | 56481620 | 141 | 400578.9 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 56507961 | 143 |  |  |  |  |

Table 2 Value :- F $(2,141)$ at $5 \%$ Level of Significance $=3.0718,1 \%$ Level of Significance $=4.7865$,
One Way ANOVA (NATURAL GAS)

| Source of Variation | SS | $d f$ | MS | $F$ | $P$-value | F crit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Between Groups | 9139.001 | 2 | 4569.5 | 0.027803 | 0.972585 | 3.060292 |
| Within Groups | 23173403 | 141 | 164350.4 |  |  |  |
| Total | 23182542 | 143 |  |  |  |  |

Table 3 Value :- $F(2,141)$ at $5 \%$ Level of Significance $=3.0718,1 \%$ Level of Significance $=$ 4.7865,

Decision and Conclusion: Since F (Calculated) < 3.0781 so accept H0 at $5 \%$ level of significance and Hence conclude that there is no significant difference among groups of Coal, Oil and Gas regarding Mean value.

Table 4. Table for A Suit Approach of Newton backward Interpolation method for Arbitarily missing values of data. Dataset Global Carbon Dioxide Emissions from Fossil Fuel Burning by Fuel Type, 1960-2009 (In Million Tones of Carbon Missing).

Standard Data
Missing Values
Recovered Values

| S. <br> $\mathbf{N}$ | YEAR | COAL | OIL | NATURL <br> GAS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Million Tons of Carbon |  |  |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 6 0}$ | 1,410 | 849 | 235 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 6 1}$ | 1349 | 904 | 254 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 6 2}$ | 1351 | 980 | 277 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 6 3}$ | 1396 | 1,052 | 300 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 6 4}$ | 1435 | 1,137 | 328 |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 6 5}$ | 1460 | 1,219 | 351 |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 6 6}$ | 1478 | 1,323 | 380 |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 6 7}$ | 1448 | 1,423 | 410 |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 6 8}$ | 1448 | 1,551 | 446 |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 6 9}$ | 1486 | 1,673 | 487 |
| $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 7 0}$ | 1556 | 1,839 | 516 |
| $\mathbf{1 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 7 1}$ | 1559 | 1,946 | 554 |
| $\mathbf{1 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 7 2}$ | 1576 | 2,055 | 583 |


| COAL | OIL | NATURAL <br> GAS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Million Tons of Carbon |  |  |
| 1,410 | 849 | 235 |
| 1349 | 904 | 254 |
| 1351 | 980 | 277 |
| 1396 | 1,052 | 300 |
| 1435 | 1,137 | 328 |
| 1460 |  | 351 |
| 1478 | 1,323 | 380 |
| 1448 | 1,423 | 410 |
| 1448 | 1,551 |  |
| 1486 | 1,673 | 487 |
| 1556 | 1,839 | 516 |
| 1559 |  | 554 |
|  | 2,055 | 583 |


| COAL | OIL | NATURAL <br> GAS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Million Tons of Carbon |  |  |
| 1,410 | 849 | 235 |
| 1349 | 904 | 254 |
| 1351 | 980 | 277 |
| 1396 | 1,052 | 300 |
| 1435 | 1,137 | 328 |
| 1460 | $\underline{\mathbf{9 3 4}}$ | 351 |
| 1478 | 1,323 | 380 |
| 1448 | 1,423 | 410 |
| 1448 | 1,551 | $\underline{330}$ |
| 1486 | 1,673 | 487 |
| 1556 | 1,839 | 516 |
| 1559 | $\underline{\mathbf{1 4 8 9}}$ | 554 |
| $\mathbf{1 4 5 1}$ | 2,055 | 583 |


