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#### Abstract

There are two reasons for this research, the first which is the main was to clarify the use of a closed quadrature formulas included (Trapizoidal , Simpson's $1 / 3$ rule and Simpson's $3 / 8$ rule )which are the most familiar formula of numerical integration ,to evaluating the integral part to find the approximate solution of the 2nd kind of FIDE's of the 1st order and reducing it to linear system of ( n ) equation with $n$ unknowns of the solution sample value $y(t i), i=0,1,2,3, \ldots, n$.The other reason was to explain the differences between three Quadrature formulas in solving equation according to the specified period , has been clarified through examples. Finally, Acomparison was made between the three methods ,programs for methods were written in MATLAB language and examples with satisfactory results are given .
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## I. INTRODUCTION

Integro differential equations plays a very important role in modern science and technology applications such as heat transfer equ.,diffusion processes neutron diffusion and biological speices which are an essential elements in biology ,problems of mathematical physics ,theory of elasticity , and engineering applications. [1, 2] quadrature formulas are an extremely useful and straightforward family of numerical integration techniques used by engineers and scientists to find approximate solution for definite
integral equation that can't be solved analytically. The simplicity of these methods makes it perfect for many applications which leads some researchers to relied on quadrature formula in their research as a basis to solve integral and integro differential equation such as Saadati and Raftari(2008),used trapezoidal role to solve integro differential equation , Elayaraja and Jumat (2012) apply iterative method namely Half-Sweep Gauss-Seidel (HSGS) method for solving high order closed repeated Newton-Cotes (CRNC) quadrature approximation equations associated with numerical solution of LFIDE's . Two different order of CRNC i.e.
repeated Simpson's $1 / 3$ and Simpson's 3/8, Pramod(2015) introduced Simpson's rule to approximate linear FIDE's , Bashir and Sirajo introduced $1 / 3$ Simpson's approach to solve linear FIDE's. in this research, we used this feature to evaluate the integrated part of our problem in three methods to reduce our problem to linear system and then solve it by Gauss elimination method, we also made a comparison between these method to find the appropriate method that achieves better results.

The previous technique applied to evaluate the approximate solution for linear Fredholm integro $y^{\prime}(x)+P(x) y(x)=g(x)+\int_{a}^{b} k(x, t) y(t) d t, t \in I=[a, b]$. diff.equ. of the 2nd kind has the form:-

## II. Classification of Fredholm Integro Diff.equ. 2nd kind

Integro -differential equation is an equation involving one or more unknown function ,together with both differential and integral operations on $t$.the general $\mathrm{n}^{\text {th }}$ order of IDE form is:
$y^{(n)}(x)+\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} P_{i}(x) y^{(i)}(x)=g(x)+\lambda \int_{a}^{t} k(x, t) y(t) d t$

Where, $g(x), P_{i}(x)(i=0,1, \ldots, n-1)$ are continues on $I$, and denotes a function of two variables x and t called the kernel , a and b are the limits of integration that may be both variables, constant or mixed $P(x), g(x), k(x, t)$ and functions are known $y(x)$ is the unknown function that appears under and outside integral sign, $y^{\prime}(x)$ is the derivative of $y(x)$ will be determined [7].

## III. Definition of Quadrature Rule:

A quadrature rule is a generic name given to any numerical approximation method of definite integral of a function, usually stated as a weight sum of
function values at specified points within the domain of integration ,in other words to integrate a function $f(x)$ over some interval [a, b],dived it into n equal parts i.e $f_{n}=f\left(x_{n}\right)$ and $h=\frac{b-a}{n}$, then find polynomials that approxima
te the tabulated function , and integrate them to approximate the area under the curve, in order to find the fitting polynomials implement Lagrange interpolating polynomial. The resulting formulas called Newton -Cotes formula or ( $\mathrm{n}+1$ )-point quadrature basic formulas which has the form: [8]
$\int_{a}^{b} f(x) d t=\sum_{i=0}^{n} W_{i} f\left(x_{i}\right)+E_{n}(f)$.

Where $x_{i}(i=0,1,2 \ldots, n)$ are the integration nodes which are lying in the interval $[\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}]$ and $W_{i}(i=0,1,2, \ldots, n)$ are constants which are called quadrature weights, with $E_{n}(f)$ approximation error .