| 14 | 1973 | 1581 | 2,240 | 608 | 1581 | 2,240 | 608 | 1581 | 2,240 | 608 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15 | 1974 | 1579 | 2,244 | 618 | 1579 | 2,244 |  | 1579 | 2,244 | 512 |
| 16 | 1975 | 1673 | 2,131 | 623 | 1673 | 2,131 | 623 | 1673 | 2,131 | 623 |
| 17 | 1976 | 1710 | 2,313 | 650 | 1710 | 2,313 | 650 | 1710 | 2,313 | 650 |
| 18 | 1977 | 1766 | 2,395 | 649 | 1766 |  | 649 | 1766 | $\underline{2237}$ | 649 |
| 19 | 1978 | 1793 | 2,392 | 677 |  | 2,392 | 677 | 1637 | 2,392 | 677 |
| 20 | 1979 | 1887 | 2,544 | 719 | 1887 | 2,544 | 719 | 1887 | 2,544 | 719 |
| 21 | 1980 | 1947 | 2,422 | 740 | 1947 | 2,422 |  | 1947 | 2,422 | 665 |
| 22 | 1981 | 1921 | 2,289 | 756 | 1921 | 2,289 | 756 | 1921 | 2,289 | 756 |
| 23 | 1982 | 1992 | 2,196 | 746 | 1992 | 2,196 | 746 | 1992 | 2,196 | 746 |
| 24 | 1983 | 1995 | 2,177 | 745 | 1995 |  | 745 | 1995 | 2300 | 745 |
| 25 | 1984 | 2094 | 2,202 | 808 |  | 2,202 | 808 | 1960 | 2,202 | 808 |
| 26 | 1985 | 2237 | 2,182 | 836 | 2237 | 2,182 | 836 | 2237 | 2,182 | 836 |
| 27 | 1986 | 2300 | 2,290 | 830 | 2300 | 2,290 |  | 2300 | 2,290 | 784 |
| 28 | 1987 | 2364 | 2,302 | 893 | 2364 | 2,302 | 893 | 2364 | 2,302 | 893 |
| 29 | 1988 | 2414 | 2,408 | 936 | 2414 | 2,408 | 936 | 2414 | 2,408 | 936 |
| 30 | 1989 | 2457 | 2,455 | 972 | 2457 |  | 972 | 2457 | $\underline{2455}$ | 972 |
| 31 | 1990 | 2409 | 2,517 | 1,026 |  | 2,517 | 1,026 | 2280 | 2,517 | 1,026 |
| 32 | 1991 | 2341 | 2,627 | 1,069 | 2341 | 2,627 | 1,069 | 2341 | 2,627 | 1,069 |
| 33 | 1992 | 2318 | 2,506 | 1,101 | 2318 | 2,506 |  | 2318 | 2,506 | 936 |
| 34 | 1993 | 2,265 | 2,537 | 1,119 | 2,265 | 2,537 | 1,119 | 2,265 | 2,537 | 1,119 |
| 35 | 1994 | 2,331 | 2,562 | 1,132 | 2,331 | 2,562 | 1,132 | 2,331 | 2,562 | 1,132 |
| 36 | 1995 | 2,414 | 2,586 | 1,153 | 2,414 |  | 1,153 | 2,414 | 2586 | 1,153 |
| 37 | 1996 | 2,451 | 2,624 | 1,208 |  | 2,624 | 1,208 | 2424 | 2,624 | 1,208 |
| 38 | 1997 | 2,480 | 2,707 | 1,211 | 2,480 | 2,707 | 1,211 | 2,480 | 2,707 | 1,211 |
| 39 | 1998 | 2,376 | 2,763 | 1,245 | 2,376 | 2,763 |  | 2,376 | 2,763 | 1122 |
| 40 | 1999 | 2,329 | 2,716 | 1,272 | 2,329 | 2,716 | 1,272 | 2,329 | 2,716 | 1,272 |
| 41 | 2000 | 2,342 | 2,831 | 1,291 | 2,342 | 2,831 | 1,291 | 2,342 | 2,831 | 1,291 |
| 42 | 2001 | 2,460 | 2,842 | 1,314 | 2,460 | 2,842 | 1,314 | 2,460 | 2,842 | 1,314 |
| 43 | 2002 | 2,487 | 2,819 | 1,349 |  | 2,819 | 1,349 | 2598 | 2,819 | 1,349 |
| 44 | 2003 | 2,638 | 2,928 | 1,399 | 2,638 | 2,928 | 1,399 | 2,638 | 2,928 | 1,399 |
| 45 | 2004 | 2,850 | 3,032 | 1,436 | 2,850 | 3,032 | 1,436 | 2,850 | 3,032 | 1,436 |
| 46 | 2005 | 3,032 | 3,079 | 1,479 | 3,032 | 3,079 | 1,479 | 3,032 | 3,079 | 1,479 |
| 47 | 2006 | 3,193 | 3,092 | 1,527 | 3,193 | 3,092 | 1,527 | 3,193 | 3,092 | 1,527 |
| 48 | 2007 | 3,295 | 3,087 | 1,551 | 3,295 | 3,087 | 1,551 | 3,295 | 3,087 | 1,551 |
| 49 | 2008 | 3,401 | 3,079 | 1,589 | 3,401 | 3,079 | 1,589 | 3,401 | 3,079 | 1,589 |
| 50 | 2009 | 3,393 | 3,019 | 1,552 | 3,393 | 3,019 | 1,552 | 3,393 | 3,019 | 1,552 |
|  | MEAN | 2,109 | 2,262 | 879 | 2,106 | 2,280 | 886 | 2,100 | 2,246 | 866 |
|  | S.D | 567.89 | 621.13 | 400.27 | 591.97 | 638.59 | 414.50 | 573.39 | 639.55 | 402.42 |
|  | C.V | 0.27 | 0.27 | 0.46 | 0.28 | 0.28 | 0.47 | 0.27 | 0.28 | 0.46 |

## V. CONCLUSION

In general, there is no universal and absolute technique for managing the values of missing attributes. The closest fitting method proposed is useful for the numerical attribute, with a deviation lower than the
average. This is the best way to recover arbitrarily missing values from the database. Accordingly, it is noted that the techniques for managing the values of missing attributes must be chosen individually or according to the nature and type of data.
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