## IV. The Open and Closed Newton Cote's Method

There are two classes of newton cotes quadrature method

1) If the interval [a, b] included on the fit i.e $x_{0}=a$ and $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{b}$,it called "closed" and for closed formula $\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{a}+$ ih, $h=b-a / n$.
2) If they do not use function values on endpoints ,i.e. $\mathrm{x} 0>\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{n}}<\mathrm{b}$,it called "open" and for open formula $x i=a+(i+1) h, h=b-a / n+2$. [4]

## Theorem 3-1 (closed Newton Cotes Quadrature Formula) [9]

Assume that $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}=\mathrm{x} 0+\mathrm{ih}$ are equally spaced nodes and $f_{i}=f\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$ then the first three Closed Newton Cote's Quadrature Formulas are:
$\int_{x_{0}}^{x_{1}} f(x) d x \approx \frac{h}{2}\left(f_{0}+f_{1}\right) \ldots \ldots .$.
(trapezoidal rule)

$$
\int_{x_{0}}^{x_{2}} f(x) d x \approx \frac{h}{3}\left(f_{0}+4 f_{1}+f_{2}\right) \ldots \ldots \text {.(5) }
$$

(Simpson's1/3 rule)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{x_{0}}^{x_{3}} f(x) d x \approx \frac{3 h}{8}\left(f_{0}+3 f_{1}+3 f_{2}+f_{3}\right) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

(Simpson's $3 / 8$ rule

## Corollary 3-2 :( Newton-Cotes Quadrature

## Precision)[10]

Assume that $f(\mathrm{x})$ is sufficiently differentiable; then $E[f]$ for Newton-Cotes Quadrature involves an appropriate higher derivatives.

Trapizoidal rule has a degree of precision $\mathrm{m}=1$, if $f \in$ $C^{2}[a, b]$,then

## V. METHODS AND MATERIAL

$\int_{x_{0}}^{x_{1}} f(x) d x \approx \frac{h}{2}\left(f_{0}+f_{1}\right)-\frac{h^{3}}{12} f^{2}(c)$.

1) Simpson's $1 / 3$ rule has a degree of precision $\mathrm{m}=3$,if $f \in \mathrm{C}^{4}[\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}]$,then
$\int_{x_{0}}^{x_{2}} f(x) d x \approx \frac{h}{3}\left(f_{0}+4 f_{1}+f_{2}\right)-\frac{h^{5}}{90} \cdot f^{4}(c)$.
2) Simpson's $3 / 8$ rule has a degree of precision $m=3$,if $f \in C^{4}[a, b]$,then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{x_{0}}^{x_{3}} f(x) d x \approx \frac{3 h}{8}\left(f_{0}+3 f_{1}+3 f_{2}+f_{3}\right)-\frac{3 h^{5}}{80} \cdot f^{4}(c) . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Numerical solution of FIDEs using Quadratures Rule

 :-In this section ,Closed Newton Cote's quadrature formulas are applied to find the approximate solution of $2^{\text {nd }}$ order linear FIDE in equation (1), with the initial condition $y(a)=y_{0}$

Now,we defin n as a finite points of the interval [a,b] of equ(1) where $a=t_{0}<t_{1}<t_{2}, \ldots<t_{n-1}<t_{n}=b$, with uniform step length $\mathrm{h}=\mathrm{b}-\mathrm{a} / \mathrm{n}$, such that $t_{j}=a+j^{*} h, \mathrm{j}=0,1,2, \ldots, \mathrm{n}$, we set $x_{i}=t_{i}, \mathrm{i}=0,1,2, \ldots, \mathrm{n}$ $y^{\prime}\left(t_{i}\right)=y_{i}^{\prime}, P\left(x_{i}\right)=P_{i}, g\left(x_{i}\right)=g_{i}, y\left(x_{i}\right)=y_{i}$ and $k\left(x_{i}, t_{j}\right)=k_{i j} \quad$,

## A. Using Trapizoidal Rula

In order to applied trapezoidal rule with n-subintervals to find the approximate solution of eq(1), we have

$$
y^{\prime}(x)+P_{i} y_{i}=g_{i}+\frac{h}{2}\left[k_{i 0} y_{0}+2 k_{i 1} y_{1}+\ldots+2 k_{i j-1} y_{i-1}+k_{i j} y_{i}\right] .
$$

Since

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime}\left(t_{i}\right)=\frac{y_{i}(x)-y_{i-1}(x)}{h} . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substitute equ(11)in equ(10) we have,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1+h P_{i}-\frac{h^{2}}{2} k_{i j}\right) y_{i}-y_{i-1}=h g_{i}+\frac{h^{2}}{2}\left[k_{i 0} y_{0}+2 k_{i 1} y_{1}+\ldots+2 k_{i j-1} y_{i-1}\right] \ldots \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

From equ(12) we can generate a systems of equations for $y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{n}$

For $\mathrm{ij}=1$ substituting in equation (12), get
$\cdots\left(1+h P_{i}-\frac{(8)^{2}}{2} k_{11}\right) y_{1}-y_{0}=h g_{1}+\frac{h^{2}}{2}\left[k_{10} y_{0}\right]$
$\left(1+h P_{i}-\frac{h^{2}}{2} k_{11}\right) y_{1}=h g_{1}+\frac{h^{2}}{2}\left[k_{10} y_{0}\right]+y_{0} \ldots .$.
For $\mathrm{i}=2$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(1+h P_{2}-\frac{h^{2}}{2} k_{22}\right) y_{2}-y_{1}=h g_{2}+\frac{h^{2}}{2}\left[k_{20} y_{0}+2 k_{21} y_{1}\right] \\
& \left(1+h P_{2}-\frac{h^{2}}{2} k_{22}\right) y_{2}-\left(1+h^{2} k_{21}\right) y_{1}=h g_{2}+\frac{h^{2}}{2} k_{20} y_{0} \ldots . . \text { (1 } \tag{1.4}
\end{align*}
$$

For i=3
$\left(1+h P_{3}-\frac{h^{2}}{2} k_{33}\right) y_{3}-y_{2}=h g_{3}+\frac{h^{2}}{2}\left[k_{30} y_{0}+2 k_{31} y_{1}+\ldots+2 k_{32} y_{2}\right]$
$\left(1+h P_{3}-\frac{h^{2}}{2} k_{33}\right) y_{3}-\left(1+h^{2} k_{32}\right) y_{2}-h^{2} k_{31}=h g_{3}+\frac{h^{2}}{2} k_{30} y_{0}$
And so on ...
which we can be represent in a matrix form : $A y=B$.

Where $A$ is $\mathrm{n}^{\times} \mathrm{n}$ matrix:
$a_{i j}= \begin{cases}1+h p_{i}-\frac{h^{2}}{2} k_{i j}, & \text { If } \quad i=j \text { and } j \neq n \\ -1-h^{2} k_{i j} & \text { If } j-i=-1 \\ -h^{2} k_{i j} & \text { If } i>j \\ -h^{2} k_{i j} & \text { If } i<j \\ 1+h p_{i}-\frac{h^{2}}{2} k_{i j} & \text { If } i=j \text { and } j=n\end{cases}$
And
$b_{i}= \begin{cases}y_{0}+h g_{i}+\frac{h^{2}}{2} k_{i 0} y_{0}, & \text { for } i=1 \\ h g_{i}+\frac{h^{2}}{2} k_{i 0} y_{0} & \text { for } i \neq 1\end{cases}$

Finally, Gauss- Elemination procedure is used to solve the system of equ(16) for each value of $i=1,2, \ldots, n$ to find $y_{i}$, which is the approximate solution of equ(1).

## B. Using Simpson's $1 / 3$ Rula

A composite simpson's $1 / 3$ rule is used with $n$ subintervals to approximate integrals in equ(1), with $\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i}}, \mathrm{i}=1,2, \ldots, \mathrm{n}$, give as the form
$y^{\prime}(x)+P_{i} y_{i}=g_{i}+\frac{h}{3}\left[k_{0} y_{0}+4 k_{k_{1}} y_{1}+2 k_{2} y_{2}+\ldots+2 k_{i-2} y_{i-2}+4 k_{i-1-1} y_{i-1}+k_{i b} y_{i}\right]$
$\left(1+h P_{i}-\frac{h^{2}}{3} k_{v}\right) y_{t}-y_{y_{t-1}}=h g_{t}+\frac{h^{2}}{3}\left[k_{a} y_{0}+4 k_{4} y_{1}+2 k_{i 2} y_{2}+4 k_{3} y_{3}+\ldots+2 k_{k-2} y_{k-2}+4 k_{b-1} y_{k_{-1}}\right] \quad$ (18)
And by susitute $y^{\prime}\left(t_{i}\right)=\frac{y_{i}(x)-y_{i-1}(x)}{h}$. in equ(17) yields
if $i$ is even
$\left(1+h p_{i}-\frac{h^{2}}{3} k_{j l} y_{i}-y_{l-1}=\lg _{i}+\frac{h^{2}}{3}\left[k_{0} y_{0}+4 k_{n} y_{1}+2 k_{i 2} y_{2}+4 k_{y_{3}} y_{3}+\ldots+2 k_{j-2} y_{l-2}+4 k_{j-1} y_{l-1}\right] \quad\right.$ (19)
if i is odd, then we have acombination between trapezoidal and simpson's $1 / 3$ rule


Which give as asystem of $n$ equations of $y_{i}$, that represents the approximate solution of equation(1) at $x_{i}=a+i h, i=1,2, \ldots, n$, that is in matrix form: $A Y=B$
which gives as a system forms: $A y=B$
$\qquad$

$$
a_{i j}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
1+h p_{i}-\frac{h^{2}}{2} \quad \text { If } i=j \quad, i=1 \\
-1-\frac{4}{3} h^{2} k_{i j} \text { If } j-i=-1 \\
1+h p_{i}-\frac{h^{2}}{3} \text { If } i=j \quad, i>1 \\
-\frac{5}{6} h^{2} k_{i j} \quad \text { If } j=1 \text { and } I \text { is } \\
-\frac{4}{3} h^{2} k_{i j} \text { If } i>j \text { and } i-j=3 \\
-\frac{2}{3} h^{2} k_{i j} \text { If } i>j \text { and } i-j=2
\end{array}\right.
$$

$$
b_{i}= \begin{cases}y_{0}+h g_{i}+\frac{h^{2}}{2} k_{l} & \text { for } i=1 \\ h g_{i}+\frac{h^{2}}{2} k_{i 0} y_{0} & \text { for I is odd } \\ h g_{i}+\frac{h^{2}}{3} k_{i 0} y_{0} & \text { for I is even }\end{cases}
$$

## C. Using Simpson's $3 / 8$ Rules

Now a combination of $3 / 8$ Simpso's rules in equation (6) was applied to find the approximate solution of equ(1

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime}(x)+P_{i} y_{i}=g_{i}+\frac{3 h}{8}\left[k_{i 0} y_{0}+3 k_{i 1} y_{1}+3 k_{i 2} y_{2}+\ldots+3 k_{i i-1} y_{i-1}+k_{i t} y_{i}\right] \ldots \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting eau(11) in equ(21), we obtain

$$
\left(1+h P_{i}-\frac{3 h^{2}}{8} k_{i i}\right) y_{i}-y_{i-1}=h g_{i}+\frac{3 h^{2}}{8}\left[k_{i 0} y_{0}+3 k_{i 1} y_{1}+3 k_{i 2} y_{2}+\ldots+3 k_{i t-1} y_{i-1}\right] \ldots \ldots . . \text { (22) }
$$

Simpson's $3 / 8$ method depends on the number of subintervals $n$ are a multiple of three which give us three cases ,a first case if $n$ divided by 3 and reminder is 0 term (denoted by $\operatorname{rem}(n, 3)=0)$ we have
$\left(1+h P_{i}-\frac{3 h^{2}}{8} k_{i i}\right) y_{i}-y_{i-1}=h g_{i}+h^{2}\left[\frac{1}{2} k_{i 0} y_{0}+\frac{7}{8} k_{i i} y_{1}+\frac{9}{8} k_{i 2} y_{2}+\ldots+\frac{9}{8} k_{i-1} y_{i-1}\right] \ldots \ldots(2+\cdots$

The second case when $n$ divided by 3 reminder 1 term (denoted by $\operatorname{rem}(\mathrm{n}, 3)=1$ ) then we get a combination betwwen trapezoidal and simpson's $3 / 8$ rules
$\left(1+h P_{i}-\frac{3 h^{2}}{8} k_{i t}\right) y_{i}-y_{i-1}=h g_{i}+h^{2}\left[\frac{1}{2} k_{i 0} y_{0}+\frac{7}{8} k_{i-1} y_{1}+\frac{9}{8} k_{i 2} y_{2}+\ldots+\frac{9}{8} k_{i-1} y_{i-1}\right] \ldots \ldots(2+\cdots$

And, the third case when $n$ divided 3 reminder 2 term (denoted by rem $(n, 3)=2$ ) then we have a combination between simposn;s $1 / 3$ and simpson's $3 / 8$ and our equation becomes
$\left(1+h P_{i}-\frac{3 h^{2}}{8} k_{i t}\right) y_{i}-y_{i-1}=h g_{i}+h^{2}\left[\frac{1}{3} k_{i 0} y_{0}+\frac{4}{3} k_{i n} y_{1}+\frac{17}{24} k_{i 2} y_{2}+\ldots+\frac{9}{8} k_{i t-1} y_{i-1}\right] \ldots \ldots(25)$

The equations (23),(24) and (25) represent the approximate solution of equation (1) at $x=x_{i}=a+i h$, for $i=0,1,2, \ldots, n$, which give as a matrix form $: \mathrm{Ay}=\mathrm{B}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\frac{17 h^{2}}{24} k_{i j} \text { If } i=2 \text { and } \operatorname{rem}(i .3)=2 \\
& 1+h p_{i}-\text { If } j=i \text { and } \operatorname{rem}(j, 3)=0 \quad \text { or } \\
& \text { If } j=i \text { and } \operatorname{rem}(j, 3)=1, \operatorname{rem}(j, 3)=2 \\
& \text { or } \\
& \text { If } j=I \text { and } j=n \\
& -\frac{6 h^{2}}{8} k_{i j} \begin{array}{ll}
\text { If } i>j \text { and } & \\
& \operatorname{rem}(j, 3)=0, \operatorname{rem}(I, 3)=0 \\
& \text { or } \\
& \operatorname{rem}(j, 3)=1, \operatorname{rem}(I, 3)=1
\end{array} \quad \text { or } \quad l
\end{aligned}
$$

Where $A$ is a matrix $n \times n$
$b_{i}=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}y_{0}+h g_{i}+\frac{h^{2}}{2} k_{i 0} & \text { If } & \quad i=1 \\ h g_{i}+\frac{3 h^{2}}{8} k_{i 0} y_{0} & \text { If } & \text { rem }(i .3)=0 \\ h g_{i}+\frac{h^{2}}{2} k_{i 0} y_{0} & \text { If } & \text { rem }(i .3)=1 \\ h g_{i}+\frac{h^{2}}{3} k_{i 0} y_{0} & \text { If } & \text { rem }(i .3)=2\end{array}\right.$

## The algorithm:

A numerical solution of $1^{\text {st }}$ order FIDE's of $2^{\text {nd }}$ kind ,by using Quadrature methods included(Trapezoidal ,Simpson's $1 / 3$ and Simpson's $3 / 8$ rule),are obtained as follows:

## Step1:

Put h=(b-a) $/ \mathrm{n}$, where $n \in N$ and $y_{0}=y(a)$ (the initial condition gave)
Step2:

$$
\text { Set } x_{i}=a+i h, i=0,1,2, \ldots, n
$$

Step3:

$$
\text { Compute } y_{i}^{\prime} \text { by } y_{i}^{\prime}=\frac{y_{i}-y_{i-1}}{h}
$$

## Case Trapezoidal method used then

Step4:
Used step(1,2 and 3) in equation (12) to find $y_{i},(i=1,2 \ldots, n)$

## Case simpson's $1 / 3$ rule used then

a) If (number of subintervals $n$ is even )

Step 4:
Used step(1,2 and 3) in equation (19) to compute $y_{i}$ ,(i=1,2, $, \ldots, n)$
b) If (number of subintervals $n$ is odd)

Step4:
Used step(1,2 and 3) in equation (20) to compute $y_{i}$ ,(i=1,2, , , , $)$

## Case simpson's $3 / 8$ rule used then

If the number of subintervals is multiple of three then Step4:
Compute $y_{i}$,(i=1,2,3,.,.,n) by using equ(23) with step(1,2,and 3)

If the number of subintervals is multiple of three+1 then
Step 4:
Compute $y_{i},(\mathrm{i}=1,2,3, \ldots, \ldots \mathrm{n})$ by using equ(24) with step $(1,2$, and 3)

If the number of subintervals is multiple of three +2 then
Step4:
Compute $y_{i},(\mathrm{i}=1,2,3, \ldots, \mathrm{n})$ by using equ(25) with step $(1,2$, and 3)

Step5:
Use Gauss-elimination procedures to solve a resulting system

## Numeriacl Examples:-

## Example 1:-

Consider the $1^{\text {st }}$ order of FIDE's of $2^{\text {nd }}$ kind problem:-
$y^{\prime}(t)-y(t)=e^{t}-t+\int_{0}^{1} y(x) d x: 0 \leq t \leq 1$ With
initial condition $y(0)=0$ and $g(t)=e^{t}-t$
And the exact solution is $y(t)=t e^{t}$
Table(1): the comparison between the exact and numerical Quadrature formula solutions dependence on the least square error and running time

| metho <br> d <br> nodes | Exact | Trapezoida |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.0000000 | 0.0000000 | 0.0000000 | 0.0000000 |
| 0 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 |
|  | 0.1105170 | 0.1088364 | 0.1088364 | 0.1088364 |
| 0.1 | 92 | 74 | 74 | 74 |
|  | 0.2442805 | 0.2430225 | 0.2430225 | 0.2430921 |
| 0.2 | 52 | 35 | 35 | 34 |
|  | 0.4049576 | 0.4017429 | 0.4017115 | 0.4019152 |
| 0.3 | 42 | 98 | 65 | 65 |
|  | 0.5967298 | 0.5936406 | 0.5936535 | 0.5940363 |
| 0.4 | 79 | 22 | 84 | 09 |
|  | 0.8243606 | 0.8189936 | 0.8189605 | 0.8196654 |
| 0.5 | 35 | 48 | 02 | 65 |
|  | 1.0932712 | 1.0876816 | 1.0876556 | 1.0887923 |
| 0.6 | 8 | 67 | 92 | 55 |
|  | 1.4096268 | 1.4013812 | 1.4013606 | 1.4029982 |
| 0.7 | 95 | 64 | 39 | 24 |


|  | 1.7804327 | 1.7715521 | 1.7715349 | 1.7738784 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.8 | 43 | 15 | 6 | 95 |
|  |  | 2.2016554 | 2.2015749 | 2.2047753 |
| 0.9 | 2.2136428 | 58 | 4 | 53 |
|  | 2.7182818 | 2.7051656 | 2.7051724 | 2.7093274 |
| 1 | 28 | 91 | 06 | 07 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| L.S.E. | 0.1805148 | 0.1755663 | 0.1755214 |  |
|  | 50 | 46 | 75 |  |
|  | R.T. | 0.3000000 | 0.3200000 | 0.3200000 |
| 00 | 00 | 00 |  |  |

## Example 2:-

Consider the following FIDE problem:

$$
y^{\prime}(t)=3 e^{3 t}-\frac{1}{3}\left(2 e^{3}+1\right) t+\int_{0}^{1} 3 t x y(x) d x: 0 \leq t \leq 1
$$

Where the initial condition $y(0)=1$,
$g(t)=3 e^{3 t}-\frac{1}{3}\left(2 e^{3}+1\right) t \quad$ With the exact solution

$$
y(t)=e^{3 t}
$$

Table(2) : the comparison between the exact and numerical Quadrature formula solutions over the interval $\mathrm{x} 0=0$ to $\mathrm{xn}=1$ with $\mathrm{h}=0.1$

| method <br> nodes | exact exp3x | trap | $\operatorname{simp1/3}$ | $\operatorname{simp3/8}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 1 | 1.00000000 | 1.00000000 | 1.00000000 |
| 0.1 | 1.349858808 | 1.34817819 | 1.34817819 | 1.34817819 |
| 0.2 | 1.8221188 | 1.820860783 | 1.820860783 | 1.820930382 |
| 0.3 | 2.459603111 | 2.456388467 | 2.456357034 | 2.456560734 |
| 0.4 | 3.320116923 | 3.317027666 | 3.317040628 | 3.317423353 |
| 0.5 | 4.48168907 | 4.476322082 | 4.476288936 | 4.476993899 |
| 0.6 | 6.049647464 | 6.044057851 | 6.044031876 | 6.048168539 |
| 0.7 | 8.166169913 | 8.157924281 | 8.157903656 | 8.165541241 |
| 0.8 | 11.02317638 | 11.01429575 | 11.0142786 | 11.01662213 |
| 0.9 | 14.87973172 | 14.86774438 | 14.86766386 | 14.87086428 |
| 1 | 20.08553692 | 20.07242079 | 20.0724275 | 20.0765825 |

## VI. Discussion

In this our research , we introduced closed Quadrature formula included (Trapezoidal ,Simpson's $1 / 3$,and Simpson's $3 / 8$ rules ) to find the approximation of $1^{\text {st }}$ order Fredholm Integro Differential Equation of the $2^{\text {nd }}$ kind.
By benefiting from previous studies and comparing them with the results obtaind from the illustrative examples in table 1 and 2 the following is shown :-

1) A numerical results of the model problems showed that the proposed methods was computationally efficient
2) all methods gave good results, and when comparing between the methods, it was found that Simpson's 3/8 gave better accuracy results. 3) In general as the number of N nodes increase ,the error terms is decreased in all used method see[11,12]
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